MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING

Professional 1 December 2001

MARKING SCHEME



⁽a) Production budget

	January	February	March	April	
	Units	Units	Units	Units	
Sales	210,000	180,000	210,000	220,000	
Less opening stock	22,000	27,000	31,500	33,000	1
Add closing stock	27,000	31,500	33,000	30,000	2
Production	215,000	184,500	211,500	217,000	1

(April is not required as part of the answer)

(b) Materials Purchases budget

	January	February	March	April
	Kg	Kg	Kg	Kg
Material Usage	430,000	369,000	423,000	434,000
Less opening stock	104,000	92,250	105,750	
Add closing stock	92,250	105,750	108,500	
Purchases	418,250	382,500	425,750	
X Price	X £3.50	X £3.50	X £3.50	
Purchases value	£1,463,875	£1,338,750	£1,490,125	

(April is not required as part of the answer)

(c) Cash budget

	January	February	March	
CASH INFLOWS	£	£	£	
Sales receipts (W.1)	3,600,000	3,285,000	3,456,000	
CASH OUTFLOWS				
Purchases	1,463,875	1,338,750	1,490,125	1/
Labour (W.2)	1,290,000	1,107,000	1,269,000	
Variable O/H (W.3)	350,000	393,400	401,400	1 1/2
Fixed O/H	150,000	175,000	175,000	1/
Net cash flow	346,125	270,850	120,475	
Opening balance	31,000	377,125	647,975	
Closing balance	377,125	647,975	768,450	

Format 1/2

(6)

(4)

(4)

W.1

	Sales	January	February	March
	£	£	£	£
November	3,420,000	342,000		
December	3,960,000	990,000	396,000	
January	3,780,000	2,268,000	945,000	378,000
February	3,240,000		1,944,000	810,000
March	3,780,000			2,268,000
Total		3,600,000	3,285,000	3,456,000

W.2

Labour

January	$(215,000 \text{ x } \pounds 6) = \pounds 1,290,000$
February	$(184,500 \text{ x } \pounds 6) = \pounds 1,107,000$
March	$(211,500 \text{ x } \pounds 6) = \pounds 1,269,000$

W.3

Variable Overheads

	January	February	March
	£	£	£
December	92,000		
January	258,000	172,000	
February		221,400	147,600
March			253,800
Total	350,000	393,400	401,400

(d) Budgeted profit and loss account

	January	February	March	Total	
	£	£	£	£	
Sales	3,780,000	3,240,000	3,780,000	10,800,000	
Less bad debts	189,000	162,000	189,000	540,000	
	3,591,000	3,078,000	3,591,000	10,260,000	
Materials used W.1	1,505,000	1,291,500	1,480,500	4,277,000	
Direct labour W.2	1,290,000	1,107,000	1,269,000	3,666,000	
Variable O/H W.3	430,000	369,000	423,000	1,222,000	
Fixed O/H	200,000	200,000	200,000	600,000	
Total manufacturing cost	3,425,000	2,967,500	3,372,500	9,765,000	
Add opening stock W.4				330,000	
Less closing stock W.5				495,000	
Cost of sales				<u>9,600,000</u>	
Profit				660,000	

(6)

Management Accounting Marking Scheme

W.1

Materials used

January	430,000 x £3.50	1,505,000
February	369,000 x £3.50	1,291,500
March	423,000 x £3.50	1,480,500

W.2

Direct labour

January	215,000 x £6	1,290,000
February	184,500 x £6	1,107,000
March	211,500 x £6	1,269,000

W.3

January	215,000 x £2	430,000
February	184,500 x £2	369,000
March	211,500 x £2	423,000

W.4

Opening stock 22,000 units x $(\pounds7(mat) + \pounds6(lab) + \pounds2(var o/h)) = \pounds330,000$

W.5 Closing stock 33,000 units x £15 = £495,000

(20)

(a)

(i)

		Machine	Machine	
		Х	Y	
		£	£	
Total net cash		700,000	600,000	
inflows before depn.				
Less depn.	For X & Y £500,000-£100,000	400,000	400,000	
Profit after depn.		300,000	200,000	2
Average profit		75,000	50,000	
Average capital	(£500,000+£100,000)	300,000	300,000	
	2			
ARR	(Profit after depn/Average capital	25%	16.7%	
	invested) X 100			

(ii)

Machine X = 2 years and 4 months or 2.33 years payback

Machine Y = 3 years 225 days or 3.62 years payback

(iii) Year 10% Machine X DCF Machine Y DCF £ £ £ £ 0 1 (500,000)(500,000) (500,000) (500,000)1 0.909 250,000 227,250 100,000 90,900 2 0.826 200,000 165,200 100,000 82,600 3 0.751 150,000 112,650 140,000 105,140 4 0.683 200,000 136,600 360,000 245,880 141,700 24,520 Total 2 2

(10)

2

(b) ARR

Advantages

- It is quick and easy to calculate.
- The percentage return is a familiar concept.
- It looks at the entire life of the project.

Disadvantages

- It ignores the time value of money.
- Accounting profits are subject to different accounting treatments.
- It takes no account of the size of the investment.
- It ignores the length of the project.

Payback

Advantages

- It is quick and simple to calculate.
- The concept is easily understood by all levels of management.
- Some account is taken of risk, as long as payback means that capital is tied up for longer and thus a high risk investment.
- Cash flows and therefore liquidity is taken into account: this is important, where there are cash constraints.

Disadvantages

- Projects which have the same payback period are not distinguished.
- Any payback period is largely arbitrary.
- The method may lead to excessive investment in short-term projects.
- It ignores profitability of the project.
- It ignores the time value of money.

NPV

Advantages

- Takes account of the time value of money.
- It uses all cash flows relating to the project.
- It takes account of the size of the investment.

Disadvantages

- There is a need to estimate the cost of capital, which can be complex.
- The NPV concept is not easily understood.
- Certain simplifying assumptions required eg that cash flows occur at end of each year.

(1 mark per point up to a maximum of 3 for each investment appraisal method) 9

(c) Machine X on all three bases would be the preferred choice.

(20)

(a)	Budg	eted output = $19,200 \text{ hrs}/4 \text{ hrs} = 4,800 \text{ units}$	
	Budge	eted fixed overhead rate per unit of output = $\pounds 240,000/4,800$ units = $\pounds 50$	
	Budge standa	eted fixed overhead rate per standard hour = $\pounds 50/4$ hrs = $\pounds 12.50$ per ard hour.	
	Budg standa	eted variable overhead rate per standard hour = $\pounds 115,200/19,200$ ard hours = $\pounds 6$ per standard hour.	
	(i)	Variable overhead expenditure variance (Actual hours x Variable overhead rate) - Actual cost (18,600 x \pounds 6) = \pounds 111,600 - \pounds 115,600 = \pounds 4,000A	2
	(ii)	Variable overhead efficiency variance (Standard hours - Actual hours) x Variable overhead rate ((5,000 x 4)) - 18,600) x $\pounds 6 = \pounds 8,400F$	2
	(iii)	Fixed overhead expenditure variance Budgeted cost - Actual cost $\pounds 240,000 - \pounds 236,000 = \pounds 4,000F$	2
	(iv)	Fixed overhead volume variance (Actual production - Budgeted production) x Standard rate (5,000 units - 4,800 units) x $\pounds 50 = \pounds 10,000F$	2
	(v)	Fixed overhead volume efficiency variance (Standard hours - Actual hours) x Fixed overhead rate (20,000 - 18,600) x \pounds 12.50 = \pounds 17,500F	2
	(vi)	Fixed overhead volume capacity variance (Actual hours - Budgeted hours) x Fixed overhead rate (18,600 - 19,200) x \pounds 12.50 = \pounds 7,500A	2
			(12)

(b)

(i) The volume capacity variance indicates how well we utilise capacity within the organisation, ie our ability to achieve the budgeted capacity. Failure to achieve the budgeted capacity may be for a number of reasons, machine breakdowns, material shortages etc. Again, for it to be useful it would be better to express this variance in terms of lost contribution from lost sales caused by a failure to utilise the capacity. It is not meaningful to attach fixed costs to the variance, since the total fixed coats will not be affected by a failure to utilise capacity

(iii) The volume efficiency variance is a sub-variance of the volume variance and indicates one of the reasons why actual production is different from the budgeted production. This may be a result of the fact that the labour force worked at a different level of efficiency from that anticipated in the budget. The reasons for the variance will be identical to that of the labour efficiency variance. As to its usefulness, it has to be remembered that attaching a value for fixed overheads has limitations as fixed overheads represent sunk costs. Total fixed overhead will not change because of the efficiency of labour. It would be better to measure this variance in terms of the lost contribution arising from lost sales.

3 (6)

(c) One mark for each example given up to a maximum of two marks. Typical examples are power for the operation of machinery, indirect materials.

(20)

2

N.B. Assumes variable overheads are varying with output. Some will vary with input time (eg lighting, heating, costs). Textbooks (Drury et al) recognise this but tend to assume that variable overheads vary with input rather than output.

Identify the major activities that take place in an organisation. This should cover the following:

- Activities are composed of units of work tasks.
- Activities are identified by carrying out an activity analysis.
- Activities chosen should be at a reasonable level of aggregation based on costs versus benefits criteria.
- The final choice of activities must be a matter of judgement. However, it can be influenced by the total cost of the activity centre and the ability of a single driver to provide a satisfactory determinant of the cost of the activity.

Assigning costs to cost pools/activity centres for each activity:

- This process happens after the activities have been identified.
- Many of the resources can be directly attributed to cost pools; others will be shared and will have to be apportioned on a suitable basis.
- The greater the amount of costs traced to activity centres by cost apportionments, the more arbitrary and less reliable the product/service cost information will be.

3

3

Determine the cost driver for each major activity:

- A cost driver must be selected for each activity centre/cost pool.
- It should provide a good explanation of costs in each activity centre/cost pool.
- The cost driver should be easily measurable and the data easy to obtain and to identify with products/services.
- The cost of measurement should be taken into account.
- Cost drivers should represent a reasonably homogenous measure of output for each activity.
- The final choice of the cost driver is likely to be based on managerial judgement.

Assigning the cost of the activities to products according to the product's demand for the cost driver.

- The final stage involves applying cost driver rates to products.
- Therefore the cost driver must be measurable in a way that enables it to be identified with individual products.

2 (11)

3

- (b) The three main category activities can be classified into are:
 - Unit-level activities these are performed each time a unit of product or service is produced.
 - Batch-related activities such as setting up a machine or processing a purchase order, are performed each time a batch of goods is produced.
 - Product-sustaining activities are performed to support different products in the product line. They are performed to enable individual products to be produced and sold, but the resources consumed by these activities are independent of how many units or batches of the product are produced.

(c) Advantages of Activity-Based Costing

- It allows for resource allocation at different activity levels; this information can be used for planning and estimating future expenditure.
- It establishes a link between decision-making and cost behaviour.
- It encourages a critical review of processes related to activities by exposing true cost, and facilitates cost cutting.
- It is likely to give a more accurate estimate of product costs especially in multi-product, diverse organisations.

Disadvantages of Activity Based Costing

- There may be problems in defining activities and cost drivers.
- It is not always possible to monitor on a frequent basis in the short term.
- It requires a total review of the organisation's accounting and possibly managerial system.
- It is likely to be costlier to implement and maintain than traditional absorption costing.
- It may lead to behaviour changes that are sub-optimal from an organisational perspective.

1 mark per point up to a maximum of 3 per advantage/disadvantage To give a maximum of 6 marks Other valid points can attract credit

(20)

Rohampton City Hospital NHS Trust (a)

Budget 2002/2003

-	Base Budget 2001/2002		Base Budget 2002/2003	
Dov	(November 2000 prices)		(November 2001 prices)	
Pay 3 Cooks x f7 000	æ 21.000	v 1.04	£ 21.840	
8 Staff x £5,000	40,000	x 1.04 x 9/8	46,800	1
Non Pay				
Provisions:				
Patient	119,808	x 1.06	126,996	
Staff	23,400	x 1.06	24,804	
Uniforms	500	x 1.03	515	
Repairs	500	x 1.04	520	
Hardware	250	x 1.04	260	
Total Costs	<u>205,458</u>		<u>221,735</u>	
Income	(31,200)	x 1.06	(33,072)	
Total	174,258		<u>188,663</u>	2

Rohampton City Trust Hospital

Budget 2002	2/2003			
Base Budget 2002/2003		Base Budget Outturn prices		
(Nov	ember 2001	prices)	2002/2003	
Pay	£	. ,	£	
3 Cooks	21,840	(21,840 x 3/12) + (21,840 x 9/12 x 1.06) + (7,000 x 6/12 x 1.06)	26,533	11/2
9 Staff	46,800	(46,800 x 3/12) + (46,800 x 9/12 x 1.06)	48,906	11/2
Non Pay				
Provisions:				
Patient	126,996	x 1.08	137,156	
Staff	24,804	x 1.08	26,788	
Uniforms	515	x 1.06	546	
Repairs	520	x 1.07	556	
Hardware	260	x 1.07	278	
Total costs	<u>221,735</u>		<u>240,763</u>	
Income	(33,072)	x 1.07	(35,387)	
Total	<u>188,663</u>		205,376	$\frac{2}{(8)}$

(8)

December 2001

85% Occupancy	Original £		Outturn £	
Per base budget 2002/2003 Add Increase in patient provision	188,663		205,376	
(126,996 x 5⁄80)	7,937	(137,156 x 5/80)	8,572	
New Total	<u>196,600</u>		<u>213,948</u>	2
95% Occupancy	Original £		Outturn £	
95% Occupancy Per base budget 2002/2003 Add increase in patient provision	Original £ 188,663		Outturn £ 205,376	
95% Occupancy Per base budget 2002/2003 Add increase in patient provision (126,996 x 15/80)	Original £ 188,663 23,812	(137,156 x 15/80)	Outturn £ 205,376 25,717	

Assumptions: assumption that provisions cost will continue to vary directly with bed occupancy assumes every other cost and income will not change

(4)

(c) The approach used in (a) is the incremental budgeting approach. It assumes that the current year's budget will be used as the basis for the next year's budget. It therefore concentrates upon marginal, incremental change from one year to the next.

The limitations are that it is backward looking as **t** looks to past budgets rather than the future requirements of the organisation. It assumes that existing budget patterns are relevant and satisfactory. It does not allow for an overall review of performance. It is reactive rather than proactive and does not deal well with new policy initiatives. It does not take account of outputs or objectives.

1 mark to be awarded for each point up to a maximum of 5 marks.

(d) If zero-based budgeting had been used then current activity, not past activity, would be the main basis for building the budget. This would mean a systematic review and justification of the funding and performance of all existing programmes. The justification of resources for new activities. The determination and the clarification of objectives and the evaluation of alternative ways of achieving objectives.

1 mark to be awarded for each point up to a maximum of 3 marks

(20)

(a) Relevant financial data:

Materials	£13,050	1
Labour	£19,500 Relevant alternative work available	1
Additional supervision	£5,400	1
Printing ink (cost of buying £3,000 V	$\pounds 2,700$ to make labour + materials = $\pounds 2,700$)	2
Machine A (Loss of realisable value)	£7,500	1
Machine B $(1,200(machine hire) + \pounds)$	£19,800 450 (labour) x 12 weeks)	2
Irrelevant costs:		
Materials purchase cos	t as a sunk cost	1
Full time supervisors cost as he/she is already in employment		
Fixed overheads as the	se are a sunk cost	1
The overhead cost of these are sunk costs	making the specialist ink is irrelevant to the decision as	1
Machine A depreciation	n as not a cash flow	1
Marks include	explanation of why it is relevant or irrelevant to the decis	ion.

(13)

(b) Net relevant benefit of accepting the special order:

	£
Materials	13,050
Labour	19,500
Additional supervision	5,400
Printing ink	2,700
Machine A	7,500
Machine B	19,800
Total relevant costs	67,950
Price of order	75,000
Net relevant benefit	7,050

On financial grounds we would accept the special order.

- (c) Qualitative factors which may influence the decision:
 - The possibility of receiving repeat orders from the same source in the future.
 - Can the order be completed in time?
 - How will this affect our relationship with existing customers?
 - As it is a special one off order what is the possibility of staff not having the necessary experience for this type of work?

1 mark per reasonable point up to a maximum of 4 Other valid points can attract credit

(20)