



# Examiners' Report June 2010

# GCE History 5HA03 3C





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a>. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated History telephone line: 0844 576 0034

# **Results**Plus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification.
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/resultsplus">www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</a>.

To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2010

Publications Code UG024124

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2010

## Introduction

It was pleasing to see a good standard of responses from candidates in the first session of this new modular unit. The paper requires candidates to answer five questions in 75 minutes and it was pleasing that some candidates managed to write at considerable length in this time.

However, it was noticeable that some candidates failed to complete (or even start) question 5. This was due to mismanagement of timing often as a result of writing over long answers to the previous questions. Centres should note that the amount of space provided in the booklet for answers, is more than we would expect any answer to take, not a recommendation of the amount candidates should write.

There were strong answers to all the questions although, in some cases, there were formulaic responses which did not directly address the question. For example some answers referred to the reliability of the sources in questions 3 and 5 without directly relating this to either cross referencing or the hypothesis.

Exemplar candidate responses are given for each question. Please note that in some cases part answers only are given as exemplification, not full answers. However, a general summary of areas for improvement in the approach to the question types (which are common across the three options) may prove of benefit to centres:

Question 1. Candidates often wrote too much for the inference. It is sufficient to make the inferences and support them from the source, often with a direct quote. The reliability of the source is not a relevant issue.

Question 2. Ensure that candidates identify the underlying purpose of the source, not just the message. This could be what the source is trying to make people think or do. This should be supported with evidence from the source itself and contextual knowledge.

Question 3. Candidates should identify both support and differences between the sources and make judgements about the extent of support.

Question 4. Candidates should evaluate both the information/contents of the sources as well as the nature, origins and purpose

Question 5. A number of candidates made little or no reference to the sources and instead wrote extensively about the Red Scare. Ensure that candidates focus only on using the sources to tes the hypothesis. Highest marks require them to make judgements on the extent of support or challenge to the hypothesis based on the weight of evidence given in the sources and their reliability.

,

Candidates were asked to make inferences from Source A about the Rosenbergs. The most obvious inferences were that they were guilty of spying and had major effects on the Cold War.

The key to answering this question is to make the inference and use the wording of the source only as the support for an inference. An inference should not be made by using the words of the source, as that is likely to produce paraphrasing.

So candidates who stated that that 'you have undoubtedly altered the course of history' were copying the source, not making valid inferences and were marked at Level 1. Those who suggested that the Rosenbergs were guilty of spying because they put the A-bomb in Russian hands were making a valid inference and supporting it from the source. Such answers were rewarded at Level 3.

On this question, some candidates brought in their own knowledge, either to make an inference or to provide a historical context. This is not a correct approach to the question. Both inferences and support must come from the source. Candidates should make two supported inferences to reach top marks.

#### **Answer ALL questions.**

Look carefully at the background information and Sources A to F in the Sources Booklet and then answer Questions 1 to 5 which follow.

#### 1 Study Source A.

What can you learn from Source A about the Rosenbergs? (6) Rosenburgs for the agression in Korea We source More may die quotes as The source you indoubtedly have fo

our country' This shows that the Rosenburgs
were thought to have betrayed America, and
put them in danger as Russia were able to
have access to the A-bomb.

In to conclusion we can learn from source
A, that the Rosenburgs put many people
in danger and were not layal to their
own country.



The candidate has made at least two inferences, each of which is supported from the source. These are 'signposted' by the candidate, making it easier to mark. (6)



To gain higher marks you must make two inferences supported from the source. Why not say 'I know this because it says'..'?

This question asks candidates to explain the purpose of a photograph of a protest meeting in June 1953. The majority of candidates were able to identify the message of the source, which was to suggest that the Rosenbergs were innocent and had been unfairly put on trial and found guilty. They were able to support this by using evidence from the source itself, more especially the placards being carried by the protestors. In addition some answers made reference to inadequate evidence at the time on which they were convicted. However, to reach level 3 candidates had to explain the purpose of the source by reference to the source itself and/or their contextual knowledge. Remember that purpose is the intention of the message - what is it trying to make people think or do. In this case, it was to exert enough pressure from the public to prevent the execution of the Rosenbergs. Reference to purpose alone is not enough to achieve level 3. This has to be developed through detailed reference to the source and/or contextual knowledge. This could include comments on the time period between their conviction and execution.

#### 2 Study Source B and use your own knowledge.

Why was this photograph published in US newspapers? Use details of the photograph and your own knowledge to explain your answer.

one reason the photograph newspapers was protesting Strucile Sous exacition



This candidate has identified the message of the source and supported it with evidence from the source itself and contextual knowledge. There is some explanation of purpose in the second paragraph. More development needed for a higher level 3 mark (6)



The key to level 3. Why not go straight to purpose. Remember, however, that this must be explained fully by reference to the source itself and/or contextual knowledge. Try to do both to ensure top marks.

This question is asking candidates to decide to what extent the first three sources, A, B and C, support the view that the Rosenbergs were innocent. A number of candidates achieved higher level 2 by identifying agreement and disagreement and supporting this from the sources. For example they suggested that Sources B and C supported the view that they were innocent whereas Source A suggested they were guilty. Some achieved level 3 by making judgements about the extent of support between the two sources. These judgements could be based on the contents of the sources and/or their reliability. Some commented on reliability without making it relevant to judgements on the extent of support.

#### 3 Study Sources A, B and C.

Do these sources support the view that the Rosenbergs were innocent? Explain your answer, using the sources.

(10)

Bource A disagrees with the statement that the Rosenbergs were innocent, whereas Source B agrees with this statement source con the other hand, does not copree to the Extent that source B, and it does not disagree to the extent that source Acloes Source A discorrees will the statement that the Rosenbergs were innorant, as it says that their crime is "worke than marder" and "millions of innocent people that may pay the price of your treason" implying this Judge has blamed them for many other things Such as the morean war, aswell his the atomic bomb. He clearly Thinks they deserve oneir death sentence however as he is the judge in Mis case and becomes of the Red source he is Very Linely to be biast, meaning this source might not be very reliable. It is also typical of what Source many other unericcons Mought at the time as of their fear of communism they would of wanted to get rid of anyone who was a suspected community Source B at agrees with this statement Source c does agree with this statement, but not the A to the extent trail source c closs Because it is sporren by the lawyer of the Rosenbergs it is livily to be biast and defend the Rosenbergs, marring it less reliable. He says that their sentence was "not surprising" implying he never thought he had a conducted of winning anyway. He also does not sound particularly remarsoful for the fact that the Rosenbergs are to be milled as that a "dagger" should be "thrust in the near of communists" implying that maybe he agrees with a view, to an extent. This is typical of what other American would say the to the Red scare they would do anything to rid of communism.



The candidate makes judgements on Source C on the extent of support using the phrase 'not to the extent' and explains this with reference to the contents of the source and its reliability. These judgements were also made on Sources A and B. (10)



Ensure that you identify and explain agreement and disagreement between the three sources. Make judgements on the extent of support by using judgement phrases such as 'Strongly agree' 'Partially agree'. Ensure reliability is used to judge the extent of support between the sources.

prayber there was something there that readed investigating and that they were treated bady because of it. She also says that people have bold than they are "not good Americans" implying that it brow were trying to spread - the A mericans had turned against them due to the rear of Them spreading communism. This is not typical of what other Source O has its limited hors it downs Americans thought as they did not cogree with the Holywood Ten and oney thought that they were bud Americans. Source D has its limitations in that it is only at one point in Time and only shour us are event, it does not show us how they were treated an a broader scale. It also does not do much to show us that they were collically treated badly, even though we throw they were 1 Lat Source Falso also was limitations it talks very vagaley about the attilides towards them, giving no specific examples of anything that happened Also due to no fact it was a speach it could have been stoped. Overall, books sourced care useful for eniclence of the attituded towards the Hollywood Ten (Total for Question 3 = 10 marks)

For this question, candidates had to examine the utility of Source D, a photograph of a protest march in support of the Hollywood Ten, and Source E, a broadcast by the actress Judy Garland. Most candidates achieved level 2 by examining either the information given in each source or making comments on the nature, origins and purpose of the sources. Those that reached level 3 had based their judgements on both. For example many saw Source E as useful because it gave the views of a popular Hollywood actress of the rime. Others questioned its reliability on typicality by suggesting it only gave the views of one actress which may not have been typical of the time whilst she was trying to gain support and sympathy for the Hollywood Ten.

A number of candidates used formulaic responses in which they went through the nature, origins and purpose of each source without making direct reference to how this affected reliability. On the other hand, there were a number of very strong and balanced evaluations.

4 Study Sources D and E and use your own knowledge.

How useful are Sources D and E as evidence of attitudes to the Hollywood Ten? Explain your answer, using Sources D and E and your own knowledge.

(10)

Both Sources Dand E imply recentment towards
the idea of the Hollywood Pen They both strongly
disagree with The House Committee of Un-American
Activities and they are both examples of yours of
protest

people are protesting against the treatment of the Hollywood Ten, which was a list of writers directors and actors who were blacklisted for creating films that 'gave the wrong idea this source implies much resentment as not ody in the photo is smiling and it looks like a proper protest. In the Background a policemen can be seen, which shows the seciousness of the

Source E also clearly shows ill seeling towards the House Committee of Un-American Activities. It is a protesting speech from a leading actress and does not support the committee at all. She clearly states that she resents being called a bad American. She expresses her view on committee by telling the public how much she does not when it

To conclude, both services Cand D have unpleasant attitudes towards the treatment of the Hollywood 10, as they are a actors and people in the silm industry.



The candidate has commented on the utility of the information/contents of the two sources. However, there is no attempt to interrogate the nature, origins and purpose of each source nor to explain their limitations. (6)



Remember to make judgements on the information/contents as well as the nature, origins and purpose of each source.

There were many well structured answers here. Many candidates were able to achieve at least level 3 by addressing the issues inherent in the question and the extent to which the sources addressed them. At Level 4 candidates were able to select, and draw inferences from, extracts within the sources and use them to address the question set. The best candidates were able to weigh the evidence in the closing paragraph to reach an overall conclusion. Use of provenance varied in quality and often was overly mechanical making it difficult to ascertain the direction of an answer. The most effective use of provenance was weaved into the wider argument of whether or not the source supported or otherwise the idea that the Rosenburg case encouraged fear of communism in the USA in the early 1950s. In a minority of cases time management issues undermined candidates' responses to this question.

A minority of candidates failed to make sufficient use of the sources and used their own knowledge to explain the reasons for the growing fear of communism. Remember that this is a source enquiry question. Candidates are being asked to evaluate the strength of the evidence in the sources themselves - in their content and reliability.

#### \*5 Study all the sources (A to F) and use your own knowledge.

'The main reason for the growing fear of communism in the USA was the Rosenberg Case.'

How far do the **sources** in this paper support this statement? Use details from the sources and your own knowledge to explain your answer.

(16)

The sources A and C Strongly agree with this statement The speech from Judge Ining, (Source A) says that "it has caused in my opinion, the communist appresion in torea. This suggests that he thought that it was the Rosenberg case which was partly to blame for the growing of communism the quotes mot it was their fault that the Karea War started North Kara, communist, support by the brush Union, South korea- non-communist supported by the USA, book place from 1950-54. This is shong endence as it suggests that it was the Rosenbergs fault that the karean war occured However, the evidence may not be that reliable, as it was only a speech and could have been altered.



# **Results**Plus

**Examiner Comments** 

The candidate immediately focuses on the question and makes judgements on the extent of support given by Source A based on content and reliability using the phrase 'strongly agree'. This continued throughout the answer. (16)



Ensure that you use the sources to make judgments on the hypothesis. Do not simply summarise each source or use own knowledge. Your overall judgement should be based on the weight of evidence given in the sources and their reliability.

A promising start to the new unit with many candidates displaying very good source enquiry skills.

# **Grade Boundaries**

Grade	Max. Mark	A*	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	U
Raw boundary mark	50	39	35	31	27	27	20	17	14	0
Uniform mark scale boundary	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	0

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code UG024124 June 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





