

GCSE

English

General Certificate of Secondary Education J350

OCR Report to Centres

November 2012

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This report on the examination provides information on the performance of candidates which it is hoped will be useful to teachers in their preparation of candidates for future examinations. It is intended to be constructive and informative and to promote better understanding of the specification content, of the operation of the scheme of assessment and of the application of assessment criteria.

Reports should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and mark schemes for the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this report.

© OCR 2012

CONTENTS

General Certificate of Secondary Education

English (J350)

OCR REPORT TO CENTRES

Content	Page
A641 Reading Literary Texts	1
A642 Imaginative Writing	4
A643/A652 Section A – Speaking and Listening	7
A680/01 Information and Ideas (Foundation Tier)	11
A680/02 Information and Ideas (Higher Tier)	15

A641 Reading Literary Texts

General comments

In this additional session of the new specification, there were a smaller number of entries for candidates retaking from June. Centres continue to take up the option to use themed tasks, in this session with set texts such as *Of Mice and Men* and *Romeo and Juliet*. The task regarding sympathy was a very popular option in this series. It was a matter of concern that a few centres still had some or all of their candidates entering forbidden combinations, usually of Steinbeck with either Duffy or Zephaniah. Centres must be clear that the requirement is to select **one** text from Different Cultures/Contemporary and one from Literary Heritage and these must be taken from the poetry and prose and **not** Shakespeare. Choosing *Of Mice and Men, Tsotsi, Notes from a Small Island* or *The Kindness of Strangers* means that the poetry must be Owen unless the centre opts for the themed task with their own choice of Literary Heritage poet; choosing to enter for Duffy or Zephaniah would require the set prose text to be either *Pride and Prejudice* or *The Withered Arm and Other Wessex Tales*.

Although there was some clear variation in terms of length, each task was generally well within the 1000 word limit recommended so that the each candidate was not going over the total of 3000. The candidates appeared to have completed the tasks within the time limit allowed. Where notes were included with the work as was evident with some candidates, these were very helpful in seeing how the final task had been produced.

In their dealing with the texts, there was evidence of personal engagement, a sound and often detailed knowledge and a generally clear focus on the task. It was pleasing to see that centres had generally worked within the spirit of controlled assessment by thoroughly preparing their candidates without strait jacketing them by means of providing a specific plan. The move from coursework to controlled conditions has seen some evidence of greater variety and independence of response, albeit to tasks set by the board, where candidates have used notes to develop their own ideas; there is a freshness of response and a sense of enjoyment in the folders submitted. However, there are still some concerns about heavily structured and teacher led responses.

The social and historical context of texts was addressed in all three tasks and there was less evidence of the lengthy introduction of biography or comment unrelated to the essay. In the course of the essay there was still some intermittent comments on context not grounded in the text or used to illuminate understanding, however, the very best used it to develop their exploration of the characters' loneliness in *Of Mice and Men*, the pride and honour of male characters in *Romeo and Juliet* and the ways in which Owen shows that, for soldiers, war was not a sweet and noble thing.

Whilst it is evident that candidates are using the PEE (point, evidence, evaluation) chain to enable them to comment on language, it was noted by moderators that it can become rather limiting and sometimes hindered the development of ideas about the text if the essay is simply set out in this way, especially where PEE is written down the side of the response. It did not allow for a cohesive response. In addition, candidates repeated the quotation in their explanation or simply translated it. "In this quote" frequently opened a sentence and references were often overly long. The ability to explore the effects of language, especially in poetry essays, as opposed to either explaining the meaning or give a general statement such as "This makes the reader feel sympathy" or "This shows that Owen was bitter about war" signals the candidate appreciating how language works and often signals a move into band 4. Below this level, candidates often resorted to narrative and straightforward explanation of quotations and this generally indicates performance at band 5 and below.

In awarding band 3 and above, the focus on the writer needs to go beyond merely naming him/her and must show an awareness of the writer's intentions, closely supported by an analysis of the techniques employed and their effects, becoming more perceptive and sophisticated for bands 1 and 2. One moderator referred to centres referring to "analysis" in relation to anything that involved language and close reading. The phrase from the band 3 criteria of "secure critical response" was also used regularly, especially where writing sounded confident or assured, rather than in response to language.

Question specific comments

Themed Tasks

To what extent does the writer make you feel sympathy for **one** or **two** characters in the text(s) you have studied?

Candidates made their selection from virtually all the characters in *Of Mice and Men*, reflecting on how issues of racism, sexism and the impact of the American Depression (and the itinerant lifestyle) affected those on the ranch. The appreciation of prejudice as well as an awareness of Lennie's mental difficulties encouraged some strong personal responses, often grounded in detail from the text, and the best explored the ways in which Steinbeck's language affected the reader's feelings of sympathy.

Prose or Literary Non-Fiction

Of Mice and Men by John Steinbeck

"Guys like us are the loneliest guys in the world". How far does the relationship between George and Lennie challenge this idea?

A number of responses still tended to focus generally on the ways in which characters are lonely, some of which went on to look at how George and Lennie's relationship makes them different. Many omitted to explore this and therefore lost focus since the task focuses explicitly on this relationship. Given that the tasks remain the same for the second year of the specification (January and June 2012); it is advised that centres take note of this advice for their submission in June. The best answers used other relationships to highlight what is different about George and Lennie and some explored the extent to which either or both of George and Lennie are lonely in spite of their friendship.

The responses to this task showed candidates enjoying the opportunity to write about the central relationship and appreciating the contextual reasons for characters' loneliness, referring to the lack of stability, trust and harshness of ranch life. Some of the stronger, secure middle band responses explored the differences between George and Lennie's relationship and others on the ranch, often picking up on Lennie's cry of "Not us, because I got you to look after me and you got me to look after you" to reflect on how this avoids them being lonely. There was some insight evident in comments referring to the way that even George and Lennie were, at times, lonely.

Drama: William Shakespeare

Romeo and Juliet

How does Shakespeare show the importance of pride and honour to **one** or **two** male characters in the play?

Tybalt, Capulet and Romeo were the characters generally selected for exploration by candidates. Most responses began well but then some lost focus and there was a tendency to recount the scene, rather than analyse.

Candidates showed a strong engagement with the play. The strong middle band responses seen showed a secure knowledge and understanding, with some awareness of how characters revealed their sense of pride and honour in different ways, and some appreciation of the difference between pride and honour. To access the top two bands, candidates needed to explore the language and dramatic devices used to present pride and honour in the male characters, using short, apt quotations as the basis for analytical comment rather than explanation. Lower band responses tended to focus on characters' actions, often leaving the link to pride and honour more implicit than explicit, and using quotation to support an account of events. Such quotations were often introduced by paraphrase and then followed by a translation. It was pleasing to note the sustained use of the text at virtually all levels and the absence of confusion with the modern film version of the play. An understanding of the difference between pride and honour was only really apparent at the higher levels where there was perceptive exploration of these concepts.

Poetry: Selected Poems

Wilfred Owen

How far does Owen challenge the idea that it is a sweet and noble thing to die for one's country?

The poems chosen to answer this question often included Dulce et Decorum Est (from which the reference to "sweet and noble" in the task is drawn), Anthem for Doomed Youth, The Sentry, Dead Beat, Strange Meeting and Disabled and it was pleasing to see the level of understanding, with very little confusion or distortion of meaning, evident in the candidates' responses. The students seemed to engage well with Owen's visceral descriptions of life and death on the battlefield and the density of the imagery triggered more clearly analytical approaches, however many candidates found it hard to sustain the quality of their interpretation equally over both or all three poems. There was some understanding of Owen's experiences of war and of how this affected his attitude to it, all being able to see how his poetry expresses his negative feelings. At the lower levels this was expressed in terms of the physical suffering and by reference to the horrible conditions faced by the soldiers, with some relevant quotations used as evidence, whereas the more secure middle band responses also showed some insight into the mental suffering of the soldiers. Whilst there was some awareness of Owen's tone in the stronger candidates' responses, and in the top band 3 and band 2 responses an ability to reflect on how choices of language create meaning, the majority tended to name devices and be more limited in their ability to explore the effect created. At the lower band level, candidates showed some straightforward understanding and often self penalized by writing very little. Although it was evident that only the stronger candidates understood the reference within the question, they were all able to show a grasp of how Owen's poetry reveals the horrors of war, making their responses more directly relevant to the question than was often the case in Of Mice and Men.

Carol Ann Duffy

Explore how Duffy presents everyday experiences in her poetry.

It was pleasing to see some lively, personal engagement with the texts, with *Before You Were Mine, The Good Teachers* and *In Mrs Tilscher's Class* being the most popular choices. All the responses showed understanding of the key ideas in the poems, with those in band 4 moving beyond a tendency to explain and describe into some exploration of how meaning is conveyed. The descriptive details were clearly evocative for the candidates and they enjoyed giving examples; the higher band responses were able to show how the language and structure of the poems were effective in conveying the thematic concerns. In some cases, the more open task of how Duffy represents everyday life led to less well-structured replies.

A642 Imaginative Writing

General Comments

All those involved in this session had to work particularly hard to complete the work and submit the marks on time. It is to the credit of centres that almost all of them met the deadlines set and assessed their candidates' work clearly and accurately.

On the whole candidates' folders were well presented. It would help the moderation process, however, if the folders were easily accessible which is best achieved by stapling or tagging work. The use of plastic or cardboard wallets or paper clips is less effective and slows the process down. In most cases centres had written helpful summative comments on the cover sheet and detailed annotation on the work itself. It would help moderators if centres made clear on the cover sheet or on the work itself which of the tasks had been attempted rather than leaving it to the moderator to make an educated guess.

Annotation on the work itself was especially helpful in coming to an understanding of how centres had arrived at their final marks. Such annotation should be used to draw the moderator's attention to the balance of strengths and weaknesses which contributed to the centre's final judgement. Some marking of spelling and punctuation errors is useful because it shows that the centre has taken them into consideration in their final mark but there is no need to mark every single error. There remains a tendency among some centres to mark such errors particularly harshly which had the effect, in some case, of depressing candidates' marks unnecessarily.

In general centres showed that they were able to apply the mark scheme accurately. Where internal standardisation was evident it was noticeable that the assessments were more in line with the standards of the board. Centres should ensure that such standardisation is conducted effectively.

In the highest bands students adapted the style of their responses with some assurance to suit the purposes of their pieces, making effective use of vocabulary to engage their audience. At this level candidates could improve by planning the structure of their work more carefully and using more accurate punctuation in complex sentences. In the middle bands the candidates' responses were usually expressed in straightforward language. Sentences, however, were often lengthy and not fully controlled with inaccurate punctuation between them. Most candidates at this level could improve their attainment by choosing more effective vocabulary and using links to organise their paragraphs. There were fewer candidates in the lower bands in this session. The few candidates entered at this level expressed some of their ideas clearly but sometimes blurred their meaning by using long, rambling sentences

It was pleasing to note that most candidates are submitting work of an appropriate length; work, that is, which is long enough to show detailed development and coherent structure but short enough for candidates to sustain a high standard throughout the piece.

Comments on Individual Tasks

Centres are clearly helping candidates to achieve a better balance between the two pieces of writing in the folder. There were far fewer cases where the response to the satellite task was significantly inferior to the response to the core task. Most were of similar length and similar standard, and achieved similar marks.

Section A - Media

1 Write an article for a local newspaper entitled 'The Worst Place in Britain' in which you highlight the problems and shortcomings of a particular town or area.

Candidates generally responded well to this task. There were many impassioned criticisms, and some equally impassioned defences, of some of the less attractive corners of the country.

2 (a) Write a letter to the local newspaper arguing strongly against the views expressed in the article.

As in the last session this was the most popular satellite task. The straightforward letter format supported less confident students to organise their ideas. In the best answers candidates often adopted a persona very different in age and outlook to their own. There was much less tendency simply to repeat some of the material from the core task.

2 (b) Write the words of a podcast from a person describing how they have been affected by living in the town or area.

There were far more podcasts in this session. Candidates enjoyed the opportunity to develop particular characters' voices and explore their thoughts and feelings about their town.

2 (c) As a follow-up to the article, the local newspaper has organised a competition asking readers to propose a specific suggestion for improving the town or area. The best entries will be printed in the newspaper. Write your entry for the competition.

The few responses to this task that were seen were usually quite successful. In the absence of a specific form, many candidates successfully adopted the letter format.

Section B - Text Development

There is still evidence from some centres' annotation on responses to the Text Development tasks that, when awarding a mark, they have taken into account candidates' understanding of the source texts. Although this is understandable it is not the aim of the assessment; this task allows students to use ideas from texts they are familiar with to inspire their own writing.

It can be helpful if centres indicate which texts have been used but the response should be self-standing. Part of the test in the core task, in particular, is for candidates to establish characters and settings and situations; they should not rely on their reader's knowledge of the original text.

1 Imagine a meeting between two characters, each from a different text you have read, heard or seen. Write the story of this meeting.

Responses to this task continued to improve their focus on the meeting between two characters itself. It was pleasing to see that candidates were using a much wider range of texts as a basis for this task, including moving image texts, but there were still some strong responses based on texts that have been set for examination.

2 (a) Write a monologue in prose or poetry in which one of the characters in your story expresses his/her thoughts about the other character.

There were a small number of monologues in this session. Generally candidates were able to sustain a voice and develop a character but they would benefit from taking more time to plan the structure of this kind of writing and ensure it comes a suitable conclusion.

2 (b) The place where the characters in your story met has become famous. Write a guidebook entry for this place.

In this session there were slightly fewer entries for this task. Where it was done, it provided an opportunity for candidates to use some precise and imaginative vocabulary to describe the place they had chosen.

2 (c) Ten years have passed. Write a letter from one character to the other describing how life has changed over those ten years.

This continues to be the most popular satellite task. Candidates produced some thoughtful responses which, at their best, manipulated the reader's knowledge of the core task to produce some effective ironies.

Overall

Centres should be commended for the quality of work their candidates produced. Despite the challenging time frame in which this session was conducted, much of the work was well presented and engaging. Centres are clearly growing in their confidence when approaching this unit and are beginning to encourage their candidates to take more and more adventurous approaches to their response. Such ambition is referred to in the mark scheme and is a common feature of the most successful folders.

A643/A652 Section A – Speaking and Listening

General Comments

Candidates in this series were very much looking to improve on their Summer performance, supported by their centres. Centres had the benefit of centre specific feedback on their June entry, together with the wider Report to Centres on the June series. Added to this, the latest filmed support material for Speaking and Listening was available on-line from the beginning of the Autumn term, September 2012 to aid task setting and assessment. As with the previous support material, there was a section on the administrative procedures connected with this component.

The number of candidates entered from individual centres was on the whole not large; a high proportion of single candidate entries or small "resit" groups.

Task setting

Centres had covered a wide and interesting range of tasks across all three contexts. It was clear that teachers had put in a great deal of thought into designing activities that were appropriate to "resit" groups, often groups remodelled from their original GCSE ones. Different activities were offered with centres linking tasks to Sixth Form studies, giving a relevance and immediacy to performances; avoiding just repeating previous tasks without adding specific opportunities, to meet the assessment criteria more securely. Similarly audiences and purposes were changed to take into account extra maturity and confidence to offer greater challenges and so opportunities to succeed and achieve at a higher level.

The drama-focussed context was often more successful. Candidates, having been through the process, seemed to be clearer about what was required in order to succeed; more skilled in adopting and sustaining a role realistically and convincingly. However many centres still equate the drama-focussed context with drama/play based stimulus material. There is no requirement for the stimulus material to be drama based or even literary based; indeed more scope may be granted if candidates are freed from such, and are given the opportunity to create independent roles separated from play texts. There is always the danger of "muddying the waters" when assessing; how much of the assessment is based on knowledge of the play and how much on the creation and sustaining of a role. Many centres are using the drama-focussed context to link with the "real-life context" requirement to positive effect.

However as regards task setting, whilst many centres have embraced the "real-life context" requirement and are now setting imaginative and enabling activities, disappointingly many centres have not grasped the basic premise of it all. Quite simply it is a matter of the audience and the purpose of the speaking and listening involved, which extends beyond the classroom; not just the subject matter per se. The extended audience and purpose may be real or imagined, depending on the task and a centre's circumstances. For this series as smaller numbers of candidates were often involved, centres used more actual "beyond the classroom" situations. Appropriate and successful tasks were; induction presentations to younger students new to the school; presentations to Sixth Form assemblies and groups of parents. Activities which did not meet the requirement were drama-focussed activities based on literary characters; interviewing the characters from "Of Mice and Men" can never fulfil this requirement. Neither does ticking all three contexts as having met the "real-life context" inspire any confidence in a centre having fully understood this aspect of task setting.

It is a centre's responsibility to ensure that all candidates are set appropriate activities to meet the requirements, and that there is no discrepancy between groups. There were instances with this series as in previous ones, where one group/one teacher had obviously not understood the "real-life context".

Some centres linked Speaking and Listening activities with work for A652 Section B: Spoken Language. Tasks used for this series were based largely on exploring the language of the interviewer. It is hoped that centres, as they become more confident in their task setting for this specification, will review their bank of tasks to make more opportunities for crossover activities with Spoken Language in particular. The unit was designed to be very much an interlinking one.

Task setting is the key to success; if a centre gets it wrong then candidates fail to meet the specification requirements and their potential. There exists a pool of easily accessible support to aid all task setting, the "real-life context" included. There are two Training and Guidance DVDs issued 2010 and 2011 and for 2012 on-line filmed material and downloadable guidance on the OCR website; these carefully explain whether an activity can be deemed a real-life context or not. There is also a specific guidance document, again on the website. A centre may have tasks validated by using the Controlled Assessment Consultancy. There is the report to centres written by the external moderator giving feedback on task setting amongst other aspects of postal moderation. Finally, as part of an Advisory visit, task setting is discussed.

Record keeping

A key part of the postal moderation process, are the candidate record sheets sent to the external moderator. The majority of centres submitted well presented, often word processed records using the standard OCR form. These record sheets provide the evidence upon which moderation is based, and in most cases the description of the activities, the comments on individual candidate performance and the final mark awarded were all as required; there were many examples of care and good practice.

However some centres and some teachers within a centre failed to supply all the necessary information. The descriptions of the tasks were too brief; a "talk to the class", a "group discussion", a "persuasive speech", these all failed to give sufficient detail. The moderator can see that the basic three context requirements have been met, but is unable to judge the level of challenge involved, the complexity of the material, without the detail of the subject matter; how the task has been differentiated for the range of marks awarded.

Similarly, comments on individual performance must be personalised. When comments have just been "lifted" from the assessment criteria, without linking them to individual candidate achievement, or if all comments are virtually the same, then it is extremely difficult to support the centre's marking and so moderate the centre effectively.

It must also be stressed that the audience for the candidate record sheet is the external moderator; not the candidate. If centres wish to give feedback to their students on performance and good practice is to do so, then informal tracking records may be devised and used. It is, and was for this series, a very small number of centres which made this mistake.

Thankfully for this series there were few mathematical and transcription errors. However, it was not completely trouble free, and completion of the moderation of these centres was delayed by the relevant forms being filled in and returned to ensure that candidates received the correct mark.

The Application of the Criteria

The first point to be made is, as with previous reports to centres for this specification, that no assumption must be made by centres as to the link between bands/marks and grades.

Centres are encouraged to think and assess in terms of bands and then award a mark within a band to fit the performance of the candidate; to resist the pressure to assign a grade.

With this series, the marks awarded were supported by comments on extra maturity resulting in an ability to analyse and reflect on experience and handle challenging material, synthesise

complex items, organise points and challenge assumptions. Comments from centres on performance often highlighted added skills in sensitive and sympathetic listening as a factor in improvement, and a willingness to encourage quieter members of the group to participate and then build on their contributions.

Good practice in awarding marks balances strengths and weaknesses, not just rewarding strengths; this is particularly important when awarding marks on band borderlines, giving an explanation, why just below, or just above. This all aids moderation.

Internal Standardisation Procedures

It is a requirement that centres complete form GCW330 a description of the centre's internal standardisation procedures for this component, and send this to the moderator, along with other relevant documentation.

From the descriptions and from observation on Advisory visits, the majority of centres are secure in their practices and many are very rigorous. Good practice procedures include the use of cross moderation of groups, joint marking exercises, reorganisation of groups for assessment, department Inset training using the centre's own or OCR supplied filmed material and the induction of new or inexperienced staff, often using a "buddy" system linking with experienced teachers.

Internal standardisation is concerned with task setting as dealt with previously and also to ensure all teachers preparing candidates for this component are marking in line with each other and in line with OCR's agreed standard. Crucial to this whole process is the use of the filmed material issued to centres. All teachers must have watched and discussed the assessments; it is the centre's responsibility to ensure that this has taken place.

Centres must revisit the assessments to keep their marking sharp and accurate. There are now two DVDs and filmed material on-line; so all staff should be able to access the standardisation material. In the description of procedures, it would be helpful if centres could be more specific in listing the OCR material used; rather than just stating "watched the DVD". The reference to specific material helps confirm that a centre is secure in its judgements.

All marking is confirmed by a visiting moderator, and centres should be aware that on instructions from OFQUAL the range of tolerance is much reduced.

Administration

The administration of this unit, once again, could have been smoother. Centres had been instructed to send all sample material to the moderator by November 5th. The moderation period was very tight, so many thanks to those centres which met this deadline. Feedback from centres on the June series, resulted in just one moderator being appointed, to cover A643 and A652, both Speaking and Listening and Spoken Language. This should have eased the process, but too many centres did not meet the deadline to moderators.

Centres more and more have non-specialist examination officers as the point of reference and dispatcher of moderation material, so the Head of English or teacher in charge of this component needs to assist in the smooth running of the process. They should, by now, know what is required. Hard copies of instructions are sent into centres and all is available on the OCR website. The centre report from June will have contained feedback on how smoothly this was administered.

As part of Advisory visits 2012-13, there will be a discrete session on administration.

However grateful acknowledgement is made to those centres, which got it right and enabled moderators to meet their deadlines.

Conclusion

Speaking and Listening has always been a real strength for candidates and it is obvious from postal moderation and from the visits into centres that as teachers become more confident and assured with this specification this will continue to be the case.

Attention is again strongly drawn to the Training and Guidance materials for this component itemised earlier. Also attention is strongly drawn, to the report sent to a centre after each series of exams. These give valuable feedback to centres; specific advice as to what is good practice in the centre and what area(s) need improvement. It is assumed these are read and acted upon.

Finally many thanks, as always, for the continuing hard work and commitment involved in preparing and assessing candidates for Speaking and Listening.

A680/01 Information and Ideas (Foundation Tier)

General Comments

The question paper proved to be accessible and of an appropriate level of demand for the tier. Candidates readily engaged with the reading material for Section A: the ways railways deal with the problem of slippery tracks (Text A) and the leaflet asking for support to save woodlands (Text B). The two writing tasks were equally popular.

The majority of candidates had obviously been very well-prepared for the examination and schools are now familiar with the format of questions and booklet. The spaces provided for responses were sufficient for all but a few candidates, who used the extra pages at the end of the booklet (new for this session). Mostly these were used for continuation of 2(a) and for the writing task, particularly where the first page of Q3/4 was used for planning. However, it should be noted that lengthy answers are not necessary to achieve a good grade and recourse to the extra pages should be rare.

In most cases, candidates appeared to have followed the advice regarding time allocation; few responses showed evidence of running out of time.

Individual Questions

Section A - Reading

No examiners reported any instances of candidates using the incorrect text for Q1, but a few candidates used Text A to answer 2(a) and then proceeded to use Text B for 2(b). Some candidates used headings to respond to 2(b), even when they had also used them for 2(a). There seemed to be more instances of this error than might be expected.

Questions 1 and 2 are assessed for candidates reading ability only, so the inclusion of their own views, however well-expressed, cannot be rewarded.

Question 1

1(a) – 1(c): These short answers generally helped candidates to make a secure start to the paper. The answer for 1(a) required just one word: 'surprise'. Although most found the correct word, a number wrote either 'major' or 'challenge', neither of which provide a coherent answer to the question. Most candidates scored 5 or 6 marks. There was little evidence of verbatim copying of large sections of text. Those who lost marks did so through careless reading of question or text.

1(d): Examiners reported a good response to this question with less copying and more focus on the task and an ability to express points in own words. Many stronger candidates also selected suitable material and made a good number of points, and marks in the Band 5 and Band 4 range were common. Better candidates also made genuine efforts to show that they had fully understood points by expressing them in their own words. Good examples are that the trains 'activated' machines to replace 'triggered' them, and machines use 'jet-powered' instead of 'high pressure' water. Some struggled valiantly to avoid using as many words from the passage as possible; unfortunately sometimes this actually obscured meaning and clarity or simply read very oddly: 'broken nature' for 'fallen trees' for example.

The less successful responses simply altered occasional words or inverted the sentence structure in the hope that this would be construed as 'own words'. They tended to work mechanically through the passage, including all the material with little alteration or with lifting. Others lapsed into comment, perhaps on how commendable it is that such care is being taken of

passengers' safety or gave explanations of how 'environmentally-friendly weed killer' is preferable. Some omitted consideration of either the first or last paragraphs of text. However, as undue emphasis is not placed in the mark scheme on the number of points made, these candidates were still able to demonstrate all the necessary descriptors to achieve Band 4.

Question 2

Most candidates took note of the relative weightings of 2(a) and 2(b) - 6 and 14 marks respectively. A few candidates used the passage for Q1 for 2(a) – though not for 2(b).

2(a) Candidates were secure with this question, commenting successfully on a sensible number of photographs and headings; very few tried to cover all of the available material. A lot of candidates, however, used the additional pages for this question, sometimes to no extra gain. It should be noted that many responses which did not even use the whole of the allocated space were still able to achieve either Band 4 or Above Band 4. Popular comments were on the contrast between the 'before' and 'after' photos of the forest and on the 'cute' animals that would lose their habitat. Inevitably the 'rule of three' heading proved irresistible but also came with effective analysis of the shocking figures given and the drama of the 'countdown'. Strong responses were able to focus on the emotional impact of the leaflet, in particular how the pronouns include the reader and the repetition of 'save'.

Weaker responses simply identified features without comment or made generic points which could apply to any text: 'the headings make you want to read on'; 'the pictures grab your attention'. These are not given any reward. Some said that 'the headings were green', which is of little value but better candidates went on to comment on the link with the woodland, nature and conservation, which is valid analysis. Other comments on the colours used in the leaflet, which were frequent, were usually stretching the point: 'the words are in white which stands for purity'.

Weaker responses tended to use long quotations which included the information points, or their analysis of words and phrases simply said that they were 'important', which refers back to the question but does not explain in a helpful way. The most significant problem was the use of headings in 2(b) – many used the same ones that they had already quoted in 2(a) – and it was disappointing that, often, so much of the response was therefore not relevant or admissible.

Section B – Writing

Questions 3 and 4

Examiners saw the full range of performance but, in this session, there were noticeably more responses in Band 5 and above. There was an even split between the two tasks. Many candidates made use of planning and it was pleasing to see the time and effort taken. Sometimes this was useful but it did not always result in a structured response with clear direction. Better candidates were able to produce an engaging opening, clear development and a considered ending. Paragraphing, however, was too often absent, random or not effective. The planning sometimes consisted of a row of punctuation marks which were ticked off when used – often incorrectly. This is not an approach to be encouraged. In less successful responses there was writing that lost focus on the task and, more often, repeated the same ideas using the same vocabulary. Some were not relevant or barely so; attempting to 'fit' a topic that is favoured (usually involving a sport) to the question rarely leads to a convincing response. Some referred back to the question in the last sentence and hoped that this would be sufficient to mask deficiencies.

It should be emphasised that the quality of writing is being assessed rather than quantity. There should be no need for candidates to use additional pages. Very short answers will be self-limiting because of the lack of development but many excellent responses were seen which covered no more than two pages.

Candidates should take care with handwriting and allow time to check spelling and punctuation. Some essays were almost illegible and, as letters were not formed correctly, the words were marked as mis-spelt. The need to 're-read' until meaning is clear is a feature of the lower Bands and it is a pity that some candidates who had plenty of interesting material penalized themselves in this way. Common errors include confusion over 'there', 'their' and 'they're' and 'your and 'you're'. Apostrophes were rarely used correctly or consistently but, more worryingly, capital letters were a widespread weakness, both lack of them at the start of a sentence and random ones used without reason. Sentence separation was sound in only a minority of responses – usually candidates adopted either 'stream of consciousness' style or one comma after another instead of full stops, though this varied between centres. The use of 'program' when referring to a television programme was ubiquitous as was 'tele' for 'television'.

Question 3

This asked candidates to relate an event to a particular season and say what it meant to them. Inevitably the most popular choice by far was winter and Christmas (often spelt with a small 'c'), with many lively, detailed and evocative descriptions of family traditions and spending quality time together with relatives not seen during the rest of the year. The best managed to create on paper the warmth and fun experienced in real life. Weaker responses were rather bland with stereotypical descriptions of Santa, presents and turkey; they could have been more successful with use of a wider vocabulary. There were a few accounts of birthdays, November 5th, Hallowe'en and Eid but these were rare. As mentioned earlier, some struggled to make their chosen 'event' fit the question but, thankfully, few resorted to this. The question gave the opportunity for ample relevant material to come easily to mind.

Question 4

This asked candidates to give their views on the importance of television to young people, in the form of a speech to classmates. Many found this an inviting style; it had clearly been practised beforehand and there were some lively and appropriate responses. Weaker candidates just started with 'Hi, class' and finished with 'Thank you for listening' with little in between to suggest a speech. Some centres seemed to ignore the requirement to write in this style altogether. This question really needed to be planned if the response was to sustain direction, not lose focus and become repetitive, as was the case far too often. Most opted for the 'pros and cons' approach, which generally worked well, and most expressed their own opinion one way or the other by the end of the response. This was surprisingly evenly balanced! On the 'pro' side, most suggested that television was educational, though this sometimes led to a rather tedious list of channels and what they offer. Television also allowed young people to stay in touch with current affairs, the main topics of conversation at school and encouraged friendships because you can sit around at home with 'mates' and enjoy a program (sic) together. The 'cons' thought, however, that it discouraged communication and successful friendships, resulting in people who are unfit and can't be bothered to go out with their friends. Many cited the issue of ruining your eyesight and, at this point, introduced some statistics about the number of those who watch too much television who will end up with glasses. There was thankfully less of a tendency to overdo the use of statistics in this session.

A680/02 Information and Ideas (Higher Tier)

Higher Tier

This report might be usefully read alongside the Teacher Guide for A680, which can be found on the OCR website.

Candidates found the familiar topic of school uniform readily accessible and responded well to both the reading texts and the questions. Examiners were pleased to report that there were a number of candidates who demonstrated very shrewd understanding of how to approach this paper and they offered responses clearly worthy of top band marks, however, as might be expected in a re-sit session, examiners also saw many more scripts that showed one or more areas of weakness. Most candidates completed the paper but a significant number of scripts fell into rubric error, such as use of Text A for Q3. Examiners also noted that a number of candidates had left insufficient time for planning out and developing their Writing responses.

A number of candidates had been wrongly entered for Higher Tier this session. Centres looking to support their students in this component should consider that the more structured approach to reading texts offered at Foundation Tier can prove beneficial for weaker candidates.

Question 1

Most candidates showed understanding of the content of the passage but there was less assurance around the demands of the task. There is a need to deliver a wide range of points, concisely, in a well-organised response. Candidates should show understanding through selection and synthesis. The best answers kept firm focus on the arguments against compulsory school uniform. Weaker answers lapsed into unnecessary detail, such as listing all the items of uniform that might be lost in the wash. Excessive length was a feature of most of the less successful responses.

Some candidates offered their own views on uniform and began to either agree or disagree with the writer's argument. Personal opinion has no place in this task and focus on the article must be maintained. Additionally, there were candidates who adopted a style of language commentary more appropriate to Question 2 and began discussion of how the argument is presented. Such responses cannot be successful. Centres should ensure that all candidates are aware that this type of critical comment has no place in Question 1.

Candidates are directed to use their own words 'as far as possible' here. This must be carefully balanced so that use of own words does not distort or blur the point being delivered. Examiners do acknowledge that inevitably some of the words from the text will be used, but what candidates must avoid is quotation and mere 'lifting' of lines from the text, as this does not show understanding. The word 'uniform' did not have to be replaced by 'outfits that comply with regulations'.

Question 2

The question directed candidates to consider **how** features of presentation and use of language convey the writer's dislike of school uniform. There was plenty of comment on the writer's choice of language – 'the deadening hand of uniformity' contrasted with feelings of 'liberation', for example. Candidates invariably picked up on 'itchy' uniform and wrote eloquently on how this made them feel. The emotional effect of 'dread' towards uniform and the sympathy created for poorer families was explored, alongside the 'hell' of getting uniform ready. Candidates proved very alert to what was one of the more subtle ideas, that parents are sometimes the victims of a supply chain 'racket'.

Many candidates made effort to explore the construction of the argument whereby pro-uniform ideas ('raise standards'... 'promote equality'), were considered and then knocked down with a fairly decisive body of evidence, blending facts, statistics and personal experience.

Weaker responses tended to confine attention to the picture and the headings. It should be noted that comment on images is only worthy of credit if the comment is linked to the text and shows understanding of how the picture supports the point of view. Simple comment on the fact that no individual could be discerned in the crowd of school girls was quite sufficient with, perhaps, some further comment on the dull clothing. There was no need to describe the traffic and the trees. Again, some answers were little more than a list of devices 'usually' found in media texts. Simply identifying 'dreary duplication' as alliteration achieves nothing without connection to the argument being put forward. As with Q1, it was not appropriate to bring in personal comment.

Question 3

Successful responses to this text offered secure understanding of how the piece is structured and that the initial sympathy for 'kids' (in uniform that was again 'itchy') does take a different direction in the second half of the article after, 'I see things differently.' Candidates told us that the extract 'turns', 'spins', and 'flips' at this point and examiners were happy to credit any sense that the argument falls into two distinct parts, with two distinct tones of voice.

Language comment frequently focussed on how the writer establishes a connection with the 'kids' ('you can't blame them', 'I nod sympathetically'), while insisting on her own 'wisdom' at the end. Candidates picked up on the implicit idea that she has perhaps earned the right to assert her opinion through personal experience. There was lively comment on the contrasting descriptions of the girls in 'ghastly green' alongside the girls 'strutting' and 'flaunting' their designer clothes. Candidates were quick to point out that the description of the 'primped' and 'gelled' girls was not entirely flattering. They 'whiffed'. In line with the view of the writer, candidates made some scathing comments about teachers who wear jeans and high fashion clothes to school.

Candidates often had less to say about the concluding section and it is worth pointing out that language which is not obviously flamboyant is still worthy of comment. The change in tone to more serious, even solemn consideration of 'manners', 'respect' and 'established values' was noted by many and linked to a change in perspective, from the teenagers' view ('Like, I can't bear it, you know?'), to the adults' view ('Old-fashioned it may be....').

Successful responses to questions 2 and 3 will always avoid empty formulaic comments about the extract being 'relatable' and 'drawing you in' and will instead offer clear, precise direct reference. Answers that simply describe what can be seen ('a mixture of long and short paragraphs') are offering little to credit. It will never be helpful to tell the examiner that there are 'black letters on white paper.'

Centres preparing candidates for this component should note that achievement is very closely linked to a clear understanding of purpose. Emphasis must be placed on understanding of how to meet the demands of the individual tasks.

Section B Writing

Question 4

This was a popular choice and candidates of all abilities had plenty to say. Material from the given texts proved a helpful stimulus, with candidates frequently picking up on the ideas around duplication and diversity from Text A. Use of material from the reading booklet is entirely acceptable and does offer candidates a way into the topic, although candidates should be cautioned that they must not simply repeat sections of text. We are looking to reward original use of language.

No audience was given for this task, although some candidates did choose to adopt a discursive tone as if in a debate or speech. Again this was acceptable. Where no audience is stated the implied audience is simply the examiner and the examiner will credit a variety of approaches. The best responses were well-organised and showed evidence of careful planning. Good focus on 'how important...' and 'how easy...' supported candidates in the construction of their responses.

Responses that achieved some balance and considered both issues of individuality and those aspects of modern life that might impact on this were among the most successful. Content was wide-ranging and certainly not confined simply to matters of dress. Thoughtful exploration of the topic was well-evidenced, with a number of responses arguing that actually individuality was much over-rated and there was a lot to be said for belonging to a group.

Question 5

This produced a wide variety of responses although curiously several pieces began, 'It was the night of the prom....' and examiners who served on the June panel experienced a sense of déjà vu.

This task did specify a genre and audience in the diary/blog format and this was clearly familiar to most. Many candidates used their chosen format creatively, often to humorous effect, although those that simply started 'Dear Diary' also fulfilled the brief. The more self-contained nature of a blog or diary did help candidates to avoid excessive length and responses to this task were generally quite well-structured. It is worth pointing out that candidates who choose to adopt an entirely peer-focussed, 'chatty' style should still try to include some more complex vocabulary and structures if they aspire to the higher bands.

Examiners appreciated the amusing and engaging narratives offered and certainly marvelled at the lengths to which candidates will go in pursuit of their individual style. Many narratives included an 'exasperated Head of Year' – a figure with whom we all identified – trying to find the precise uniform infringement that would justify sending the bizarrely attired student home. The student usually won.

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
1 Hills Road
Cambridge
CB1 2EU

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)

Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



