

GCSE Computing Controlled Assessment

Unit A452 Practical Investigation
Unit Recording Sheet

Please read the instructions printed on the other side of this form. **One** of these Unit Recording Sheets, suitably completed, should be attached to the assessed work of **each** candidate.

Unit	A452	Year	2	0	
Centre Name		Centre Number			
Candidate Name		Candidate Number			

	Guidance	Teacher Comment	Mark
Practical Investigation	<p>There may be little or no evidence of any practical investigation. The evidence supplied is minimal and poorly documented with little relevance to the set task. The practical evidence may all be the result of group or teacher led activity with little input from the student.</p> <p>[0 - 5]</p> <p>There is evidence of a practical investigation The evidence supplied is documented clearly and is relevant to the set task There is evidence of individual research beyond the group activity and any teacher led activity. The practical investigations show signs of planning but there may be omissions made in assessing the consequences.</p> <p>[6 - 10]</p> <p>There is evidence of a well structured practical investigation The evidence supplied is well organised and clearly relevant to the set task There is extensive evidence of individual practical investigation beyond the group activity and any teacher led activity The practical investigation shows clear signs of planning and a structured approach to evidence gathering to provide a complete investigation of the set topic area and beyond. Practical investigation has been carried out with skill and due regard to safety issues.</p> <p>[11 - 15]</p>		Max 15

Effective and efficient use of techniques	<p>The techniques used may not be entirely appropriate to the problem and will only produce partially working solutions to a small part of the problem.</p> <p>[0 - 3]</p>	<p>The techniques will be used appropriately giving working solutions to most of the parts of the problem.</p> <p>Some parts of the solution may be executed in a partial or inefficient manner.</p> <p>[4 - 7]</p>	<p>The techniques are used appropriately in all cases giving an efficient, working solution for all parts of the problem.</p> <p>[8 - 10]</p>		Max 10
Technical understanding	<p>The candidate demonstrates a limited understanding of the technical issues related to the scenario.</p> <p>Little detail is presented.</p> <p>There will be limited indication of any evidence provided being analysed.</p> <p>There is little correct use of technical terminology.</p> <p>[0 - 3]</p>	<p>The candidate demonstrates a reasonable understanding of the technical issues related to the scenario.</p> <p>The amount of detail presented is adequate to support the arguments.</p> <p>There is some analysis carried out on the evidence collected.</p> <p>The use of technical terminology is largely correct but it may be limited.</p> <p>[4 - 7]</p>	<p>The candidate demonstrates a thorough and secure understanding of the technical issues related to the scenario.</p> <p>A wide range of relevant and detailed information is presented.</p> <p>The evidence which has been collected is fully analysed.</p> <p>Technical terminology is used correctly.</p> <p>At the top end of the band, this will be extensive and confidently used.</p> <p>[8 - 10]</p>		Max 10

Conclusions and evaluation	<p>Conclusions are weak or missing with little or no justification.</p> <p>The solution is presented with little if any evidence of testing.</p> <p>The evidence of written communication is limited with little or no use of specialist terms.</p> <p>There are many errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p> <p>Information may be ambiguous or disorganised.</p> <p>There is limited if any reference to evidence.</p> <p>The evaluation may be simplistic with little or no relevance.</p>	<p>The material has structure and coherence with justifiable conclusions being reached although there may be some omissions.</p> <p>There is evidence that the solutions have been tested for basic functionality.</p> <p>Candidates will have produced a sound evaluation which reviews some aspects of the task.</p> <p>Evidence of good written communication using some specialist terms.</p> <p>There are few errors in spelling, grammar and punctuation.</p> <p>Information for the most part will be presented in a structured format.</p> <p>Specialist terms will be used appropriately and for the most part correctly.</p>	<p>Thorough and convincing conclusions have been reached, which are borne out by the research carried out by the candidate.</p> <p>The solutions are fully tested and there is little doubt that the solutions presented are fully functional.</p> <p>This material has been presented in a clear and relevant way which is simple to navigate.</p> <p>A high level of written communication is obvious throughout the task and specialist terms/technology with accurate use of spelling is used.</p> <p>Grammar and punctuation is consistently correct.</p> <p>Information is presented in a coherent and structured format.</p> <p>The evaluation will be relevant, clear, organised and presented in a structured and coherent format.</p>		
	[0 - 3]	[4 – 7]	[8- 10]		Max 10
				Total/45	

Guidance on Completion of this Form

- 1 One sheet should be used for each candidate.
- 2 Please ensure that the appropriate boxes at the top of the form are completed.
- 3 Using the guidance identify the most appropriate mark range for the work and enter the mark awarded for each element in the mark column.
- 4 Add appropriate comments to assist the moderator in the 'Teacher Comment' column.
- 5 Add the marks for the strands together to give a total out of 45. Enter this total in the relevant box.