# BUSINESS STRATEGY IN HOUSING ASSOCIATIONS

Professional 2 June 1999

MARKING SCHEME



Business Strategy in Housing Associations Marking Scheme

## Question 1

(a)

Students could select four services from:

- development services
- internal audit
- financial services
- information technology
- architectural services
- legal services
- security

 $(\frac{1}{2} mark each)$  2

Advantages and disadvantages will be specific to services chosen but could include:

Advantages	Disadvantages
• improved efficiency	• loss of management control
• better value for money	• less responsive to tenants' needs
• potential reductions in overheads	• lines of communication are more
• stimulus to internal improvement	complex
• access to best practice	
• access to more highly qualified	
staff	

 $\frac{1}{2}$  mark to identify,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark to expand up to a maximum of 6

## (b) Contractual arrangements

If provison remains in-house specification is likely to become basis of service level agreement.

If service externalised then formal contract will exist between association and provider.

1 1

(c) Key players - roles and responsibilities

The student should make a distinction between the period leading up to award of the contract/SLA and the operation of the service thereafter.

Client – period to contract/SLA

Main role - to act as sponsor or buyer.

Business Strategy in Housing Associations Marking Scheme

3
2
(5)
am and
1 or 2
1 or 2
$(Up \ to \ a \ maximum \ of \ 3)$
8

SU2 & TU pg. 43

(a) Strategic Analysis:

Strategic Choice:

Strategic Implementation:

Linkages - examples include:

anaylsis generates strategic issues that feed into choice choice will be a function of capability which will impact on implementation capabilities linked to evaluation linked to implementation environment linked to generation linked to monitoring review linked to capabilities and environment

(1 mark for each linkage identified and explained, up to a maximum of 3)

## (b) Deliberate:

planned strategy formal plans centrally driven workable in stable environment continuous response to the environment

#### Emergent:

strategies which are realised differently from or in the absence of intention. internalise imposition into internal plans

(2 marks for each definition)

(10)

(c) Clearly answers may differ depending on the organisation concerned. Discussion should centre around extent to which strategies are realised as intended and would normally conclude that an emergent strategy is followed to at least some degree.

(Marks should be awarded for clear argument and conclusion. Answer will discuss internal dynamics of strategy formulation and/or extent to which sectoral environment likely to impose strategy on an organisation). 1 mark per relevant point made.

(18)

#### SU11

(b)

(a) A full description of the model should include: the picture of the model shown in SU 11, a short note on the activities

(2 marks for the diagram/explanation of model, 2 marks explaining support activities, 2 marks explaining primary activities)

6

- Uses include assessing: resource utilisation potential for cost leadership effectiveness in establishing capabilities for differentiation potential for integration linkages (1 mark for each point made) 4
- (c) A good answer will attempt to match their organisation's activities to the value chain. eg

Housing Associations Sector:

Inbound Logistics:	Process of aquiring inputs
Operations:	Manufacture of replacement windows
Outbound Logistics:	Transfer of windows to installation site
Marketing:	Advertising of services to tenants
Service:	Housing Management cyclical housing maintenance

Supported by secondary activities

Discussion of linkages would then be useful - e.g. problems in Inbound Logistics affecting the efficiency of Operations, and poor Operations having an effect on the cost of Service.

*Credit is available for conceptual discussion of applicability or otherwise as well as illustrative matching.* 

( $\frac{1}{2}$  mark per activity identified to a maximum of 3,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark per explanation of activity to maximum of 3, 2 marks for linkages explained)

8

(18)

- (a) Barriers to change:
  - Routines Culture Power bases Systems Symbols

( $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for identifying each barrier plus  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for explaining why it is a barrier to change - total 5 marks)

Management Styles:

Education Participation Intervention Negotiation Manipulation Power

( $\frac{1}{2}$  marks for identifying each style to a maximum of 2 marks, plus  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for outlining the style - total 4 marks)

(b) Budget monitoring:

pros. system in operation
use of resources against objectives
cons. inputs not outputs
lateness of preparation

Contract monitoring:

pros. monitored by client external performance management cons. may not cover all of strategy external focus (9)

# Monitoring quality: (questionnaires etc.)

pros.	all embracive output orientated	
cons.	cost of collection Selznick's management theory	
(1 mark for descrip	tion, 1 mark for pros and 1 mark for cons)	(9)

(½ mark fo	r identfication	without description)	(18)
------------	-----------------	----------------------	------

(a) DCF Calculations

Year	Cashflow (£000)	DF	DCF (£000)	
0	(4,000)	1	(4,000)	
1-30	975	13.765	13,420.875	
			9,420.875	NPV

**Option A** 

# **Option B**

Year	Cashflow	DF	DCF	
	( <b>£000</b> )		(£000)	
0	(1,000)	1	(1,000)	
1-15	800	9.712	7,769.6	
			6,769.6	NPV

# **Option C**

Year	Cashflow	DF	DCF	
	( <b>£000</b> )		(£000)	
0	(3,000)	1	(3,000)	
1-15	1,1500	9.712	11,168.8	
			8,168.8	NPV

## Annual Equivalent Calculations

Option A	<u>£9,420,875</u> 13.765	= £684,407.91
Option B	<u>£6,769,600</u> 9.712	= £697,034.59
Option C	<u>£8,168,800</u> 9.712	= £841,103.78

mark - identification of cashflows
mark - identification of annuity factor
mark - discounting

Business Strategy in Housing Associations Marking Scheme

1 mark - NPV (Max 1 mark deducated for errors in calculations) 2 marks - AE Calculations

June 1999

Weighted Benefit Analysis

Options							
			Α		В		С
Criteria	Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score
V	6	5	30	6	36	9	54
W	3	10	30	10	30	5	15
Х	2	10	20	5	10	6	12
Y	1	8	8	4	4	8	8
Ζ	2	5	10	10	20	7	14
			98		100		103

Weightings 1 mark Analysis/weighted score 2 marks comment/conclusion 1 mark

(b) **Principle Risks** 

- outcome is a function of selected weights and scores
- ability of projects to meet timescale assumed.
- ability to raise levels of finance assumed within timescales assumed
- robustness of cost assumptions.

## Further work:

- redo wba with different weights, based upon discussion with senior managers
- preliminary pre-tender discussions with interested contractors concerning feasibility of timescale assumptions, reinforced by communicating intention to include penalty clauses in specification
- discussions with commercial property agents; investigation of possible incentive arrangements to encourage target meeting
- discuss cost projections with budget managers and commercial lenders (1 mark per relevant point) 8
- (c) Advantages:
  - transfer of risk
  - greater efficiency of management may impact favourably on running cost performance
  - may make timing of land disposals less material

Disadvantages:

- identification of a contractor is a complex process with a number of uncertainties
- likely to require higher cost of capital
- loss of control
- possible increase in quality (1 mark per relevant point) 5

(23)

## (Underlining denotes key points)

(a) SU2- The Corporate Plan is clearly intended to be a strategic, not a business, plan although it has <u>strayed into the operational area</u> with targets being set for standards of delivery of some services. The glaring omission is that the <u>stakeholders have not</u> <u>been involved or even consulted</u> in the preparation of the plan. Nor has any serious effort been made to sell the ideas to staff. The plan itself is too big at <u>30</u> pages and the objectives are <u>not fully SMART</u>, e.g. there is <u>no clear target</u> for answering telephone calls. There is no mention of the existence of <u>departmental business plans</u> and no arrangements for <u>feedback and monitoring</u>. There can therefore be <u>no effective review of strategy</u>.

Other relevant points can also be made by the student.

One mark for each point up to 8

- (b) As with most plans, the intentions, if not the contents, are probably sound. The plan has been launched so <u>it cannot be "unwritten</u>" and started again, and the student should recognise this: the answer to part (b) should not simply be a reversal of the points made in part (a).
  - The first step would be the <u>relaunching</u> of the Plan, which would serve to address the missing element of <u>communication</u>. This should be done in such a way as to ensure that all stakeholders, especially staff, are aware of its existence and its contents.
    - 2
  - Finance, in common with other business units, needs to prepare its own departmental business or operational plan to <u>translate the strategic into the deliverable</u>. Points which appear in the scenario indicate that the Finance Department has a problem in <u>communicating</u> with the tenants and also <u>financial reporting</u> does not seem too good. There are perceived problems with <u>staff numbers</u>, <u>attitude ("the enemy") and morale</u>, all of which need to be addressed by the operational plan.

Thus the operational plan might need to include enhanced staffing though resources for this would need to be sanctioned, and <u>training</u> in eg telephone response.(*1 mark per point*) 5

(8)

(c) This section seeks an understanding of the planning process. The candidate should set out the basic steps.

SU 4 sets out the basics of business planning:

•	Involve others, e.g	Board Members	Employees
	Unions	Pressure Groups	
		Creditors	Tenants
		Suppliers	Government

Local Community

- "Top down" or "bottom up" approach?
- Determine the context in which the organisation or unit exists
- Its current position.
- Action to be taken.
- Other information which is helpful in putting the plan into perspective for users of the plan.
- Presentation of the plan.
- Monitoring & Review

(1 mark per relevant point) 7 (23)