# **MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING**

June 2004 Certificate stage

## **MARKING SCHEME**



(Copyright)

## (a)

## Birchville Housing Association Cash budget for the six months ending 31 March 2005.

	Oct £000	Nov £000	Dec £000	Jan £000	Feb £000	March £000	
Cash receipts:							
Rental income:							
Rent due in month (W1) 1 month in arrears (W2) 2 months in arrears (W3) Interest at 2% Grant (2,400,000/12) Receipt	208.8 80.5 48.3 0.97 200.0	208.8 87.0 48.3 0.97 200.0	208.8 87.0 52.2 1.04 200.0	208.8 87.0 52.2 1.04 200.0	208.8 87.0 52.2 1.04 200.0 96.0	208.8 87.0 52.2 1.04 200.0	1/2 1/2
Total income	538.57	545.07	549.04	549.04	645.04	549.04	
Cash payments							
Salaries Pay award Purchases (W4)	230.0	230.0	230.0	230.0	230.0 41.4	230.0 6.9	1/2 1/2
Cash Credit Capital expenditure	25.0 150.0	25.0 225.0 270.0 54.0	25.0 225.0	25.0 225.0	25.0 225.0	25.0 225.0	1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2
Total payments Net cash flow in month Balance b/f Balance c/f	459.0 79.6 100.0 179.6	804.0 (258.9) 179.6 (79.3)	534.0 15.0 (79.3) (64.3)	534.0 15.0 (64.3) (49.3)	575.4 69.6 (49.3) 20.3	540.9 8.1 20.3 28.4	/2

 $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for opening and closing balances correct

W1	£350 x 600 =	£210,000	
	£250 x 400 =	£100,000	
	£190 x 200 =	£38,000	
		$£348,000 \times 60\% = £208,800$	1
W2	£320 x 600 =	£192,000	
	£235 x 400 =	£94,000	
	£180 x 200 =	£36,000	
		£322,000 x 25% = £80,500 for October	1
	£348,000 x 25	5% = £87,000 Nov – March	1

1/2

W3	October and November	£322,000 x 15% = £48,300	1/2
	December – March	£348,000 x 15% = £52,200	1/2

Interest calculated at 2%

W4 April – September 2,500,000 x 40% = £1,000,000 = £166,667 per month. October – March 2,500,000 x 60% = £1,500,000 = £250,000 per month.

Cash purchases  $250,000 \times 10\% = \pounds 25,000$ . Credit purchases  $250,000 \times 90\% = \pounds 225,000$  for November – March.  $\pounds 166,667 \times 90\% = \pounds 150,000$  for October.

Valid comments in report highlighting significant areas:

- Overdraft facility will be required for the period November to January.
- In November an additional overdraft facility will need to be negotiated with the bank as the requirement exceeds the £70,000 limit.
- The capital expenditure could be managed more effectively in order to prevent exceeding the overdraft limit. For example, staged over a number of months, or alternatively funded in a different way (eg leasing).
- The rental income collection facility could be improved so that rents were paid more promptly. This could be done by an agency or by setting up a direct debit facility.
- The association could negotiate a longer credit facility from its creditors.

1 mark per valid point to a maximum of 4 marks 1 mark for presentation (14)

(b) Interest earned:

 $\pounds$ 179,566 +  $\pounds$ 20,364 +  $\pounds$ 28,508 =  $\pounds$ 228,438 x 3.4% =  $\pounds$ 7,766.89/12 =  $\pounds$ 647.24

Total interest earned in the period: £647.24

Interest charged:

 $\pounds79,368 + \pounds64,324 + \pounds49,280 = \pounds192,972 \times 6.7\% = \pounds12,929/12 = \pounds1,077.43$ 

Net charge = 
$$\pounds1,077.43 - \pounds647.24 = \pounds430.19$$

1 (2)

1

- (c) Contents of a capital budget:
  - Title of scheme, description and reasons for it.
  - Priority ranking.
  - Estimated start time, period of implementation and estimated completion date.
  - Capital cost of scheme, detailed for each year.
  - Revenue costs throughout the life of the scheme.

1/2 mark per point up to a maximum of 2

Possible constraints:

- Financial.
- Government and EU controls.
- Revenue consequences.
- Legal constraints.

<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mark per point up to a maximum of 2 (4)

#### (a) Statement to show contract costs and revenues

	£	
Materials purchased	400,000	1
Contribution lost on roofing materials	90,300	1
Grade 1 labour (15 x £320 x 9)	43,200	1
Agency grade 2 labour (3 x £400 x 20)	24,000	1
Penalty clause	1,750	1
Salary of foreman	0	1
Overtime costs incurred	700	1
Machine contribution lost (£25 x 50)	1,250	1
Alternative accommodation (£275 x 8)	2,200	1
Depreciation	0	1
Fixed overheads	0	1
Total relevant costs	563,400	
Price of contract	600,000	
Increase in contribution	36,600	1
Therefore the contract should go ahead		1
		(13)

(b)

- In job costing it is necessary to charge <u>full cost</u> to the customer. The above technique uses relevant costing.
- Relevant costing only considers costs and revenues that are <u>incremental</u>. That is only those costs and revenues that will change as a result of the decision made.
- Job costing will consider all costs including apportioned central overheads and depreciation.
- Job costing requires that all costs are recovered and can be used to price contracts.
- Relevant costing techniques are used for internal management.

1 mark per relevant point, up to a maximum of 4

(C)

- The effect on the quality of work to existing customers.
- If contracts are cancelled then will customers return in the future?
- Local firms that use the machinery may be dissatisfied if they cannot use the facility for 50 hours.
- Will agency staff have the sufficient expertise to carry out the tasks?
- Will the training of the current trainees suffer because of the disruption to the facilities?

1 mark per relevant point, up to a maximum of 3

tion 3					
Calculation of standard cost and s	selling price				
Direct materials:					
	£				
Plastic (5kg x £3.00)	15.00				
Aluminium (2.5kg x £15)	37.50				
Eabour (2.5115 X £9) Fixed overhead	22.50 45.00			2	
Standard cost	120.00			-	
Profit 25/(100-25) x 120	40.00				
Selling price	160.00			1	
				(3)	
Actual profit is calculated as:					
	£	£			
Sales 28,500 X £176		5,016,000		1/2	
Direct materials	E22 800			1/	
Aluminium $(74\ 0.00\ x\ f\ 14\ 10)$	1 043 400			1/2 1/2	
Wages (74,000 x £9.60)	710,400			1/2	
Fixed production overhead	1,500,000	3,786,600		1/2	
Actual profit		1,229,400		<sup>1</sup> /2 (3)	
				(0)	
Calculation of sales and cost varia	ances:	0	2		
Materials price variances	£	£	£		
Plastic (£3.00 – £3.60) x 148,000	88,800A			1	
Aluminium (£15 – £14.10) x	66,600F	22,200A		1	
74,000					
Materials usage variances					
Plastic (142,500 – 148,000) x 3	16,500A			1	
Aluminium (71,250 – 74,000) x	41,250A	57,750A		1	
115					
Labour rate variance					
(£9.00 – £9.60) x 74,000	44,400A			1	
Labour efficiency variance					
(71,250 – 74,000) x £9	24,750A	69,150A		1	
Eixed overhead expanditure					
variance					
(30,000 x £45) – £1,500,000		150,000A		1	
Volume efficiency variance					
	tion 3 Calculation of standard cost and s Direct materials: Plastic (5kg x £3.00) Aluminium (2.5kg x £15) Labour (2.5hrs x £9) Fixed overhead Standard cost Profit 25/(100-25) x 120 Selling price Actual profit is calculated as: Sales 28,500 X £176 Direct materials Plastic (148,000 x £3.60) Aluminium (74,000 x £14.10) Nages (74,000 x £9.60) Fixed production overhead Actual profit Calculation of sales and cost variat Materials price variances Plastic (£3.00 – £3.60) x 148,000 Aluminium (£15 – £14.10) x 74,000 Materials usage variances Plastic (142,500 – 148,000) x 3 Aluminium (71,250 – 74,000) x ±15 Labour rate variance (£9.00 – £9.60) x 74,000 Labour efficiency variance (71,250 – 74,000) x £9 Fixed overhead expenditure variance (30,000 x £45) – £1,500,000	tion 3Calculation of standard cost and selling priceDirect materials: $f$ Plastic (5kg x £3.00)15.00Aluminium (2.5kg x £15)37.50Labour (2.5hrs x £9)22.50Fixed overhead45.00Standard cost120.00Profit 25/(100-25) x 12040.00Selling price160.00Actual profit is calculated as:£Sales 28,500 X £176Direct materialsPlastic (148,000 x £3.60)532,800Aluminium (74,000 x £14.10)1,043,400Nages (74,000 x £9.60)71,400Xaterials price variancesPlastic (£3.00 - £3.60) x 148,000Naterials price variancesPlastic (142,500 - 148,000) x 316,500AAluminium (71,250 - 74,000) x 41,250ALabour rate variance(£9.00 - £9.60) x 74,000Adterials usage variancePlastic (142,500 - 148,000) x 316,500AAluminium (71,250 - 74,000) x 41,250ALabour rate variance(24,750AFixed overhead expenditure </td <td>tion 3Calculation of standard cost and selling priceDirect materials:<math>f</math>Plastic (5kg x £3.00)15.00Aluminium (2.5kg x £15)37.50Labour (2.5hrs x £9)22.50Fixed overhead<u>45.00</u>Standard cost120.00Profit 25/(100-25) x 120<u>40.00</u>Selling price160.00Actual profit is calculated as:Sales 28,500 X £1765.016,000Direct materialsPlastic (148,000 x £3.60)532,800Aluminium (74,000 x £14.10)1,043,400Nages (74,000 x £9.60)7Tot,a00Actual profitSales 28,500 X £1765£Sales 28,500 X £176Sales 28,500 X £176Sales 28,500 X £1765,016,000Aluminium (74,000 x £14.10)1,043,000Nages (74,000 x £14.10)1,050,000Actual profit1,229,400Calculation of sales and cost variances:££<td col<="" td=""><td>tion 3Calculation of standard cost and selling priceDirect materials:<math>\frac{f}{2}</math>Plastic (5kg x £3.00)15.00Aluminium (2.5kg x £15)37.50Exect overhead45.00Standard cost120.00Profit 25/(100-25) x 12040.00Selling price160.00Actual profit is calculated as:F££Sales 28,500 X £1765,016,000Sinda x £Plastic (148,000 x £3.60)Aluminium (74,000 x £14.10)1,043,400Nages (74,000 x £9.60)7,71,400F££FMaterials price variances:FF££FMaterials usage variancesPlastic (142,500 - 148,000) x 316,500AAluminium (71,250 - 74,000) x 41,250A57,750AElabour rate variance(24,750A69,150AFixed overhead expenditurevariance(24,750A69,150A</td></td></td>	tion 3Calculation of standard cost and selling priceDirect materials: $f$ Plastic (5kg x £3.00)15.00Aluminium (2.5kg x £15)37.50Labour (2.5hrs x £9)22.50Fixed overhead <u>45.00</u> Standard cost120.00Profit 25/(100-25) x 120 <u>40.00</u> Selling price160.00Actual profit is calculated as:Sales 28,500 X £1765.016,000Direct materialsPlastic (148,000 x £3.60)532,800Aluminium (74,000 x £14.10)1,043,400Nages (74,000 x £9.60)7Tot,a00Actual profitSales 28,500 X £1765£Sales 28,500 X £176Sales 28,500 X £176Sales 28,500 X £1765,016,000Aluminium (74,000 x £14.10)1,043,000Nages (74,000 x £14.10)1,050,000Actual profit1,229,400Calculation of sales and cost variances:££ <td col<="" td=""><td>tion 3Calculation of standard cost and selling priceDirect materials:<math>\frac{f}{2}</math>Plastic (5kg x £3.00)15.00Aluminium (2.5kg x £15)37.50Exect overhead45.00Standard cost120.00Profit 25/(100-25) x 12040.00Selling price160.00Actual profit is calculated as:F££Sales 28,500 X £1765,016,000Sinda x £Plastic (148,000 x £3.60)Aluminium (74,000 x £14.10)1,043,400Nages (74,000 x £9.60)7,71,400F££FMaterials price variances:FF££FMaterials usage variancesPlastic (142,500 - 148,000) x 316,500AAluminium (71,250 - 74,000) x 41,250A57,750AElabour rate variance(24,750A69,150AFixed overhead expenditurevariance(24,750A69,150A</td></td>	<td>tion 3Calculation of standard cost and selling priceDirect materials:<math>\frac{f}{2}</math>Plastic (5kg x £3.00)15.00Aluminium (2.5kg x £15)37.50Exect overhead45.00Standard cost120.00Profit 25/(100-25) x 12040.00Selling price160.00Actual profit is calculated as:F££Sales 28,500 X £1765,016,000Sinda x £Plastic (148,000 x £3.60)Aluminium (74,000 x £14.10)1,043,400Nages (74,000 x £9.60)7,71,400F££FMaterials price variances:FF££FMaterials usage variancesPlastic (142,500 - 148,000) x 316,500AAluminium (71,250 - 74,000) x 41,250A57,750AElabour rate variance(24,750A69,150AFixed overhead expenditurevariance(24,750A69,150A</td>	tion 3Calculation of standard cost and selling priceDirect materials: $\frac{f}{2}$ Plastic (5kg x £3.00)15.00Aluminium (2.5kg x £15)37.50Exect overhead45.00Standard cost120.00Profit 25/(100-25) x 12040.00Selling price160.00Actual profit is calculated as:F££Sales 28,500 X £1765,016,000Sinda x £Plastic (148,000 x £3.60)Aluminium (74,000 x £14.10)1,043,400Nages (74,000 x £9.60)7,71,400F££FMaterials price variances:FF££FMaterials usage variancesPlastic (142,500 - 148,000) x 316,500AAluminium (71,250 - 74,000) x 41,250A57,750AElabour rate variance(24,750A69,150AFixed overhead expenditurevariance(24,750A69,150A

Volume capacity variance (74,000 – 75,000) x £18	18,000A		1
Fixed overhead volume variance (28,500 x £45) - £1,350,000	67	7,500A	
Fixed overhead variance 1,500,000 – (28,500 x £45)		217,500A	
Sales margin price variance (56 – 40) x 28,500	456,000F		1
Sales margin volume variance (28,500 – 30,000) x £40	60,000A	396,000F	1
Total variances		29,400F	
Budgeted profit (30,000 x £40) Actual profit		1,200,000 1,229,400	1 (12)

(d) The management of the company should be concerned, as although the overall position is favourable, this is due to the increased selling price. There are some significant cost variances that give cause for concern. If the market changes and the selling price has to be reduced, the company will find it has serious financial problems.

1 mark per valid point up to a maximum of 2

(a)	Let B = number units of Bi-worker produced and sold. Let T = number of units of Tri-worker produced and sold					
	The linear programming model is:					
	Maximise Z	= 80B +	100T	1		
	Subject to constraints:					
	2B + 4T ≤	1,400	Processing capacity	1/2		
	5B + 4T ≤	2,000	Finishing capacity	1/2		
	 B ≤	800	Maximum output of Bi-worker	1/2		
	T≤	800	Maximum output of Tri-worker	1/2		
	B≤	0		1/2		
	T≤	0		1/2		
				(4)		

Processing and finishing are binding constraints because there is no restriction on demand. A graph is not required as binding constraints can be determined from the question. Output is not a restriction as not enough capacity in processing and finishing to meet maximum levels.

(b) Optimum production plan

Using simultaneous equations:

- (1) 2B + 4T = 1,400
- (2) 5B + 4T = 2,000

Subtracting (1) from (2)

3B = 600 B = 200

Substituting in (1)

400 + 4T = 1,400 1,000 = 4T T = 250

Therefore the optimum production is 200 units of the Bi-worker and 250 units of the Tri-worker.

Profit at this level:

	£
200 units of Bi-worker at £80 contribution	16,000
250 units of Tri-worker at £100 contribution	25,000

1

1

	41,000	1
Less fixed costs	34,000	1
Profit	7,000	

(4)

1

1

1

1

1

(c) (i) One additional hour in the processing department:

Equations become:

(1) 2B + 4T = 1,401(2) 5B + 4T = 2,000

Subtracting (1) from (2)

3B = 599

B = 199.67

Substituting in (1)

399.34+ 4T = 1,401 1001.66= 4T T = 250.42

If the Tri-worker is increased by 0.42 units and the Bi-worker is reduced by 0.33 units then the change in contribution is

Tri-worker	0.42 x £100 =	£42.00
Bi-worker	0.33x £80 =	<u>(26.40)</u>
Shadow pri-	ce of processing	£15.60

One additional hour in the finishing department:

Equations become:

(1) 2B + 4T = 1,400(2) 5B + 4T = 2,001Subtracting (1) from (2) 3B = 601 B = 200.33Substituting in (1) 400.66 + 4T = 1,400 999.34 = 4TT = 249.84

If the Bi-worker is increased by 0.33 units and the Tri-worker is reduced by 0.16 units then the change in contribution is

	£
Tri-worker 0.16 x £100 =	(16.00)
Bi-worker 0.33 x £80 =	26.40
Shadow price of finishing	10.40
-	

(c) (ii) The shadow price of processing is £15.60. This means that Muscle Pro would be willing to pay an additional £15.60 per hour for processing.

The shadow price of finishing is £10.40. This means that Muscle Prowould be willing to pay an additional £10.40 per hour for finishing.

Shadow prices only exist for binding constraints. H is the amount by which the contribution will increase if one unit more or less of a resource were made available. It is the premium worth paying to get an extra unit of that resource. It is opportunity cost of the scarce resource.

1 (3)

1

1

#### (d)

- It is assumed that products can be produced in units of less than one.
- Costs and revenues are linear.
- It is assumed that the only objective is to maximise contribution.
  - It is assumed that resources can be supplied in any quantity.
- Fixed costs are constant.

1 mark per valid point up to a maximum of 3

### **Contract North**

Materials on site b/fwd Plant on site b/fwd Materials control Wages control Salaries Plant control Apportioned central expenses Wages accrued c/fwd	30 116 330 150 60 53 30 <u>15</u> 784	<ul> <li>Wages accrued b/fwd</li> <li>Plant on site c/fwd</li> <li>Cost of sales – current</li> <li>period (balance) c/fwd</li> </ul>	7 30 <u>747</u> 784	3
Cost of sales b/fwd	747	<ul> <li>Attributable sales revenue</li> <li>current period</li> <li>(627 + 747) – 1,290</li> <li>Loss taken</li> </ul>	663 <u>84</u> 747	2
Plant on site b/fwd	30	) Wages accrued b/fwd	15	1
Co	ontract	South		
Materials control Wages control Salaries Plant control Apportioned central expenses Wages accrued c/fwd	132 68 23 285 15 8 531	Materials on site c/fwd Plant on site c/fwd Cost of sales – current period (balance) c/fwd	30 225 <u>276</u> 531	3
Cost of sales b/fwd	276 276	Attributable sales revenue - current period	276 276	2
Materials on site b/fwd Plant on site b/fwd	30 225	Wages accrued b/fwd	8	1

## **Contract Central**

Materials on site b/fwd Plant on site b/fwd	45 561	Wages accrued b/fwd Plant on site c/fwd Cost of work not certified	15 345 83	
Materials control Wages control Salaries Apportioned central expenses Wages accrued c/fwd	594 330 75 75	Cost of sales – current Period (balance) c/fwd	<u>1,255</u> 1,698	
wages accided c/iwd	1,698			3
Cost of sales b/fwd	1,255	Attributable sales revenue	1,681	
Profit taken this period (W1)	426 1,681		1,681	
Cost of work not certified Plant on site b/fwd	83 345	Wages accrued b/fwd	18	1
W1 Cost of work certified 1,255 + 1,221 Cost of work not certified Costs to complete Estimated cost of contract Contract price Anticipated profit	£000 2,470 83 458 3,011 3,630 613	0 6 3 8 7 0 3		2
Profit taken:				
<u>0.9 x 3,150</u> x 613 = 479 3,630				1
Profit to date $479 - Profit$ already taken $53 = 426$				1

- (a) Overheads may be classified according to activity:
  - Production
  - Administration
  - Selling and distribution

Give mark for appropriate answer

They may also be classified according to cost behaviour:

- Fixed
- Semi-fixed
- Stepped

Give mark for appropriate answer

They differ from variable costs as they are fixed in nature. Alternatively variable costs can be classified as direct costs and overheads indirect.

In general, fixed costs do not change with activity whereas variable costs do. 1 Give mark for appropriate answer

(3)

1

1

- (b) The process could be as follows, but would normally be based on historical data:
  - Allocate overhead costs to all departments where possible. Apportion any general overheads between departments using appropriate bases. For example, rates on the basis of floor area.
  - Calculate the cost of each of the support services and identify methods for recharging these costs to front line services. These costs will include direct and overhead costs. The total cost will be recharged.
  - Apportion any non-medical support costs to front line and medical support departments. Where possible recognise the work done by each support service for other support services.
  - Apportion medical support services to front line services on the basis of usage, or some appropriate basis.
     Eg Radiography on the basis of number of X rays, physiotherapy on time.
  - Compile final overheads costs of front line departments and set overhead departmental absorption rate based on a fair basis of absorption.
  - Set prices based on direct cost plus overhead charged at the OAR based on consumption of that particular activity.

1 mark per valid point, up to a maximum of 8

(c) Over or under absorption of overheads occurs when either the budgeted expenditure on overheads or the budgeted activity level, upon which the overhead absorption rate has been set, differs from the actual level.

In the above example, the historical data used to set budgeted overhead absorption rates may not provide an accurate reflection or forecast of what will necessarily happen in the future, so actual costs and activity levels may vary.

Activity level is higher or lower than expected so more or less overhead is recovered from contract prices. This must be adjusted in the profit and loss account to reflect the actual levels that occurred.

(4)

- (d) Activity based costing operates as follows:
  - Major activities are identified.
  - Costs are pooled based on activity.
  - Cost drivers are identified for each cost pool.
  - Cost driver rates are calculated for all cost drivers.
  - Costs are allocated to services based on the usage they make of the each activity. They are charged as a rate per cost driver.
  - Can provide more accurate prices as a large proportion of cost may be driven by overheads. By using ABC, rates are charged according to services of the actual activities they use.

1 mark per relevant point, up to a maximum of 5