

Teacher Resource Bank

GCE Religious Studies Unit F (RSS06) *Old Testament* June 2009 Examination Candidate Exemplar Work:

• Candidate A



Copyright © 2009 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334). Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX. *Dr Michael Cresswell*, Director General.

2009 (June) Unit F Old Testament

Example of Candidate's Work from the Examination

Candidate A

1 (a) Explain how Hebrew religion was affected by Canaanite religion. *(30 marks)*

AO1

Candidate Response

ected pliaion WAS HOL men A D Ω greo <u>lekon</u> pear Se an perause Ganna Ino SO. 78 N. PCISON C \mathcal{L} 2000 00000 a u (i on TA TO dh A M Ø leprew Ð LL X oΛ CON \cap 'n Sacci COL nn He ptable acce in 10 pro

AO1 (30 marks)

The candidate has made a simple attempt to answer the question, referring to syncretism in the attempt to secure fertility and to the practice of child sacrifice. It was a pity that some material was deleted, as the decipherable reference to El Shaddai might have been creditable. Many more points might have been made, such as:

- The importance of the three agricultural festivals.
- Israelite use of Canaanite sanctuaries.
- Sacred trees, pillars and poles as part of cultic practice.
- The importance of sacrificial ritual, both animal and cereal.
- The architecture of the Jerusalem and Bethel temples.
- Widespread use of figurines confirmed by archaeology.
- Practice of sacred prostitution.

The candidate displayed satisfactory communication skills, though there was little evidence of specialist vocabulary.

Level 2 (5 marks)

AQA

(b) 'The ancient Israelites had no need to be so intolerant of Canaanite religion.'

Assess this view.

(15 marks) AO2

Candidate Response

Israelites had no need of tho Canaanil intolerant SO 100 60 he nnt SÕ 21010 ana SP 112 aion lcr 0 an 161/ Ð QOI Ð anãani rP OUP 70 naa 6 NON nea Mora

AO2 (15 marks)

The candidate produced one very simple argument in support of the stated view, that Canaanite religion was a separate faith, so the Israelites would not necessarily have followed it. It may be that the candidate meant in the second sentence to write 'Israelites' rather than Canaanites, but what was written had to be taken as what was intended, and so the argument could not be credited. Arguments that might have been included in further support of the view are:

- Israelite religion was enriched rather than diminished by Canaanite religion.
- Canaanite influences did not change the nature of Israel's faith, e.g. the bull calves at Bethel were symbols and not worshipped.
- Some Canaanite influences were actually transformed by Israel's religion, e.g. the historicising of the three agricultural festivals.
- The Decalogue was a later development, so would not have applied for much of Israel's history.

Against the viewpoint, the candidate might have argued as follows:

- Syncretism diluted Israel's religion.
- Canaanite influences led to the neglect of the Mosaic covenant and encouraged the abuse of power by the ruling and wealthy classes.
- Ritual came to be seen as mattering more than morality.

This response, though brief, was written in appropriate form and style.

Level 1 (1 mark)

4 (a) Explain the teaching of the book of Amos on the nature of God.

(30 marks)

AO1

Candidate Response

7(, Cλ Neitime. God who don't allow purish those Save LASP ealous concert INO I ł worshe DDed 0 00



11 dret, peoplő who Δ 010 ÍΔ¢ HADL WÙIA 50 r 1 hor "noropi ra OP <u>dn 1</u>6 RCaNSP doom CIAO dease sau others ŧΘ \cap ra A er no PROMI Sods WALL Â Fat \cap intre Codias Ø λ 125 ^^ A n dau that plara example M HA Æ CITES Q song every φ Ô MOU 0 w be HNOU 5 225 α HARAS because Opl nG nendle poor KINOWS HMOR () cis wrtorawine NUR their 15 STROWS orget ÛØd ina forget wha Ø God 15 UNIN have $do \Delta$ ot 0 \square OM nok Unos the D ako NPP. Leov nøt worshiping 10 SOOR theat ര who Su bu , who ama dol Ø l Bu Jan by the 0 Ø 1 those Ø 20 โยเ むぐ rue CLAQ not CL

Amos 01 (O CAL 0+ hoo $\cap U$ $\gamma \alpha$ 0IA nu (IVIVO OV $\gamma \alpha$ anna (SMO M NQ

AO1 (30 marks)

The candidate's response to this part of question 4 meant that the script as a whole could be awarded an E grade. Although there was some irrelevance at the start, several points were made relating to the teaching of the book of Amos on the nature of God. These points were exemplified, but the quality of the explanation was limited, sometimes virtually repeating what had already been said. The following ideas about the nature of God might have been included:

- God as Lord of creation.
- God as Lord of history and judge of all the nations.
- The holiness and transcendence of God as seen in Amos' use of the title 'God of hosts'.
- God as merciful (including reference to differing views on the dating of 9^{11-18.}

The response was clearly structured through sound use of paragraphing. Communication skills were good, with largely accurate spelling, punctuation and grammar.

Level 4 (19 marks)

(b) 'Amos' teaching about God has little relevance for the 21st century.'

Evaluate this claim.

(15 marks) AO2

Candidate Response

P helion Una pege Mali ng ha PLOI an \mathcal{O} 0 Sto ٩ Ÿ house rer IN heraise DIN Tan no Ma SOCIN LADO berause enus 60 torlau ρ PI 10 anes 0 non -1101SÃO α Ω 51170 $\Lambda \Lambda$ eme < Ω still 10 IM m 3 NNON 10/ γJ D X NNA (6) IŃ sъ

AO2 (15 marks)

Although the candidate began with reference to Amos' teaching about God, the answer related throughout to Amos' teaching generally. There was nothing relevant. In support of the statement, the candidate might have included the following:

- The rejection by many of any idea of an interventionist or of a vengeful God.
- The questions about God's goodness that are raised by presenting God as the author of good and evil and as directly responsible for innocent suffering.

Against the statement, the candidate might have considered:

- God's concern for social justice as a key theme of Amos.
- The implied universalism, e.g. God's help given to the Philistines and Aramaeans and his intention to punish surrounding nations for war crimes.
- The personal nature of God's relationship with Israel as seen in 3².

As with the rest of this script, the material was clearly and coherently organised.

Level 0 (0 marks)