STAFF TRAVEL (RELOCATION FROM CAESAR CENTRE TO AR	GOSY HOUSE)	
MAXIMUM COST OF COMPENSATION TO MEET LAPSO DEMAND		Page reference
Number of staff to transfer:		
Current number located at the Caesar Centre	95	PS ix
Less number to be based in new Pergamon local office	-12	9
•	83	
Distance between Pergamon and Troy (miles)	18	PS vi
Maximum additional mileage per day per employee (miles)	36	
Standard rate per mile	£0.40	23
Annual work attendance (44 weeks/220 days)	220	23
Maximum annual cost of compensation per employee	£3,168	
Maximum total annual cost of compensation	£262,944	

#### Mitigating factors:

Some members of staff currently based at the Caesar Centre will have less than 36 miles extra daily travel.

Some members of staff may travel by public transport (but would the cost of compensating them necessarily be less?)

Some members of staff may choose to car share (but would this reduce their compensation?).

Some staff may have a company car - lower mileage rates could then apply.

Annual compensation bill would fall progressively as employees eligible for compensation move to new jobs - either externally or through internal career progression. All future contracts are to exclude compensation for relocation. (Page 23)

#### Mitigating options

LAPSO is asking for "reasonable compensation" for extra travel costs - there should therefore be opportunity to negotiate over the amount of compensation (e.g. the assumed 40p mileage rate) and possibly to agree a phased annual withdrawal of any compensation payable.

CAR PARKING PROVISION FOR ARGOSY HOUSE		D
POSSIBLE COST OF MEETING LAPSO DEMAND	No. of Spaces	Page reference
Staff car parking demand - spaces required at/close to Argosy House		
Current provision ("just sufficient" for current Argosy House requirements)	155	4
Transfers from Caesar Centre (assuming all transferring staff require a space)	83	From above
	238	
Staff car parking supply - spaces available		
Current provision	155	4
Less reduction to provide space for temporary buildings (95 spaces remaining)	-60	4
Less spaces reallocated to visitor use	-7	23
	88	
Number of additional spaces already under negotiation	70	23
	158	
Further spaces required to meet LAPSO demand	80	
	238	
Cost of extra spaces		
Expected rental cost of 70 spaces currently under negotiation	£45,000	23
Cost per space	£643	20
Additional annual cost for 80 spaces at same (typical) cost per space	£51,429	23
Total annual cost for 150 extra spaces	£96,429	

BUT there is no guarantee of any extra spaces being available - either at £643 per space, or at all.

# Mitigating factors:

Not all transferring staff will be car users.

Not all ex-Pergamon car users will attend each day - there may be no need to match the number of spaces to the theoretical maximum number of users.

The annual cost could fall as spaces will not be guaranteed for employees with new contracts (Page 23). However, once an agreement has been entered into to rent extra spaces, this may have to be honoured by HHS irrespective of the numbers of spaces actually used.

#### Mitigating options:

Again, there should be an opportunity to negotiate with LAPSO over detailed arrangements. The union would probably not want to draw any distinction in this respect between different groups of employees, but perhaps a scheme of cash payments could be negotiated for employees who were prepared to give up a parking space and make other arrangements.

#### Appendix A (continued)

PAYBACK FROM INVESTMENT IN HADI	ES HOUSE		
	£'000	£'000	Page
			reference
Hades House -capital outlay			
Land purchase	1,200		20
Construction, fees, etc	5,830	7,030	14
Less expected disposal proceeds of Argosy House		-2,650	20
Net capital outlay		4,380	
Potential savings in annual running costs			
Argosy House expected running costs, 2009,	661		PS ix
Less depreciation	-171	490	PS ix
Caesar Centre expected running costs, 2009,	414		PS ix
Less depreciation	-40	374	PS ix
Total current running costs excluding depreciation		864	
Hades House running costs, excluding depreciation		522	15
Expected annual saving from investment in Hades House		342	
Payback period (years)		12.81	

# Notes:

- 1. The cost of purchasing the original option on the Hades House site is not known.
- The capital investment for Hades House would be £375,000 greater if the construction programme were brought forward by two years (Option 3), because of the need to make the additional highway contribution.
   Additional, but unquantified, efficiency savings in staff time, etc, should result from operating at a single site once the move to
- Hades House has taken place.

## OFFICE ACCOMMODATION CASH FLOW: OPTION 1 - RELOCATION OF ALL STAFF TO ARGOSY HOUSE

a) provide additional temporary offices at Argosy House ready for occupation by 01 January 2009; b) vacate the Caesar Centre entirely by that date; c) proceed with the Hades House development for occupation on 01 January 2013

Discount rate: 3.0 %

	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	TOTAL	Page
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013		reference
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	
Argosy House - existing building								
Running costs		661	661	661	661		2,644	PS ix
Less depreciation		-171	-171	-171	-171		-684	PS ix
Less specific repairs		-52	-52	-52	-52		-208	PS ix
Running costs base cashflow		438	438	438	438		1,752	
Specific repairs		52	26	137	30		245	20
	0	490	464	575	468	0	1,997	
Argosy House - temporary accomi								
Temporary offices - set up	128						128	18
Temporary offices - rent		120	120	120	120		480	18
Temporary offices - running costs		140	140	140	140		560	18
Temporary car parking	400	45	45	45	45	0	180	23
	128	305	305	305	305	0	1,348	
Argosy House - disposal								4.0
Removal costs to Hades House						75	75	18
Post occupation running costs						60	60	20
Sale proceeds (net of fees)	0			0	0	-2,650	-2,650	20
	0	0	0	0	0	-2,515	-2,515	
Cassar Contro dianasal								
Caesar Centre - disposal	80						00	04
Lease severance payment	80	40					80	21
Dilapidations		40 25					40 25	21
Removal costs to Argosy House	60	25					25 68	18
Local office - set up Local office - running costs	68	35	35	35	35	35	175	9 9
Local office - fulfilling costs	148	100	35	35	35	35	388	9
	140	100	33	33	33	33	300	
Hades House								
Land purchase					1,200		1,200	20 & 14
Construction costs (inc fees)				400	5,280	150	5,830	14
Running costs				400	3,200	522	522	15
Talling Costs	0	0	0	400	6,480	672	7,552	10
	v	V	· ·	.50	3, 130	0.2	7,002	
TOTAL CASH FLOW	276	895	804	1,315	7,288	-1,808	8,770	
Discount factor	1.0000	0.9709	0.9426	0.9151	0.8885	0.8626		
NET PRESENT VALUE	276	869	758	1,203	6,475	-1,560	8,022	
THE TALUL	210	003	750	1,203	0,473	1,000	0,022	

SEE ALSO THE NOTE AT THE END OF APPENDIX B4.

## OFFICE ACCOMMODATION CASH FLOW: OPTION 2 - REMAIN IN OCCUPATION OF BOTH BUILDINGS

a) remain in occupation of both Argosy House and the Caesar Centre until 31 December 2012; b) upgrade communication links between the two buildings; c) proceed with the Hades House development for occupation on 01 January 2013.

Discount rate: 3.0 %

	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	TOTAL	Page
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013		reference
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	
Argosy House - existing building								
Running costs		661	661	661	661		2,644	PS ix
Less depreciation		-171	-171	-171	-171		-684	PS ix
Less specific repairs		-52	-52	-52	-52		-208	PS ix
Running costs base cashflow		438	438	438	438		1,752	
Specific repairs	0	52	26	137	30	0	245	20
	0	490	464	575	468	0	1,997	
Anna and Hanna attance at								
Argosy House - disposal Removal costs to Hades House						00	00	40
						60 60	60 60	18 20
Post occupation running costs								20
Sale proceeds (net of fees)	0	0	0	0	0	-2,650 -2,530	-2,650 -2,530	20
	U	U	U	U	U	-2,000	-2,000	
Caesar Centre								
Running costs		414	414	414	414		1,656	PS ix
Less depreciation		-40	-40	-40	-40		-160	PS ix
Running costs base cashflow		374	374	374	374	0	1,496	101/
Additional rent		٠	<b>0.</b> .	45	45	ŭ	90	21
Dilapidations						40	40	21
Removal costs to Hades House						25	25	18
Local office - set up					68	-	68	9
Local office - running costs						35	35	9
	0	374	374	419	487	100	1,754	
Communications upgrade								
Initial set up	125						125	18
Annual rental and support		27	27	27	27		108	18
	125	27	27	27	27	0	233	
l								
Hades House					,		,	00.5
Land purchase				400	1,200	.=.	1,200	20 & 14
Construction costs (inc fees)				400	5,280	150	5,830	14
Running costs		^		400	0.400	522	522	15
	0	0	0	400	6,480	672	7,552	
TOTAL CASH FLOW	125	891	865	1,421	7,462	-1,758	9,006	
				.,	.,.52	.,. 30	- 1,130	
Discount factor	1.0000	0.9709	0.9426	0.9151	0.8885	0.8626		
NET PRESENT VALUE	125	865	815	1,300	6,630	-1,516	8,219	

SEE ALSO THE NOTE AT THE END OF APPENDIX B4.

## OFFICE ACCOMMODATION CASH FLOW: OPTION 3 - BRING FORWARD CONSTRUCTION OF HADES HOUSE

a) remain in occupation of both Argosy House and the Caesar Centre until 31 December 2010 only; b) upgrade communication links between the two buildings; c) bring forward the Hades House development by two years for occupation on 01 January

Discount rate: 3.0 %

	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	TOTAL	Page
	<b>2008</b> £'000	<b>2009</b> £'000	<b>2010</b> £'000	<b>2011</b> £'000	<b>2012</b> £'000	<b>2013</b> £'000	£'000	reference
	£ 000	£ 000	£ 000	£ 000	£ 000	£ 000	£ 000	
Argosy House - existing building								
Running costs		661	661				1,322	PS ix
Less depreciation		-171	-171				-342	PS ix
Less specific repairs		-52	-52				-104	PS ix
Running costs base cashflow		438	438				876	
Specific repairs		52	26				78	20
Specime repaire	0	490	464	0	0	0	954	
Argosy House - disposal								
Removal costs to Hades House				60			60	18
Post occupation running costs				60			60	20
Sale proceeds (net of fees)				-2,650			-2,650	20
	0	0	0	-2,530	0	0	-2,530	
Canada Caméra								
Caesar Centre		414	414				828	PS ix
Running costs Less depreciation								PS ix
		-40 374	-40 374	0	0	0	- <mark>80</mark> 748	PSIX
Running costs base cashflow Dilapidations		3/4	3/4	40	U	U	40	21
Removal costs to Hades House				40 25			25	18
Local office - set up			68	25			68	9
Local office - set up  Local office - running costs			00	35	35	35	105	9
Local office - running costs	0	374	442	100	35	35	986	9
Communications upgrade								
Initial set up	125						125	18
Annual rental and support	405	27	27	0	0		54	18
	125	27	27	0	0	0	179	
Hades House								
TCC highway contribution		375					375	24
Land purchase		373	1,200				1,200	20 & 14
Construction costs (inc fees)		400	5,280	150			5,830	14
Running costs		400	0,200	522	522	522	1,566	15
Training 605t5	0	775	6,480	672	522	522	8,971	10
TOTAL CASH FLOW	125	1,666	7,413	-1,758	557	557	8,560	
Discount factor	1.0000	0.9709	0.9426	0.9151	0.8885	0.8626		
NET PRESENT VALUE	125	1,617	6,987	-1,609	495	480	8,096	

SEE ALSO THE NOTE AT THE END OF APPENDIX B4.

#### OFFICE ACCOMMODATION - SUMMARY OF THE THREE OPTIONS

Discount rate: 3.0 %

	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	TOTAL	RANK
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013		
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	
CASH FLOW								
Option 1	276	895	804	1,315	7,288	-1,808	8,770	2
Option 2	125	891	865	1,421	7,462	-1,758	9,006	3
Option 3	125	1,666	7,413	-1,758	557	557	8,560	1
NET PRESENT VALUE								
Option 1	276	869	758	1,203	6,475	-1,560	8,022	1
Option 2	125	865	815	1,300	6,630	-1,516	8,219	3
Option 3	125	1,617	6,987	-1,609	495	480	8,096	2

#### NOTE:

The cash flows shown in Appendices B1 - B3 are set out in what is seen to be the most helpful presentation for HHS Board members. However, there are other methods of presentation that candidates may adopt without prejudicing the validity of their bottom line figures. The running costs of Hades House in 2013 are common to all three options and could therefore be omitted for that year only. Also, in place of showing the running costs (net of depreciation) for Argosy House and the Caesar Centre in the years when these are incurred, it would be valid to set out the net savings in running costs for these two buildings for the years in which they have been taken out of use.

Appendix C1 HOUSING REPAIRS REVISED ESTIMATES, 2008 - DETAILED WORKINGS

	ex-THH	ex-OHA	Total	Page
	DWO &	External	cost	reference
	external			
	£'000	£'000	£'000	
CYCLICAL WORKS	070	405	504	00
Payments - 01January to 30 April	379	185	564	22
Less creditors provision b/fwd	-10 369	-24 161	-34 530	16
Missing invoices for work carried out by DWO	309	101	550	
As invoiced by the DWO	43			16
Less 40 % discount	-17			16
	26	0	26	
Sub-total of the above items	395	161	556	
Balance of current contracts	615	425	1,040	22
L	1,010	586	1,596	
New contract - gas servicing	507	0.45		00
Total value % in 2008	537 17%	245 17%		22 22
Value in 2008	91	42	133	22
Revised estimate - cyclical repairs	1,101	628	1,729	
·	1,101	020	1,729	
REINVESTMENT WORKS				
Payments - 01January to 30 April	1,416	1,514	2,930	22
Less creditors provision b/fwd	-108	-176	-284	16
Less liquidated damages receipt Balance of current contracts	0	-29	-29	16
Balance of current contracts	395 1,703	636 1,945	1,031	22
New contracts - kitchens and bathrooms	1,703	1,945	3,648	
Total value	975	1,890		22
% in 2008	12%	25%		22
Value in 2008	117	473	590	
Revised estimate - reinvestment repairs	1,820	2,418	4,238	
RESPONSIVE REPAIRS				
Actual costs - jobs completed prior to 01 January	101	56	157	13
Actual costs - jobs completed 01January to 29 February	355	135	490	13
Actual costs - jobs completed 01 March to 30 April	338	161	499	13
Less creditors provision b/fwd	-89	-55	-144	16
Sub-total - completed jobs	705	297	1,002	
, ,			,	
Jobs in progress at 30 April				
As ordered	93	52		13
14% uplift	13	7		11
Amended value	106	59	165	
Sub-total - completed jobs & jobs in progress	811	356	1,167	
Cub total Completed jess a jess in progress	011	000	1,101	
Allowance for jobs ordered after 01 May				
No. of jobs.				
No. of jobs (annual)	12,800	6,600		PS viii
No. of jobs for May - December (7.5/12)	8,000	4,125		11
Cost per job				
Average cost per job for jobs completed in March and				
April 08	£167.00	£147.00		13
Allowance required	1,336	606	1,942	
Revised estimate - responsive repairs	2,147	962	3,109	

# Appendix C1 (continued)

# HOUSING REPAIRS REVISED ESTIMATES, 2008 - DETAILED WORKINGS (continued)

	ex-THH	ex-OHA	Total	Page
	DWO &	External	cost	reference
	external			
	£'000	£'000	£'000	
RELET REPAIRS				
Actual costs - jobs completed prior to 01 January	25	19	44	13
Actual costs - jobs completed 01January to 29 February	142	64	206	13
Actual costs - jobs completed 01 March to 30 April	130	72	202	13
Less creditors provision b/fwd	-34	-27	-61	16
Sub-total - completed jobs	263	128	391	
Jobs in progress at 30 April				
As ordered	66	26		13
8% uplift	5	2		11
Amended value	71	28	99	
Sub-total - completed jobs & jobs in progress	334	156	490	
Allowance for jobs ordered after 01 May				
No. of jobs.				
No. of jobs (annual)	470	320		PS viii
No. of jobs for May - December (7.5/12)	294	200		11
Cost per job				
Expected average cost per job	£1,550	£1,140		11
Allowance required	456	228	684	
Revised estimate - relet repairs	790	384	1,174	

REVISED ESTIMATE TOTALS	5,858	4,392	10,250	

HOUSING REPAIRS REVISED ESTIMATES, 2008 - COMPARISON WITH ORIGINAL ESTIMATES						
	ex-THH	ex-OHA	Total	Page		
	DWO &	External	cost	reference		
	external					
	£'000	£'000	£'000			
REVISED ESTIMATES						
Cyclical repairs	1,101	628	1,729	App C1		
Reinvestment repairs	1,820	2,418	4,238	App C1		
Responsive repairs	2,147	962	3,109	App C1		
Relet repairs	790	384	1,174	App C1		
	5,858	4,392	10,250			
ORIGINAL ESTIMATES						
Cyclical repairs	1,140	540	1,680	PS vii		
Reinvestment repairs	2,350	2,410	4,760	PS vii		
Responsive repairs	2,010	970	2,980	PS vii		
Relet repairs	799	340	1,139	PS vii		
	6,299	4,260	10,559			
VARIANCES FROM ORIGINAL ESTIMATES						
Cyclical repairs	-39	88	49			
Reinvestment repairs	-530	8	-522			
Responsive repairs	137	-8	129			
Relet repairs	-9	44	35			
	-441	132	-309			

HOUSING REPAIRS REVISED ESTIMATES, 2008 - POSSIBLE UNDERSTATEMENT OF RE-LET REPAIR COSTS AND FULL YEAR IMPLICATIONS							
	ex-THH dwellings	ex-OHA dwellings	Total	Page reference			
Expected no. of re-let repair jobs,	no.	no.					
May - December 2008	294	200		App C1			
Cost per re-let job Actual average for March and April 2008 Projected average cost - Head of Maintenance	£ 1,646 1,550	£ 1,241 1,140		13 11			
Difference	96	101		11			
Possible extra costs for 2008, if costs per job remain at March/April level.	£28,224	£20,200	£48,424				
Possible full year impact No. of jobs Extra cost per job Possible full year extra cost	470 £96 £45,120		£77,440				

# HOUSING REPAIRS REVISED ESTIMATES, 2008 - EXTRA COST OF RESPONSIVE REPAIRS - POSSIBLE FULL YEAR IMPLICATIONS

	ex-THH dwellings	ex-OHA dwellings	Total	Page reference
	no.	no.	no.	
Expected no. of responsive repair jobs, 2008 full year	12,800	6,600	19,400	PS viii
Cost per responsive repair job job Actual average for March and April 2008 Projected average cost in original estimates Difference	£ 167 157 10	£ 147 147 0		13 PS viii
Possible extra cost in full year, if costs per job remain at March/April level.	£128,000	£0	£128,000	

		Total	
POTENTIAL COMBINED FULL YEAR EXTRA COST -			
RE-LET AND RESPONSIVE REPAIRS		£205,440	Above

Note: candidates may choose to base the full year implications calculations on the basis of the revised nos. of jobs for 2008 shown in Appendix C3. In that case, the potential extra full year costs are as follows:

	ex-THH dwellings	ex-OHA dwellings	Total	Page reference
Re-let repairs				
No. of jobs	500	344	844	App C3
Extra cost per job	£96	£101		Above
Potential extra cost for full year	£48,000	£34,744	£82,744	
Responsive repairs No. of jobs	12,917	6,555	19,472	Арр СЗ
Extra cost per job	£10	£0		Above
Potential extra cost for full year	£129,170	£0	£129,170	
Combined total			£211,914	

#### **HOUSING REPAIRS ESTIMATES - UNIT COSTS**

	ex-	ГНН	ex-C	ex-OHA		stock	Page
COSTS PER DWELLING	N	0.	N	No.		No.	
Average number of dwellings	6,4	50	4,250		10,700		
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	
	£	£	£	£	£	£	
Costs per dwelling							) Original
Cyclical repairs	177	171	127	148	157	162	) unit costs
Reinvestment repairs	364	282	567	569	445	396	) as per PS vii.
Responsive repairs	312	333	228	226	279	291	) Revised
Relet repairs	124	122	80	90	106	110	) costs from
Total	977	908	1,002	1,033	987	959	) App C2.

	ex-	ex-THH ex-OHA		OHA	HA Total stock		Page
COSTS PER JOB -	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	reference
RESPONSIVE REPAIRS	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	
Number of jobs	12,800	12,917	6,600	6,555	19,400	19,472	PS viii & below
Total costs	£'000 2,010	£'000 2,147	£'000 970	£'000 962	£'000 2,980	£'000 3,109	App C2
Cost per job	£ 157	£ 166	£ 147	£ 147	£ 154	£ <b>160</b>	

	ex-THH		ex-C	ex-OHA		stock	Page
COSTS PER JOB -	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	reference
RELET REPAIRS	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	
							PS viii &
Number of jobs	470	500	320	344	790	844	below
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	
Total costs	799	790	340	384	1,139	1,174	App C2
	£	£	£	£	£	£	
Cost per job	1,700	1,580	1,062	1,116	1,442	1,391	

NUMBER OF JOBS ALLOWED FOR IN REVISED ESTIMATES							
	ex-THH	ex-OHA	Total	Page			
	No.	No.	No.	reference			
Responsive repairs							
Total up to 30.04.08	4,917	2,430	7,347	13			
Add expected number up to 31.12.08	8,000	4,125	12,125	App C1			
Total for year	12,917	6,555	19,472				
No. of responsive jobs per dwelling	2.00	1.54	1.82				
Relet repairs							
Total up to 30.04.08	206	144	350	13			
Add expected number up to 31.12.08	294	200	494	App C1			
Total for year	500	344	844				
No. of re-let jobs per dwelling	0.08	0.08	0.08				

# HOUSING REPAIRS ESTIMATES - UNIT COSTS (continued)

	Average cost	Variances fro benchr		Page reference
Responsive repairs - average cost per job	£	£	%	
National benchmark (State Housing Commission)	135			5
HHS original estimate - all dwellings	154	19	13.8%	Above
HHS revised estimate - all dwellings	160	25	18.3%	Above
HHS original estimate - ex-THH dwellings	157	22	16.3%	PS vii
HHS revised estimate - ex-THH dwellings	166	31	23.1%	Above
HHS original estimate - ex-OHA dwellings	147	12	8.9%	PS vii
HHS revised estimate - ex-OHA dwellings	147	12	8.7%	Above

Responsive and relet repairs	Total	Responsive	Proportion	Page
-proportion of total repairs budget	budget	& relet		reference
	£'000	£'000	%	
National benchmark (State Housing Commission)			35.0%	5
HHS original estimate - all dwellings	10,559	4,119	39.0%	PS vii
HHS revised estimate - all dwellings	10,250	4,283	41.8%	App C2
HHS original estimate - ex-THH dwellings	6,299	2,809	44.6%	PS vii
HHS revised estimate - ex-THH dwellings	5,858	2,937	50.1%	App C2
HHS original estimate - ex-OHA dwellings	4,260	1,310	30.8%	PS vii
HHS revised estimate - ex-OHA dwellings	4,392	1,346	30.6%	App C2
				I

Potential saving to HHS if national benchmarks were adhered to		Page reference
Responsive repairs average cost per job		
HHS revised estimate, all dwellings	£160	Above
National benchmark	£135	5
Difference	£25	
No. of jobs (revised estimate)	19,472	Above
	£'000	
Extra cost above national benchmark	487	
Responsive and re-let repairs as percentage of total repair costs HHS responsive and relet repairs - as percentage of total National benchmark Difference	41.8% 35.0% 6.8%	Above 5
Total cost of repairs - revised estimate	£'000 10,250	Above
Extra cost above benchmark	£'000 696	

#### **LEASEHOLD SERVICE CHARGES**

COSTS FOR 2008								
		Naxos Court		Nike Court	Page			
COSTS APPLICABLE TO ALL DWELLINGS	%	£	%	£	reference			
Direct costs (excluding repairs)								
Alarm systems/door entry		2,980		2,980	10			
Caretaking and cleaning		7,400		5,600	10			
Communal light and heat		2,250		1,840	10			
Depreciation - service equipment		940		620	10			
Landscape maintenance		4,700		4,560	10			
Lift maintenance		3,100		3,100	10 & 17			
		21,370		18,700				
Repair costs				·				
Routine repairs		2,800		1,900	17			
Non-routine repairs		2,180		6,000	17			
·		4,980		7,900				
Less proportion of non-routine repair costs				•				
chargeable in later years (£6,000 x 4 / 5)		0		-4,800	7			
, , , , ,		4,980		3,100				
		,		,				
Sub-total - direct costs		26,350		21,800				
Administration								
Allocations relating to elements except repairs		1,980		1,850	17			
Allocations relating to repair costs		600		2,650	17			
Less administration allocation relating to deferred								
repairs charges (£2,650 x £4,800 / £7,900)		0		-1,610	7			
		2,580		2,890				
Total costs applicable to all dwellings		28,930		24,690				
COSTS APPLICABLE ONLY TO LEASEHOLDERS								
Service charge administration and billing		584		1,241	17			
COSTS APPLICABLE ONLY TO INDIVIDUAL LEASEHOLDERS IN DEFAULT Service charge recovery costs (These costs may only be charged to individual leaseholders in default.)		550		770	7 & 17			

## LEASEHOLD SERVICE CHARGES (continued)

NUMBERS OF DWELLINGS								
	Naxos	Naxos Court		Nike Court				
	Tenanted	Leasehold	Tenanted	Leasehold	reference			
One bedroom	5	0	0	0	10			
Two bedroom	8	2	6	8	10 & 17			
Three bedroom	14	6	3	9	10			
Four bedroom	2	0	0	0	10			
	29	8	9	17				

WEIGHTING FOR SERVICE CHARGE CALCULATION PURPOSES			
One bedroom	1		7
Two bedroom	2		7
Three bedroom	3		7
Four bedroom	4		7

WEIGHTED NUMBERS OF UNITS					
	Naxos	Naxos Court		Nike Court	
	Tenanted	Leasehold	Tenanted	Leasehold	reference
One bedroom	5	0	0	0	
Two bedroom	16	4	12	16	
Three bedroom	42	18	9	27	
Four bedroom	8	0	0	0	
	71	22	21	43	
Total number of units	9	93		64	
Percentage allocation to leaseholders	22/93	23.66%	43/64	67.19%	7

ALLOCATION TO LEASEHOLD PROPERTIES				
	Naxos Court		Nike Court	Page reference
Cost allocation to leaseholders Direct costs				
Direct costs total - from App D1	26,350		21,800	App D1
Percentage allocation to leaseholders	23.66%		67.19%	Above
Direct costs sub-total	6,233		14,647	
Administration costs  Administration costs applicable to all dwellings  Administration allocation - from App D1  Percentage allocation to leaseholders  Leaseholders' share of costs	2,580 23.66% 610		2,890 67.19% 1,942	App D1 Above
Service charge admin and billing (100%)	584		1,241	17
Administration sub-total	1,194		3,183	
Overall costs chargeable to leaseholders	7,428		17,830	
Administration costs as % of direct costs	19.16%	***	21.73%	

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The maximum permissible administration cost allocation is 20% of direct costs (page 7, paragraph 10). Therefore the maximum allocation for Nike Court is £14,647 x 20% (£2,929).

ALLOCATION TO LEASEHOLD PROPERTIES (ADJUSTED FOR ADMINISTRATION COSTS LIMIT)				
	Naxos Court	Nike Court	Page reference	
Direct costs sub-total	6,233	14,647	Above	
Administration costs as % of direct costs	19.16%	20.00%		
Administration sub-total	1,194	2,929		
Overall costs chargeable to leaseholders	7,428	17,576		

Appendix D2 (continued)

# LEASEHOLD SERVICE CHARGES (continued)

2008 LEASEHOLD SERVICE CHARGES				
	Naxos Court	Nike Court	Page reference	
No. of weighted leasehold units - from above	no. 22	no. 43	Above	
Overall costs chargeable to leaseholders	£ 7,428	£ 17,576	Above	
Leasehold service charge per weighted unit	£ 337.62	£ 408.75		
Leasehold service charge for: One bedroom dwelling Two bedroom dwelling Three bedroom dwelling Four bedroom dwelling	£ n/a 675.24 1,012.86 n/a	£ n/a <b>817.50</b> <b>1,226.25</b> n/a		