

Mark Scheme (Results)

Autumn 2020

Pearson Edexcel GCE In A Level Statistics (9ST0/03) Paper 3: Statistics in Practice

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Autumn 2020 Publications Code 9ST0_03_2010_MS All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2020

General Marking Guidance

Total marks

The total number of marks for the paper is 80.

Mark types

The Edexcel Statistics mark schemes use the following types of marks:

• **M Method** marks, awarded for 'knowing a method and attempting to apply it',

unless otherwise indicated.

- A Accuracy marks can only be awarded if the relevant method (M) marks have been earned.
- **B Unconditional accuracy** marks are independent of M marks
- E Explanation marks

NOTE: Marks should not be subdivided.

Abbreviations

These are some of the marking abbreviations that will appear in the mark schemes.

- ft follow through
- PI possibly implied
- cao correct answer only
- cso correct solution only (There must be no errors in this part of the question)
- awrt answers which round to
- awfw answers which fall within (a given range)
- SC special case
- nms no method shown
- oe or equivalent
- dep dependent (on a given mark or objective)
- dp decimal places
- sf significant figures
- ***** The answer is printed on the paper

Further notes

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied **positively**. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is **no ceiling** on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- All A marks are 'correct answer only' (cao.), unless shown, for example, as A1ft to indicate that previous wrong working is to be followed through.
- After a **misread**, the subsequent A marks affected are treated as A1ft, but manifestly absurd answers should never be awarded A marks.
- **Crossed out** work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.
- If **two solutions** are given, each should be marked, and the resultant mark should be the mean of the two marks, rounded down to the nearest integer if needed.

| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|---|----------|------------|--|
| 1(a) | Teru is not correct | B1 | 3.1b | Clear statement that Teru is incorrect |
| | because Figure 1 shows proportions not numbers. | E1 | 3.1b | oe Indication that information is missing on how many people worked in each civil service grade in these years. |
| 1(b) | Between 2010 and 2017 the proportion of women employed in most grades of the civil service increased. | | | General increase in proportion of women. Could be expressed as decrease in proportion of men. |
| | The change was greatest in the senior grades. | | | Change greatest for senior grades |
| | Between 2010 and 2017 the proportion of men/women employed in the junior grades of the civil service remained stable. | | | Relatively stable for junior grades |
| | But the proportion of women employed in the senior grades increased. There have been consistently more women at the lower grades and more men at the higher grades throughout this time period. | | | Increase of women in the senior grades |
| | | | | Consistency in gender/seniority imbalance. |
| | But the proportion of men in the higher grades has decreased. | | | Decrease of men in the senior grades |
| | | E1 E1 | 1.1 1.1 | Any sensible pair of comments ISW |

| Question | Sch | eme | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|---|---|---------------|-------------------|---|
| 1(c) | The information is benchmark agains person reading the compare the prop | s included as a st which the e graph can portions shown. | E1 | 3.1a | oe Coherent explanation about comparison and/or baseline/benchmark |
| 1(d) | Possible comment | ts: | | | Must be coherent |
| | The proportion of from an ethnic mi generally increase and 2017 | civil servants nority has d between 1988 | | | oe |
| | but took a dip a | round 2000. | | | oe |
| | The proportion of servants from an e generally rose bet 2014 (or 2015) | f senior civil ethnic minority ween 2006 and | | | oe could be more detailed |
| | but then contine 2017. | ued to fall until | | | oe subsequent fall |
| | In 2011 the propo servants from an e was about ² / ₃ of th the UK population | rtion of civil ethnic minority e proportion in n. | | | oe Might quote both proportions from graph to compare. |
| | In 2011 the propo civil servants from minority was about proportion in the | rtion of senior n an ethnic ut ¹ /3 of the UK population. | | | oe Might quote both proportions from graph to compare. |
| | | | E1, E1, E1 | 1.1 1.1 1.1 | Any three distinct correct comments. Detailed year-on-year description can only earn E1, E1 maximum. |
| | | | E1 | 1.1 | For an appropriate style suitable for a news website |
| | | Total | 9 | | |

| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|---|-------|------|---|
| 2(a) | 0.991 | B1 | 1.2 | cao |
| 2(b) | | | | OE Both. Allow one or two tailed. |
| | H ₀ : Independent | | | Accept |
| | H ₁ : Not independent | B1 | 1.3 | $H_0: \rho = 0$ |
| | | | | $H_1: \rho \neq 0 \text{ or } \rho > 0$ |
| | | | | Mark completely independent of the rest of the question |
| | | | | Correct cv to 3 s.f. |
| | cy 0 6215 1-tail (or 0 7067 2-tail) | M1 | 1.3 | or <i>p</i> -values |
| | ev 0.0215 1 tan (61 0.7007 2 tan) | 1011 | | awrt 9 x 10 ⁻⁷ (1-tail) |
| | | | | awrt 2 x 10 ⁻⁶ (2-tail) |
| | Reject H ₀ | | | Conclusion dep correct 2(a) and M1 |
| | There is significant evidence that (cricket) chirp rate is not independent to/associated with air temperature. | E1dep | 2.1a | Full context dep correct values and conclusion. |
| 2(c) | Because parts (a) and (b) have shown that there is a strong association between (crickets') chirp rate and (air) temperature | | 2.1a | oe Could explain that as chirp rate goes up so does temp |
| | this suggestion seems reasonable | B1dep | 2.1b | oe dep on E1 mark |
| 2(d) | 2(d) When (a snowy tree cricket) is not chirping, the (air) temperature is 4.44(°C). | | 2.1a | oe Allow "when <i>x</i> is 0, <i>y</i> is 4.44" |
| | For every 1 chirp (per minute) increase, the air temperature is 0.102(°C) higher. | E1 | 2.1a | oe Allow "as chirps go up by 1, temp goes up by 0.102" |
| | | B1 | 2.1a | All gradient comment correct with units "per minute" and °C |

| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|--|--------------|------|--|
| 2(e) | y = 0.102 × 159 + 4.44 (= 20.66) (residual =) 19.7 – y-value | M1 | 1.2 | PI sub $x = 159$ into reg. equation and find difference with y = 19.7 (either sign) Implied by 0.92-1 |
| | -0.96 | A1 | 1.2 | awfw -1 ~ -0.92 |
| | Alternative | | | |
| | Direct solution from calculator -0.917 | (M1) (A1) | | -0.917 NMS scores M1A1 |
| 2(f)(i) | The residuals are small implying (Juliana's use of) the regression line is valid | | | |
| | Residuals for (D, E &) F (are) is positive/above the line and residual for (G and) H (are) is negative/below the line implying the relationship between x and y is not linear and (Juliana's use of) the regression line is not valid | | | |
| | | B1 | 3.1b | Either comment on the residuals linked to validity |
| 2(f)(ii) | The sample size was very small so (Juliana's use of) the regression line might not be valid. | | | |
| | The correlation is very strong so (Juliana's use of) the regression line is valid. | | | |
| | The scatter diagram indicates a linear relationship so (Juliana's use of) the regression line is valid. | | | |
| | The data might not be bivariate normal so (Juliana's use of) the regression line is not valid. | | | |
| | The five readings at the highest rate of chirp may indicate a non-linear relationship so (Juliana's use of) the regression line is not valid. | | | |

| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|---|-------|------|-------------------|
| | Large gap in data from x=50 to x=110 so trend may be non linear | | | |
| | Thomas' sample is only from California and results may differ in other states | | | |
| | | B1 | 3.1b | Any valid comment |

| Question | Sch | eme | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|---|-------------------------------------|-------|------|--|
| 2(g) | Suggestion | | | | |
| | Corresponding ex | planation | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Make multiple reeach cricket) and | ecordings (for l use the average | | | Indication of more data |
| | to improve reliabi results/eliminate v experimental error | lity of variance/reduce r. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Take a larger sa | nple | | | Indication of more data |
| | because more data demonstrate the p relationship better | a would attern of the | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Conduct the stud laboratory | ly in a | | | |
| | so that the conditi controlled/reduce error. | ons can be experimental | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Sample (snowy tr regions other tha | | | | |
| | to reduce the bias | in the sample. | | | |
| | | | B1 | 3.1a | Any correct suggestion |
| | | | E1 | 3.1a | correctly paired with the relevant explanation ISW |
| | | Γ | | | Context not required. |
| | | Total | 16 | | |

| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|--|-------|------|--|
| 3(a) | H ₁ : μ < 0 | B1 | 1.3 | |
| | $(ts =) \frac{-0.163 - 0}{\left(\frac{1.37}{\sqrt{251}}\right)}$ | M1 | 1.3 | PI correct working (ignore sign) Condone 1 slip |
| | = -1.885 | A1 | 1.3 | awrt 1.88 ~ 1.89 (ignore sign) |
| | cv = -1.6449 or <i>p</i> -value = 0.0297 < 0.05 | B1 | 1.3 | cv awrt 1.64 (ignore sign) or comparison <i>p</i> -value awrt 0.0297 with 0.05 |
| | | | | Allow t-test cv awrt (-)1.65 (ignore sign) or <i>p</i> -value awrt 0.0303 |
| | Reject H_0 (significant evidence that the BASF share price has a negative daily percentage change on average) | A1dep | 2.1a | oe Context not required Dependent on correct ts & cv with matching signs or correct <i>p</i> -value compared with 0.05 |
| 3(b) | (A is correct because Chidi had) all of the data for 2018 and the population mean was known (to be -0.163) | | 3.1a | Explanation that 2018 population is fully known. |
| | (B is correct because Chidi) used a biased sample that was from one year only | | | Sample biased/not random or not representative of all years. |
| | Or (B is correct because) past performance does not necessarily predict future performance | | | oe Allow "extrapolation is unreliable" |
| | | E1 | 3.1b | Either comment for B |

| Question | Sch | eme | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|---|------------------------------------|-------|------|--|
| 3(c) | $\frac{183}{251} = 0.72$ $\frac{241}{251} = 0.96$ | 29 = 72.9% 60 = 96.0% | M1 | 1.2 | Calculate both proportions as decimals or % awrt 0.73, 0.96 or awrt 73%, 96% |
| | 73% (is more than 96% (is almost the | 1) 68% e same as) 95% | A1 | 2.1b | oe Compare both proportions <i>correctly</i> as decimals or % to 2s.f. or better. |
| | | | | | SC1 one proportion correctly calculated and compared. |
| | The histogram is (bell-shaped, centr | (approximately) ed on the mean. | | | Description of shape wrt the mean. |
| | Or The histogram sho negative skew. | ows a (slight) | | | Correct comment on the skew. |
| | | | B1 | 2.1b | Either |
| | (Use of) the mode valid. | l is just about | | | oe |
| | (Use of) the mode valid. | el is not quite | | | oe |
| | | | E1dep | 3.1a | Either response in summation, as appropriate to candidate's argument. |
| | | | | | At least 2 of the 3 previous marks must have been gained. |
| | | | | | Do not penalise more sophisticated answers with e.g. reference to kurtosis or the log normal dist. |
| | | Total | 11 | | |

| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|--|--------|-------------|---|
| 4(a) | Cluster sample | B1 | 1.1 | or Multi-stage (sample) |
| 4(b)(i) |)(i) Some element of randomness which reduces bias. Easier/quicker than a random sample | | | Refer to the advantage of random selection of offices/agents as long as not implying fully random sample. |
| | | | | oe |
| | Restricts the geographical area that Donal has to cover. | | | oe e.g. "less travel is cheaper" |
| | | B1, B1 | 1.1, 1.1 | Two advantages |
| 4(b)(ii) | Not a fully random sample. | | | |
| | Not all samples have a (equal) chance of being selected. There could be bias in the sample because it is taken from only 3 offices | | | |
| | | | | oe Restricting the sampling pool can lead to bias. |
| | | B1 | 1.1 | One disadvantage |

| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|---|---------------|------------------------|---|
| 4(c) | There is a fixed number of trials per month $(n = 40)$. | | | oe |
| | There is a fixed probability of success ($p = 0.4$). | | | oe |
| | (Outcomes of) trials/cases are independent (of each other). | | oe | |
| | There are only two possible outcomes to each trial/case. | | | oe e.g. "two mutually exclusive outcomes" |
| | | B1, B1, B1 | 2.1a, 2.1a, 2.1a | Any three |
| 4(d) | (P(resolved cases ≤ 14) =) 0.317 | B1 | 1.2 | awrt 0.317 oe 31.7% |
| 4(e) | 0.317 ³ | M1ft | 1.2 | PI ft <i>their</i> 4(d)(i) if working shown |
| | 0.0319 ~ 0.0320 | A1 | 1.2 | awrt 0.032 oe 3.2% |
| | Alternative | | | |
| | Use of X~B(120,0.4) | (M1) | | |
| | $P(X \le 45) = 0.3225$ | (A1) | | awfw 0.322-0.323 |

| Question | | heme | | | Marks | AO | Notes | |
|----------|--|------------------|---------|--------------------|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| 4(f) | H ₀ : The mo H ₁ : The mo | odel i odel i | s appro | opriate ppropri | iate | B1 | 1.3 | oe Both |
| | | | 1 | | M1 | 1.3 | PI Attempt to calculate <i>p</i> -values for B(40,0.4) | |
| | | 0 | Р | E | (O-E) ² /E | A1 | 1.3 | PI all correct to 2d.p. |
| | x ≤ 11 | 9 | 0.071 | 6.39 | 1.07 | | | |
| | $12 \le x \le 14$ | 26 | 0.246 | 22.18 | 0.66 | M1dep | 1.3 | PI Attempt to calculate E-values |
| | $15 \le x \le 17$ $18 \le x \le 20$ | 30 17 | 0.371 | 21 34 | 0.20 | muop | 1.0 | Dep previous M1 |
| | $10 \leq x \leq 20$ $21 \leq x$ | 2 | 0.074 | 6.69 | 3.29 | | | DI All E volues |
| | Total | 90 | | | 6.10 | A1 | 1.3 | correct to 1d.p. |
| | | | | | | M1ft | 1.3 | PI sum of $(O - E)^2/E$ ft if working shown |
| | $\chi^2 = 6.10$ | | | | | A1 | 1.3 | awrt 6.1 |
| | | | | | | | | Incorrect pooling: awrt t.s. = 4.8 (4.84) obtains M1A1M1A0M1A0 |
| | df = 4 | | | | | B1 | 1.3 | PI |
| | cv = 9.488 or <i>p</i> -value = 0.192 compared with 0.05 | | with | B1 | 1.3 | cv or awfw <i>p</i> -value = 0.18~0.20 | | |
| | Do not reject H_0 . There is no significant evidence that the model B(40, 0.4) is not appropriate (for the number of legal cases resolved by an agent by the end of the month). | | | | | E1 dep | 2.1a | Correct conclusion dep on all values correct. |

| Question | Sch | eme | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|--|-------------------------------|-------|------|---|
| 4(g) | Accepting that the B(40, 0.4) is appr | e model opriate for the | B1 | 1.3 | Accepting H ₀ when H ₀ is not, in fact correct. No context required Accept false positive |
| | number of cases r agent) within one fact, it is not. | esolved (by an month when, in | E1 | 2.1a | oe Must include full context: B(40, 0.4) oe <i>and</i> "number of cases resolved within one month" |
| | | Total | 22 | | |

| Question | Scheme | | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|--|---|-------|---|---|
| 5 | $(p_C =) \frac{12}{947} \text{ or } 0.$ and $(p_M =) \frac{4}{75} \text{ or } 0.$ | B1 | 1.2 | PI Forming both prop correctly fraction or decimal awrt 0.013 & 0.053 | |
| | H ₀ : $\pi_{\rm C} = \pi_{\rm M}$ H ₁ : $\pi_{\rm M} > \pi_{\rm C}$ or H ₁ | B1 | 1.3 | Both, accept two-tail or <i>correct</i> one-tail. Condone use of p. Other subscripts must be clearly defined. Mark independently. | |
| | $(\hat{p} =)\frac{12+4}{947+75} =$ | M1 | 1.3 | PI \hat{p} effort | |
| | test statistic | | M1 | 1.3 | PI Attempt at formula with $p_M - p_C$ correct |
| | $=\frac{\frac{4}{75}}{\sqrt{\frac{8}{514} \times (1-\frac{8}{514})}}$ | $\frac{-\frac{12}{947}}{\frac{3}{1} \times (\frac{1}{75} + \frac{1}{947})}$ | M1 | 1.3 | $\operatorname{PI}\sqrt{\frac{1}{75} + \frac{1}{947}} \text{used}$ |
| | γ(511 (5 | M1dep | 1.3 | PI their \hat{p} used | |
| | = 2.73 or p -value = 0.0 or p -value = 0.0 | A1 | 1.3 | awrt z = 2.7 (ignore sign) or p -value = 0.0063 2-tail or p -value = 0.0032 1-tail | |
| | cv = 1.96 (2-tail) or 0.0063 < 0.025 | B1 | 2.1b | cv or comparison of p-value appropriate α | |
| | (Reject H ₀) There evidence that a gr proportion/percen (Miocene) digs/si (that) yield teleoco fossils. | E1dep | 2.1a | oe Conclusion correct and in context dep test all correct. | |
| | So Sharon/she sho Montana. | E1ft | 2.1b | Advice: Only allow ft if advice is based on outcome of their hypothesis test. | |
| | | Total | 10 | | |

| Question | Scheme | | | Marks | AO | Notes |
|----------|---|--|--------|---------------------|----------------------|---|
| 6(a) | Knits in a g | Knits in a group Does | | not knit in a group | | |
| | Calmness rating | Rank | Calmne | ess rating | Rank | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 90 | 18 | | | | |
| | 95 | 20 | 93 | | 19 | |
| | 98 | 21 | | | | |
| | 100 | 22 | | | | |
| | | | | B1 | 1.2 | All rankings correct |
| 6(b) | H₀: population median group = population median not group H₁: population median group > population median not group or H₀: Samples come from identical distributions. H₁: Samples do not come from identical distribution. Knitters who attend knitting groups find knitting more calming on average than | | | B1 | 1.3 | oe Allow η H ₀ : $\eta_{group} = \eta_{not group}$ H ₁ : $\eta_{group} > \eta_{not group}$ Both hypotheses H ₁ must be one-tailed. Subscripts must be clearly defined. |
| | $T_{\text{sroup}} = 166 T_{\text{not}}$ | $\frac{1}{1000} = 166 \text{Trat group} = 87$ | | M1 | 1.3 | Totals of ranks |
| | $U_{group} = 166 - \frac{12 \times 13}{2} = 88$ $U_{not \ group} = 87 - \frac{10 \times 11}{2} = 32$ | | M1ft | 1.3 | Method correct for U | |
| | | | A1 | 1.3 | Either U correct | |
| | cv = 34 or 86 | | | M1 | 1.3 | cv correct (either) |
| | (32 < 34 or 88 > 86) Reject H ₀ . | | | A1 | 2.1b | Correct conclusion from matching pair of cv and ts. |
| | There is significant evidence that those (knitters) who attend (knitting) groups find knitting more calming on average than those who do not. | | | E1 | 2.1a | Explanation in context Dependent on correct ts and cv. |

| Question | Scheme | | Marks | AO | Notes |
|---|---|--|-------|---------------|--|
| 6(c) Betsan could not make assumption that the ratio followed normal distrib Her samples sizes were apply the Central Limit | | make the ne ratings listribution(s). | | | oe Allow "not normally distributed" Not symmetrical |
| | | were too small to Limit Theorem. | | | oe Reference to both small sample size <i>and</i> CLT |
| | The ratings are not scientific measurements of calmness but they can be ranked against one-another. | | | | oe Reference to ratings being ordinal data. |
| | | | E1,E1 | 3.1a, 3.1a | Any two of the above. Context not needed for full marks. |
| 6(d) | The sample(s) might be biased/not representative. It could be that happier knitters (are more likely to) join knitting groups (than those who are not so happy when knitting). | | E1 | 3.1a | oe More than "sample(s) not random" required. Context not needed. |
| | | | E1 | 3.1b | oe Causation reversed Context needed. |
| | | Total | 12 | | |