FINANCIAL REPORTING IN HOUSING ASSOCIATIONS

Professional 2 examination June 2000

MARKING SCHEME



(a) Income and expenditure account for the year ended 31 March 2000

	£000	£000	
Turnover (2,640+1,400		4,040	1
(iv)			
Less: Operating costs (1,947+197(vi+vii)+4		(3,048)	
(1,547+157(VI+VII)+4 (Vii)	(2,148)	(3,040)	3
Cost of sales(iv)	(900)		1
Operating surplus		992	
Interest receivable		156	
Interest payable		(310)	
Surplus for year		838	
Transfer to /from			
Designated Reserves			
Rent surplus for year (i)	(286)		1
Repairs for year (ii) Capital surplus on sale	229		1 2
(1,400- 900x90%)	(590)	(647)	2
(, ,		(017)	
Retained Revenue		191	
Reserve			
Revenue Reserve b/f		4,334	
Revenue Reserve c/f		4,525	1

	Cost £000	Depreciation rate	Depreciation charge
Buildings	900	2.5%	22
Equipment (594-300)	294	20%	59
Vehicles	82	20%	16
New equipment	500	20%	100
			<u></u> 197

N.B. Students may also use 198 for depreciation

(10)

FFHAXM1 Page 2 of 12

(b)

Balance Sheet as at 31 March 2000

	£000	£000	
Tangible Fixed Assets			
Housing properties			
(33,032+4,222+5,000 (v)-900(iv))		41,354	3
Less HAG			
(29,593-810(iv)+5,000x75%(v)		(32,533)	2
		8,821	
Other assets (500+900+594+82			
-300(vii)-[674-295]-197)		1,200	_ 4
Current Assets (4,695+649+1(vii) -500	5,345	10,021	\rceil
	3,343		1
Less: Creditors due within 1 year	(1,600)		1
(292+810(iv)+500) Net Current Assets	(1,602)	3,743	
Total assets less current liabilities			_
Total assets less current habilities		13,764	
Creditors due after one year		(7,574)	1
(6,324+25% x 5,000)		(7,57.1)	
Provisions for liabilities and charges		(47)	
Grant redemption fund			
		6,143	_
Net Assets			
Capital and Reserves			
Share capital		1	
Designated reserves			
-Rent surplus reserve			
(270+286 (i)-244 (iii)		312	1
-Repairs reserve			1
(700-229 (ii)+244 (iii)		715	1
-Capital reserve (iv)		590	1
Accumulated surplus		4,525	
1		6,143	┑

(15)

(25)

(a)

capital/development programme

- 3 year period linked to regulatory body's allocation process
- initial reports on viability/cost of schemes/revenue implications
- prioritisation/phasing of schemes
- approval of regulator
- matching expenditure with finance
- facilitate achievement of objectives

purpose

- strategic implementation
- monitoring and control
- optimise use of resources
- report progress against targets/remedial action
- regular and useful management information
- manage uncertainties/slippage

½ mark for each of these or other valid points up to maximum of 6

Sources of finance

HAG Sale of assets Loans Leasing PFI

1 mark each for these or other sources fully explained to maximum of 4

<u>Implications for rental levels</u>

- wide range of possible answers eg.

Sale of assets- no implications

HAG- meet costs of non-HAG financed portion of cost

Loans/ Leases/PFI- meet full cost from rentals

Trade off- higher initial costs v lower long term maintenance costs (for some types of projects)

Page 4 of 12

½ marks for each valid point made to maximum of 2

(12)

FFHAXM1

(b)

Valuation of assets

- Choice of Historic Cost or Valuation
- Historic cost- traditional method, used in company style reporting, easy to understand
- Valuation- OMVEU-RHA. Valuation by Surveyor under certain assumptions eg. willing seller, market conditions, no restrictions on sale or use.
- New SORP continues this choice of historic cost or valuation (EUV-SH)

½ mark for each valid point up to maximum of 4

Asset registers

- Record of full and current information on all assets held
- Helps to calculate depreciation, asset accountability, better management of assets
- Description/ category/unique identification reference
- Date/method of acquisition
- Initial cost/revaluation
- Asset life/depreciation method

1 mark for each valid point fully made to maximum of 4

Depreciation on housing stock

At present, not required if properties are maintained in good order throughout life by means of properly managed maintenance programme.

New SORP rejects this procedure; as all properties have finite life and at some point becomes uneconomical to continue repairing them.

2

Capitalise major repairs

FRS15- increases economic benefit

New SORP-enhances rental income, reduces future maintenance costs, extends life of asset

2

Development costs

- -Only directly attributable costs
- -costs entirely related to development
- -cease at date of practical completion

1

(13)

(25)

FFHAXM1

(a)

Performance Measurement

- Need for accountability
- No profit measure
- VFM/efficient use of resources
- Report to regulatory body/govt./public
- Problems and use of intermediate outputs
- Definitions
- Performance indicators
- Comparators/enhancement/benchmarking/Best value etc.
- Gauges level of performance
- evidence about performance
- may suggest problem areas
- assist in management of association
- comparisons over time and with peers
- limitations

½ mark for these or other valid points up to a maximum of 7

(b)

	Benchmark	Your HA
Attendance at meetings	60%	52.8%
Current tenant arrears	2.3%	6.59%
Unlet houses	2%	1.8%
Void losses	0.7%	0.94%
Reactive repairs completion	85%	91%
Staff costs	25%	24.64%
Calculation of PIs		4
Evaluation		
Repairs and maintenance appears to be p Governance - below benchmark, laxity?	erforming well,	1 1
Management costs need to be investigate	d as office costs not available	1

FFHAXM1 Page 6 of 12

Financial Reporting in Housing Associations Marking Scheme

June 2000

Housing management appears to be the problem. Both voids and arrears are above the benchmark

and further analysis should be undertaken.

1

Up to a maximum of 4 marks allocation; other appropriate evaluation points should be rewarded

(8)

(c)

A large number of relevant PIs are possible. However, in light of discussion in (ii) above they could very well include further indicators of rental income collection efficiency.

Further evidence needed on governance and management activity/efficiency Unit costs for repairs and maintenance

1 mark for each relevant indicator, fully justified up to 5

(d) Peer group analysis

- Select comparators based on size, location, category, socio-economic factors
- Special considerations-sparsity, deprivation etc.
- Identify best practice
- Disseminate best practice
- Benchmarking clubs etc.

1 mark for each relevant point fully explain ed up to maximum of 5

(25)

FFHAXM1

Cash flow statement for year ended 31 March 2000	£000	£000	
Net cash inflow from operating activities (note 1)		478	
Returns on investment and servicing of finance			
Income from short term investments Interest paid	57.5 (212.5)	(155)	1 1
Taxation		0	
Capital expenditure Payments for buildings Payments for equipment Receipts from fixed assets sold Net HAG (1212.5-12.5)	(1617.5) (300) 97.5 1,200	<u>(620)</u>	2 2
Net cash outflow before financing		(297)	
Management of liquid funds		(250)	
Financing			
Share capital Loans raised Loans repaid (650+132+125)	1 1,343 (907)	437	1 2 2
Decrease in cash		<u>(110)</u>	1
Operating surplus			
Accumulated surplus increase (1032-970) Reserves increase (622-550) Interest payable Interest receivable		62 72 212.5 (57.5)	1 1
Surplus before taxation		<u>289</u>	1
Net cash flow from operating activities (Note 1)			
Surplus before taxation Depreciation Increase in provisions Decrease in stocks Increase in debtors		289 140 115 2 (78)	1 1 1 1

FFHAXM1 Page 8 of 12

Financial Reporting in Housing Associations Marking Scheme	June 2000		
Increase in creditors Loss on sale of assets (17.5-10)+(47.5-75)+ (32.5-17.5)	5 5	1 2	
	<u>478</u>		

Interest payable

	Balance 1 April 1999	Balance 1 April 2000
Creditors > 1 year	1625	1940
Housing loans <1 year	650	785
Non housing loans < 1	year 132	118
	2407	 2843

Average 2407+2843 = 5250/2 = 2625 $2625 \times 8\% = 210$ add overdraft interest 2.5. Total = 212.5

Interest receivable

Bank 5 Short term 52.5 57.5

Payments for Buildings, Equipment, Vehicles

	Buildings	Equipment	Vehicles
Balance 1.4.99	9450	875	400
Disposals (vii+viii)	(17.5)	(50)	(125)
Acquisitions (balancing figure)	1617.5	125	175
Balance 31.3 00	11050	950	450
HAG/Depn. Balance 1.4.99	7250	550	225
Disposals (vii+viii)	(12.5)	(40)	(50)
Additions/charges (balance)	1212.5	65	75
Balance 31.3 00	8450	575	250

Loans raised

	Housing	Non housing
Balance 1.4 99	1250	375
Special repayment (vi)	(125)	
Repayable 2000/01 (ii)	(785)	(118)
Raised during year (balancing)	1235	108
Balance 31.3.00	1575	365

•

Financial Reporting in	Housing	Associations
Marking Scheme	_	

June 2000

Reconciliation of net cash flow to movement of debt

Decrease in cash Net cash raised by loans(1940-1625) Cash used to increase short term investments	(110) (315) 250	
Change in net debt	(175)	1
Net Debt 1.4 99 Net Debt 31.3 00	687 862	1

Analysis of change in net debt

	1.4.99	31.3.00	Change	
Cash	88	(22)	(110)	
Debt due after 1 year	(1625)	(1940)	(315)	
Short term investments	<u>850</u>	1100	<u>250</u>	
	(687)	(862)	(175)	

(25)

(a) Fixed costs	474,000		1
Variable costs			
Agency staff 2 Catering 9	16,000/18 = 889 p 28,000/18 = 1556 96,000/18 = 5,333 18,000/18 = 1,000	er resident	1 1 1 1
Total cost at full occupancy			
Fixed costs Cleaning (889x22 Agency (1556x22 Catering(5333x22 Laundry(1000x22	2) 19. 2) 34. 2) 117	000 558 232 326 000 116	1
Weekly cost per res Weekly cost Option 1 income Option 2 income	sident 667,1 583.14 624 492	16/22/52 = 583.14	1 1
Would appear unit cost analysis rules out option 2 but must look at marginal cost analysis			1
Option 1			
Additional cost (4 more residents) 889+1556+5333+1000 = 8778x4 = 35,112 65% occupancy = 22,823 Additional income(4x624x52x65%) = 84,365 Surplus income 61,542			1 1
Option 2			
Additional cost Additional income Surplus income	e (4x492x52)	35,112 102,336 67,224	1 1
Other factors (eg) Cost mix (fixed/variable) may change For some weeks occupancy may potentially exceed 18 Marginal costing not appropriate for long term arrangement Other suitable comment up to			up to a maximum of 3
Recommend option 2 and justify			1

FFHAXM1 Page 11 of 12

June 2000

Report format, clarity, logical argument etc.

up to a maximum of 2

(19)

(b)

Answers will be particularised to student's own housing association, but should cover:

- total cost recognition
- cost allocation
- overhead/support costs
- planned occupancy
- full cost recovery
- restricted use of marginal cost pricing
- unit cost
- benchmarking/clustering etc.

up to a maximum of 6

(25)