

CAMBRIDGE TECHNICALS LEVEL 3 (2016)

Examiners' report

HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE



05830-05833, 05871

Unit 2 January 2020 series

Version 1

Contents

ntroduction	3
Paper Unit 2 series overview	4
Question 1 (a)	5
Question 1 (b)	6
Question 1 (c)	6
Question 2 (a) and (b)	7
Question 2 (b)	8
Question 2 (c)	8
Question 3 (a)	9
Question 3 (b)	10
Question 4 (a)	11
Question 4 (b)	12
Question 4 (c)	12
Question 5 (a)	13
Question 5 (b)	14
Question 5 (c)	15
Copyright information	15



Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this pdf as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select *Save as...* to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for *pdf* to word converter).



We value your feedback

We'd like to know your view on the resources we produce. By clicking on the icon above you will help us to ensure that our resources work for you.

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates. The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report. A full copy of the question paper can be downloaded from OCR.

You can now find the results awarded in 2018/19 for your Cambridge Technical subject area

As a centre approved to offer our Cambridge Technicals qualifications, we wanted to let you know we have now published the <u>results awarded</u> for 2018/19 Level 2 and 3 Cambridge Technicals (2016 suite). This information is helpful in allowing you to compare your centre achievements alongside national outcomes.

To browse to the document, log in to <u>Interchange</u>, click on 'Resources and materials>Past papers and mark schemes' in the left-hand menu and select 'Cambridge Technicals (2016) Results Awarded 2018/2019' from the drop down list.

ExamBuilder

Remember to keep your eye on ExamBuilder as we continue to update the bank of questions post exam series in line with our past paper policy. Therefore, you can be assured that new assessment material will continually be fed into ExamBuilder on an annual basis.

Online post series external feedback

Keep an eye out for feedback for our post series feedback on Exams for Cambridge Technicals Webinars available in the autumn term.

© OCR 2020

Paper Unit 2 series overview

Candidates achieved a wide range of marks. Those achieving high marks had been well-prepared and demonstrated detailed knowledge of the specification topics. Many candidates demonstrated good exam technique by producing well-structured responses that addressed the command verbs and confidently used subject specific terminology.

Though sometimes an area of weakness, with some candidates lacking knowledge of basic facts, this session there was seen to be an improvement in knowledge about the Care Certificate and the Care Quality Commission.

There was no evidence to suggest that candidates ran out of time, all questions were attempted by most of the candidates. Few candidates made use of the extra pages at the end of the paper suggesting they are writing more focused responses and are guided by the number of answer lines provided. It was good practice and very helpful for examiners when candidates put a note at the bottom of their response in the main paper stating that a question had been continued on the extra pages.

Some scripts proved very challenging to mark due to poor handwriting. This can be very difficult for examiners to decipher and centres should encourage candidates to write as clearly as possible so that they can gain full credit for their responses.

While typing their responses solved the handwriting issue for some candidates, many used a very tiny font size and did not use double spacing, making annotating and marking difficult. A font such as Arial, size of 11 or 12 with double spaced lines should be used to assist the examiners to annotate scripts accurately and with clarity.

Candidate performance overview

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:

- Demonstrated an understanding and familiarity with the different command verbs such as identify, describe, explain and discuss, e.g.1(c) explain, 4(a) describe and 4(c) discuss.
- Produced clear and concise responses for Level of Response questions: 1(c), 4(c) and 5(b).
- Applied knowledge and understanding appropriately to questions set in a health, social care or early year's context or scenario as seen in 1(a), 2(a), 2(b) and 4(a).
- Demonstrated accurate knowledge of the CQC and Care Certificate for 2(c) and 3(a).

Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:

- Lacked precision in their responses, e.g. 1(a) where some candidates identified impacts of
 discriminatory practice but did not develop their responses by explaining the potential
 effects on Steve. Also 4(a) some gave vague and imprecise suggestions for ensuring the
 welfare of a child is paramount and 5(c) supporting the right of choice.
- Found it difficult to apply what they had learnt to health, social care or early year's contexts, 2(a) residential care unit and 4(a) a nursery for example.
- Produced responses that were irrelevant to what had been asked, such as writing about health & safety when the question is about conflict management 5(b).

Question 1 (a)

Steve, 86, had a stroke. When released from hospital, he chose to return home as he did not want to live in residential care.

A physiotherapist visits Steve at home once a week helping him to do exercises that will help with his mobility and improve the use of his right arm.

Steve often feels upset because the physiotherapist handles him roughly, bruising him. The physiotherapist tells Steve that he is silly wanting to be on his own at home, and that he would be better off in a residential home.

(a)	Describe two types of discriminatory practice that Steve is experiencing.
	1
	2
	[4]

Generally, this question was well answered with descriptions of the different types of abuse Steve is experiencing. Candidates wrote about examples, from the text, of direct discrimination such as physical abuse and verbal abuse.

A small number of candidates incorrectly wrote about 'indirect' discrimination. Others did not gain marks for writing about the 'impact' of the discriminatory practice on Steve which is not required by the question.

Question 1 (b)

(b)	List three appropriate ways that Steve could challenge this discrimination.
	1
	2
	3
	[3]

Quite a few candidates did not achieve marks due to vague responses such as 'talk to the physio' or 'report it'.

Candidates who stated responses such as 'talk to the physio and explain they are wrong to treat Steve like this' or 'report the physio to the hospital management' gained the marks.

Answers should relate appropriately to the context provided. Some candidates wrote what they had learnt in class, rather than focussing on the case study, i.e. 'challenge through long term campaigns' which is straight from the specification, not appropriate, and not applied to the situation. Some gave extreme responses such as 'take the physio to court' or 'call the police'.

Others wrote 'change physiotherapist' or 'ask for a different physio' which are not ways of challenging the discriminatory treatment.

Question 1 (c)

[7]

Quite well answered by many candidates, with the majority achieving Level 2 marks.

The main reason that restricted the marks gained by candidates was a lack of explanation. The question's command verb is 'explain' and though some candidates identified lots of impacts which, though they were relevant, were not really linked to the case study with an explanation.

Level 3 marks were often missed due to this lack of explanation of cause and its impact – how one effect could lead on to a greater impact.



AfL

Giving fewer impacts with some explanation of links between cause and effect, that are clearly related to the case study, would gain higher marks.

Question 2 (a) and (b)

2 Read the following extract from a CQC (Care Quality Commission) inspection report for a Residential Care Unit, then answer questions 2(a), 2(b) and 2(c).

The Unit provides accommodation, nursing or personal care for 8 individuals who have mental health conditions relating to substance misuse or alcohol and drug related problems.

Staff meetings are held regularly to enable discussions around best practice. Areas discussed include learning from any incidents and also include input from the therapy team where the needs of individual residents are discussed. Staff are also supported through reflective practice sessions to enable learning to be shared.

Inspectors found that staff were not always fully supported to carry out their roles. Supervision was not always available and there were some gaps in training as not all staff had attended courses in safeguarding, moving and handling, substance misuse and fire safety.

Medicines Administration Records (MAR) include a photograph of the individual so staff can check identities before administering any medicines. MARs seen by the inspectors were complete with details of the type and dose of medication; however where staff had recorded 'other' on people's MAR as to why medication may not have been administered, the reason for this was not always recorded. Therefore, the staff were not able to identify any common reasons or trends to show why an individual's medicines may not have been administered.

Adapted from:

(a)

https://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/new_reports/INS2-4112217420.pdf Accessed 16.03.19

Give two examples of good practice from the care unit's inspection report.
1
2
[2]

Question 2 (b)

(b)	Give two examples of poor practice from the care unit's inspection report.
	1
	2
	[2]
	dates gained full marks for 2(a) and 2(b) by choosing correct examples of good and poor
ractice fro	om the case study provided.
\ few cand	lidates didn't gain marks due to stating a repeat or giving an example that did not relate to the
	or one that they had made up themselves.
ase study	of one that they had made up themselves.
Questior	n 2 (c)
(c)*	The CQC have graded the Residential Care Unit as: 'requires improvement'.
(0)	
	Explain at least two ways in which the CQC can help care settings to improve the
	standard of care they provide.
	[6]
here were	e very varied responses to this question. Those candidates familiar with the CQC were able to

There were very varied responses to this question. Those candidates familiar with the CQC were able to provide specific and accurate information which was then related to the case study and so gained the marks.

Some candidates seemed to lack any knowledge of the CQC at all. These candidates tended to focus their responses on what the care setting itself could do to improve, rather than answering the question which is what the CQC can do to help.

Many weaker responses often incorrectly stated that the CQC would provide the care setting with money to improve or deliver training for the staff.



Misconception

The most common incorrect response was that the CQC provides training for care practitioners.

Candidates need to know that the main role of the CQC is inspecting, monitoring and measuring standards in care settings. They identify strengths and weaknesses in care delivery and advise on improvements required.

They may identify training that is needed but do not provide it.

Question 3 (a)

(a) Complete the sentences about the Care Certificate.

Use words from the list.

You can use each word once, more than once, or not at all.

knowledge	legislation	sixteen	fourteen
fifteen	maximum	minimum	supervision
initiative	confidentiality	duty	experience
assessed	dignity	opportunities	
The Care Certificat	te is a national		. that sets out
the	standa	ards that should be act	nieved by care workers
before they are allo	owed to work without d	irect	
There are		standards and care w	orkers
are	agains	st these. Examples of	standards include: privacy
and, equality and diversity, and duty of care.			
The aim of the Car	e Certificate is for all c	are workers to have th	ne same skills
and	to be	able to give safe and h	nigh-quality support for the
individuals for who	m they are providing c	are.	

Generally, candidates managed to achieve most of the marks available, but few got them all.

'Legislation' was often given instead of 'initiative' and 'fifteen' or 'maximum' were often given instead of 'minimum'. A number of candidates put 'confidentiality' instead of 'dignity' demonstrating a lack of knowledge as 'confidentiality' is not one of the fifteen standards.

[7]

Question 3 (b)

(b) Individuals who use health and social care settings should be treated with equality. Identify five ways in which individuals can be treated with equality in care settings. Tick (✓) five boxes.

Individuals should:	Tick (✓) five only.
never be discriminated against	
always be treated the same	
always be given a choice of medication	
be respected as individuals	
be treated differently	
be given fair treatment	
be given the same opportunities	
always be given a choice of care practitioner	
be offered the same food as everyone else	
always be treated according to their needs	

[5]

Most candidates gained full marks.

The most common incorrect answer was that individuals should 'always be treated the same'.

Question 4 (a)

Sundip has been on a course in preparation for her new role as nursery manager. She found two of the sessions very interesting. One was about values of care, in particular 'making the welfare of the child paramount' and the other was a discussion about raising a serious concern by 'whistleblowing'.

(a)	Describe two ways in which nursery staff, including Sundip, the nursery manager, could ensure that 'the welfare of children is paramount'.
	1
	2
	[4]

Generally this question was not well answered. Answers often lacked any detail and often only achieved 1 rather than 2 marks. Answers were often very vague and general and sometimes referred to other values of care.

Candidates will have heard of this particular value of care (LO1 on the specification 'values of care in child care services') but struggled to give good examples of how to practise it in the nursery context.

Question 4 (b)

(b) Identify **three** appropriate ways of raising a serious concern about poor practice, such as abuse or neglect.

Tick (\checkmark) three boxes.

	Tick (✓) three only.
Contact a regulatory body such as Ofsted.	,
Follow the organisation's complaints procedures.	
Have an informal chat with a work colleague about the issue causing concern.	
Meet with the organisation's senior member of staff and explain your concern.	
Report the concern to the media so it gets publicity.	
Talk to your supervisor and discuss the issue.	

[3]

Some candidates did not notice that it was a 'serious concern' about 'abuse or neglect' which caused them to misjudge the answer and incorrectly choose using the organisation's complaints procedures.

Question 4 (c)

(c)*	e)* Discuss why a care worker may decide not to raise a concern about poor practice they have observed.			
		6		

This was well answered by many candidates. Some, however, stated the care worker might not raise a concern because it might not have been 'poor practice' or the care worker might not have been sure whether it was 'poor practice', when the question clearly states that it <u>was</u> poor practice. Statements that 'it might be a one off' were quite common but did not gain marks.



AfL

Candidates should be advised not to rush; they should read the question very carefully – read it twice. This way they will have a better chance of producing an accurate response which will gain the marks.

Question 5 (a)

5

James has recently started as a volunteer at a local foodbank. He has noticed that some of the volunteers do not always follow the health and safety procedures. For example boxes left in the middle of the floor are trip hazards, fresh food is not put in the fridge and some of the volunteers don't wash their hands after using the toilet.

James has pointed out the poor standards to the other volunteers, but this has caused conflict. The experienced volunteers say that they have been doing this longer than James and don't need him to tell them what to do.

(a) The manager of the foodbank decides to take action to manage the conflict between James and the other volunteers.

Identify three appropriate actions for the manager to take.

Tick (✓) three boxes.

Possible actions	Tick (✓) three only.
Have an informal chat with the experienced volunteers, empathise with them and discuss possible changes.	
Tell James that he needs to listen and be more co-operative to fit in with the other volunteers who have been there longer than him and know what they are doing.	
Listen to James, but don't do anything about it, he is entitled to his opinion but there's nothing wrong with the way things are done.	
Ask two of the experienced volunteers and James to work together to prepare and deliver a short information session to help everyone to follow the correct health and safety procedures.	
Tell James to take no notice, it is only 'banter' and things will settle down in time.	
Ask James to write a list of things that he thinks need changing.	

[3]

Most candidates got full marks for this question.

Question 5 (b)

J	usti	fy y	/ou	r c h	1010	e (of a	ans	SW	ers	s to) 5	(a)	-									
												. 			 								

Many candidates did well on this 'justification' question. The quality of responses for this type of question are improving with many candidates organising their responses into three distinct paragraphs, one for each option, and writing clearly focused and relevant justifications.

However there are candidates that waste time and space by re-stating the three actions from the question paper – there are no marks for this. A brief reference to their choice such as 'having an informal chat' or 'asking James to deliver an information session' and 'write a list of changes' is all that is needed. This leaves more answer space and time for the candidate's actual response to the question.

Candidates who had read the question carefully focused their responses on developing working relationships and lines of communication to manage the conflict. Good responses referred to aspects such as teamwork, valuing other's contributions, listening to other's points of view and making people feel valued.

Many candidates however did not really answer the question as they incorrectly focused on how the options chosen would help improve health and safety at the foodbank. This was not required and did not gain any marks.

Question 5 (c)

(c)	 State two ways that the foodbank could support the right to choice for the many c individuals using their services. 											
	1											
	2 [2											

The main reason that candidates did not gain marks was that they just simply repeated the question stating answers such as 'give them a choice' or 'give them a variety to choose from'.

Answers which referred to providing vegan options, or food that meets dietary requirements such as gluten free for example, or food that meets cultural requirements such as Kosher or Halal all gained marks.

Copyright information

Question 2 - Adapted text from CareQuality Commission Inspection Report, www.cqc.org.uk, CareQuality Commission, 29 May 2018. Reproduced under the terms of the Open Government Licence 3.0.

Supporting you

For further details of this qualification please visit the subject webpage.

Review of results

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u>. If university places are at stake you may wish to consider priority service 2 reviews of marking which have an earlier deadline to ensure your reviews are processed in time for university applications.

CPD Training

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear exam feedback directly from a senior assessor or drop in to an online Q&A session.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our website.

www.ocr.org.uk

OCR Resources: the small print

OCR's resources are provided to support the delivery of OCR qualifications, but in no way constitute an endorsed teaching method that is required by OCR. Whilst every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the content, OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions within these resources. We update our resources on a regular basis, so please check the OCR website to ensure you have the most up to date version.

This resource may be freely copied and distributed, as long as the OCR logo and this small print remain intact and OCR is acknowledged as the originator of this work.

Our documents are updated over time. Whilst every effort is made to check all documents, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, therefore please use the information on the latest specification at all times. Where changes are made to specifications these will be indicated within the document, there will be a new version number indicated, and a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource please contact us at: resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk.

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR, or are considering switching from your current provider/awarding organisation, you can request more information by completing the Expression of Interest form which can be found here: www.ocr.org.uk/expression-of-interest

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support delivery of our qualifications: resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk

Looking for a resource?

There is now a quick and easy search tool to help find **free** resources for your qualification:

www.ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our

Customer Support Centre.

Vocational qualifications

Telephone 02476 851509 Facsimile 02476 851633

Email vocational.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge. For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored.

© **OCR 2020** Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.



