

# Examiners' Report Principal Examiner Feedback

November 2017

Pearson Edexcel IAL In Law (YLA1) Paper 01



## **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a> or <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.btec.co.uk</a>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/contactus">www.edexcel.com/contactus</a>.

## Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="https://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>

October 2017
Publications Code WAC11\_01\_1710\_ER
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2017

#### Introduction

This was the second paper in this first year of the new specification for IAL Law. The new Paper 1 contains 5 questions of 20 marks each. There is no question choice on the paper, candidates are required to answer all questions. The format of the paper is that the first two questions consist of short to medium response questions, the next two questions consist of multi-part, problem-solving questions and the last question on the paper is a problem-solving question. The paper is worth 50% of the total IAL raw marks. The subject content for the paper is selected from the nature, purpose of and liability in Law, and the sources of English law, its enforcement and administration.

Many candidates did not attempt all the questions on the paper. Frequently either question 4 or 5 was omitted. The former perhaps because of lack of knowledge and the latter possibly because of shortage of time/time management issues.

Interpretation of questions and their command words need to be improved upon. Candidates must remember that each part of a question is marked in isolation, so if the correct information for one part of a question is put wrongly in the answer to another part of that question, no marks will be awarded for that information.

## **General issues**

Questions carrying 2 or 4 marks are asking candidates for points based answers which means they could receive a mark for every correct accurate point made in answering the question. Space provided for answers should inform candidates of the brevity of response required. Command words such as 'Describe' or 'Explain', gain marks for providing knowledge, description or explanation and providing examples for exemplification of specific legal concepts.

Questions worth 6, 10,12,14 or 20 marks are asking candidates to provide an explanation, assessment, analysis or evaluation of a given legal concept or issue using a combination of appropriate legal knowledge together with an assessment of the issue. Candidates answers are awarded a mark based on the level of response they display.

Questions asking for 'Analyse' require candidates to weigh up a legal issue with accurate knowledge supported by authorities or legal theories and to display developed reasoning and balance. Questions asking for 'Evaluation' additionally require a justified conclusion based on this reasoning and balance.

## Question 1a: (4 Marks)

This question is a points-based one where the candidate needs to describe 2 different forms/types of delegated legislation.

Many candidates only named **one** form and gave an example of that form, or alternatively just gave two examples of different forms without describing them. This meant they were awarded 2 marks rather than 4.

## Question 1b: (6 Marks)

This was marked using a levels-of-response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptors.

The command word in this question was 'Explain', which was looking for an extended answer, candidates were required to demonstrate understanding of the advantages of delegated legislation and to exemplify by providing examples.

Candidates' answers often just described rather than explained one or two advantages. These answers were usually as simplistic/basic as 'saves time' or 'flexibility' without providing any exemplification.

For **level 1** candidates were only able to provide isolated elements of knowledge and understanding of the advantages.

For **level 2** candidates provided several elements of knowledge supported by some application

For **level 3** candidates demonstrated detailed understanding supported by relevant application and authorities.

# Question 1c: (10 Marks)

This question was marked using a levels-of-response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptors.

The command word in this question was 'Assess', which was looking for an extended answer, weighing up how the courts control delegated legislation. This should have included and explanation of the ways that the courts can control delegated legislation and the advantages and disadvantages of the controls.

Many candidates merely repeated their answers to parts a and b, and therefore did not display any knowledge of 'controls', they therefore were not awarded any marks for this part of the question. A few candidates did know about controls and provided very good answers.

For **level 1** candidates gave isolated elements of knowledge.

For **level 2** candidates demonstrated some understanding and began to make connections.

For **level 3** candidates demonstrated accurate understanding and attempts to compare/contrast controls.

For **level 4** candidates demonstrated thorough and accurate understanding and application.

(c) Assess how the courts control delegated legislation.

Once a desteg law is made by delegated legislation. there will be problems associated with them. Thus, they need to be contralled via the courts. The delegated legislations can be reviewed through a process called, "Judicial Review", Which # Are heard in the Queen's Bench of the Hegh-court. An individual or an organisation Which 18 "affected" by a specific delegated legislation can challenge it in the courts. If it was proved to be vetra-vives, the delegated legislation is will would become vold. There are three grounds. For a quarcial review to be carried-out. Firehey if it is \$0 "Frocedural altra-veres". Thes means that the laws are made within the power granted to them, but not by Relawing the "correct procedure" the & Parent Act has granted Por enstance, this can be recostiated through the esse 04 "Ay les bury Mustroom" Case - Seconday if it "Substantie ultra-vires ", which means that a saw is made far-beyond the paper granted for them by the Parent Act. (AG V Fusenam). Pherday, Pf 1+ 98 "unreasonable". This purpose to easy that an individual or the public body or duthowry making laws that a no reasonablige body would make.

The remedies available through the cours are, certiforary mandamus and prohibition. & discussed above pt le clear that how have control over due laws well through delegated legislation (Total for Question 1: #) J- Review - a. B longh court Renewler @ who is affected by that Cernordy Mandamus @ Ultra - vires - void prohibinon @ groun Br J. renew regrorable 1 Urreasonable - ner - no body would nake. @ Sucramire - AG V Fullram - made law beyond & fower corp. - Ayusbury muchropm case - within the power by the procedure givenout by & parent Act was there can still problems relating. Fr Llowed

# **Examiner comments**

This scored 10 - top band and full marks. It assesses the position and discusses authorities.

#### Examiner tip

Try and identify the key issues/cases to enhance your mark. This will mean your answers will be more concise and focused.

## Question 2a: (2 Marks)

This question is a points-based one where the candidate needs to briefly describe the **role** of lay magistrates in hearing summary trials. There was one mark for the accurate definition of a role in the process and a mark for further description of the role, up to a maximum of 2.

The command word is 'briefly describe' which requires candidates to give a one step, short answer.

Surprisingly this question was not well done by candidates. Very often the only information provided was that it was a voluntary role and there was rarely anything further on pre-trial, trial or post trial roles.

## Question 2b: (4 Marks)

The command word is 'explain' which requires candidates to show understanding of how jurors are selected for service in a Crown Court trial. This question is a points-based one where the candidate needs to explain what the qualification criteria are for up to 2 marks and then there are 2 application marks for further explanation of either disqualification or selection criteria.

Candidates often provided only understanding of qualification e.g.- age. Candidates did either very well on this question or very poorly.

## Question 2c: (14 Marks)

This question was marked using a levels-of-response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions. The command word in this question was 'Evaluate', which was looking for an extended answer, identifying, analysing and concluding on the advantages and disadvantages of using jurors in the criminal justice system.

Answers were expected to include advantages and disadvantages, examples and justification for a conclusion.

The evaluation in many candidates' answers however was simplistic, often unbalanced and without any examples or authorities for justification or to provide a conclusion.

For **level 1** candidates gave isolated elements of knowledge on the use of jurors.

For **level 2** candidates demonstrated some understanding and began to suggest advantages and disadvantages of their use in the criminal system. For **level 3** candidates demonstrated accurate understanding and attempted to balance reasoning and evaluate with a conclusion. For **level 4** candidates demonstrated thorough and accurate understanding and an awareness of competing arguments with balanced interpretations, reasoning and a sound conclusion.

(1.

Jurois are 12 rondomly selected people 40...

the electorial register. They must be of 18-76

Years, UK atizens since their 13th birthday and
apic 10 vote in the general election. The jury will

decide if the defendant is guilty/not guilty/

Irobie or not liable. The accession of a Jury is

caused a versuct.

The advantages of jurors is that it is a represen -takion of the community of it involves lay participation and is randomly selecced. Lord Denning said it givens citizens their A'nest lesson in Ottizenship. Thereby the verdict given is a representation of what society would want. The Jury decesion is wually unanimous and in or 10:2, this show majority some coves 11:1 verdict which thereby would show the majority decesion on whether one is quitty or not in the Criminal Justice Syctem. The Jury room is of great secrecy and that oscumes the verdice is not influenced by anyone prowing further Justice. When it comes to criminal law, it can be ossumed that the Jury would be able to mitigate

harsh effects of the law and are more Hexible than the judges when bonvicting criminois. However there are many algodiantages of the Jury system. First nowa be the common situation OF Jury nobbling. This is when the defendant, here accused in criminal low influences jurors with bribes. This avoids true justice and pure verdicus. Jurois may also not be compitent enough to understand complex criminal cases. As in R vs. Young the Jury wed on oui; a board to contact the dead to OK what exactly happened. The Jury verdet does not give reasons as a would do. This is important in judge in court Criminal law of the prosecution and defendant Would want to know reasons as to why if the case doeint go their ways. Criminal law may involve Houmatic actains which thereby may put juross in our tress. Three too is a disadvantage. Also with criminal law it has been seen that jurous acquir more than thry convict because or sympothy towards the defendant. This proves to be an extineffective method of JUSHCC.

#### **Examiner comments**

This scored 9 - band 3 marks. It assesses advantages and disadvantages, discusses authorities, but justification and conclusion are insufficient for top band.

#### Examiner tip

For an evaluate question there needs to be a balance between displaying a thorough understanding and application of the question topic and the need to show analysis and evaluation skills to justify a conclusion.

The command word is 'briefly describe' which requires candidates to provide an accurate description of the law commission and how it can influence law reform in Parliament.

This question is a points-based one where the candidate needs to provide an accurate definition of the commission for one mark, and then **one way** it influences Parliament for the other mark.

This question was answered very poorly, with most candidates just repeating the question.

## Question 3b: (4 Marks)

The command word in this question was 'Explain', which was looking for a detailed answer on **either** media or pressure group influence. This question is a points-based one where the candidate needed to explain who media or pressure groups are and how they influence Parliament for up to 2 marks, and then for explanation of/examples of specific influence situations and the effect of influence, there were 2 further application marks.

Candidates mostly failed to explain what is meant by 'media' or 'pressure groups'. Superficial explanations often said no more than 'they make the public aware of laws'.

## Question 3c: (14 Marks)

This question was marked using a levels-of-response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions.

The command word in this question was 'Evaluate', which was looking for an extended answer with examples, to identify and analyse the advantages and disadvantages of external influences on law making by Parliament. Candidates were expected to review the statement in the question and draw on evidence and their understanding of the law to justify their argument and come to a conclusion. Candidates needed to weigh up relevant issues and authorities.

Some candidates clearly misunderstood the question and didn't link parts a and b to this part of the question – obviously not understanding that these too were external influences. Some candidates thought that this question c only related to Europe.

For **level 1** candidates demonstrated isolated elements of knowledge For **level 2** candidates demonstrated some understanding and began to apply their knowledge to the question, with perhaps use of authorities, albeit sometimes applied inappropriately.

For **level 3** candidates demonstrated accurate understanding of the question demonstrated accurate understanding supported by relevant authorities and attempted to balance reasoning and evaluate with a conclusion.

For **level 4** candidates demonstrated thorough and accurate understanding and an awareness of competing arguments with balanced interpretations, reasoning and a sound conclusion.

Parliament creates low and overtime they are in need of reform a some information go ousdated There are four methody in which low maybe reformed in the sense of external influences on low making to the parliament; The Low commission, Media, Political parties and pressure groups.

The advantage of the law commission is that they involve themselves in trorough research. This brings on element of expertese of they probably have more knowledge than the parliament does as thry concult local booses when researching. However the assacrontage would be that parliament is not forced to listen to the law commission. With the little time that they rove they may not be able to reform accordingly. Also since thorough research, by the time they report to the parliament, data maybe outdated.

The advantage of the Media is that They create large public attention on areas that need reform. They can also directly guestion Ministers on these areas and make au interviews available to the public like in the

Snow-drop campaign. However the disadvantage would include that the voice presented through the media # unequal and that some medya maybe politically influenced This reduces the advantages media reform has been called a knee-Jerk reaction. The advantage of political PORTIEL reform is formed through an election manifesto and reaseren and prepared drake bill will reacting available. This me As law created may be properly reformed and done too 9 LUCK like in the Dangeourou Dogo Act. The gavantage of Political parties is that their reform is formed through a politicial election monifesto and research, drakt bills are reaculy available when they come into power. This make reform of high quarity and precise However any reform made through on elected government party maybe easily removed or

in the next election. Thereby any reform may only be snort-term.

would involve 01 pressure groups level +ncl1 meane would However that and doesno reform attenhon Children and Peace Green are succesch!

## **Examiner comments**

This scored 12 - top band marks. It evaluates, uses pertinent examples and authorities.

## Examiner tip

Make sure you read and understand the command word in a question and the marks allocated. Check your answer regularly to make sure you stick rigidly to this.

## Question 4a: (4 marks)

The command word is 'explain' which requires candidates to give brief explanations and examples on the focus of the question. There is no requirement or expectation for candidates to write a lot about a topic. This question is a points-based one where candidates were expected to explain what the burden of proof is in a civil court and also the normal purpose of damages in civil cases. There were 2 marks available for each explanation. There was confusion on 'burden of proof' - many candidates confusing civil with criminal. Answers were better on the explanation of the purpose of damages.

# Question 4b: (6 marks)

This question was marked using a level- of-response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions.

The command word in this question was 'Analyse', which was looking for a detailed answer with examples. The question also required candidates to make a choice of 2 from the 4 types of damages listed.

For both selected types of damages, there was 1 mark for an accurate explanation of and then an additional 1 mark for further explanation, for example when it might be awarded, and a further 1 mark for analysis. The question was badly answered, most candidates did not know the difference between the different types of damage.

For **level 1** candidates were only able to provide isolated elements of knowledge on the differences.

For **level 2** candidates provided several elements of knowledge supported by a few legal authorities or examples.

For **level 3** candidates demonstrated detailed understanding and balanced exemplification supported by relevant examples and authorities.

## Question 4c: (10 marks)

This question was marked using a levels-of-response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions.

The command word in this question was 'Assess', which was looking for an extended answer using examples. Many candidates did not understand what the question was asking, and did not have any knowledge of equitable remedies. Therefore, this question was often unanswered or if it was attempted it was done badly.

For **level 1** candidates demonstrated isolated elements of knowledge For **level 2** candidates demonstrated some understanding and began to apply their knowledge appropriately to the question.

For **level 3** candidates demonstrated accurate understanding of the question supported by relevant authorities.

For **level 4** candidates demonstrated thorough and accurate understanding exemplified with appropriate, well explained and applied authorities.

(c) Assess the purpose and any problems with the different equitable remedies available to resolve civil disputes.

Equipoble remedies que available in civil disputes and are in ine discretionary of the claiment. If a dalment comes into court saying he doesn't equity it is avoilable to him. 707 ore types of mere equity removes avallable. Specific performance is a main remeay. This is wnen an order 1s given 10 the defendant to do what The terms of the agreement 15 Thornton. The purpose of this would be to more sure au parmes to an agreement perform meir terms and no one makes a lose. The problem with special performance is that though the cours orders one to do comething, the desendant may still not fullill it making it a contempt of court. This is largely seen in case regarding the sale of long. Another remedy available is injuntione. This meony restricting the defendant rom

something that causes loss to the comment; Arayll. The purpose of this would wholly mean to put a stop to the nuceance created. For example if one always tresspossers through anothers land ON a short cut. The claiment can award on injunction the tressposser. The problem with this remedy would be that he too may not listen and WILL Orgue back saying he had been using that long to tressposs for a long time and the claiment had failed to complain. Another semeous available in civil courts is the recession. This means parties are put to their position before the contract was made. This occurred in Reagrave, where a Solicitor Hed about his income to a him to employment Court held it was untain and put the parties to their position pre-contract. purpose would be to avoid unfained however the problem would be that unfair is a suggestive term and thereby awarding recession

Examiner comments
This scored 8 - top band marks. It
assesses the purpose and problems, and
discusses authorities.

Examiner tip

Try and identify the key issues/cases to enhance your mark. This will mean your answers will be more concise and focused.

# Question 5: (20 marks)

This question was marked using a levels-of-response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions. This is the question candidates need to spend some time on, due to the fact that there are no subsections to the question and therefore the total question marks of 20 are based around a single answer.

The command word in this question was 'Evaluate', which was looking for an extended answer. Candidates were expected to discuss the links between morality and law, and evaluate whether law always follows morality. Candidates were expected to use theories and cases to illustrate and justify an argument and their conclusion.

Many candidates omitted this question completely.

For **level 1** candidates demonstrated isolated elements of knowledge relating to law and morality

For **level 2** candidates demonstrated some understanding and began to apply their knowledge appropriately to the question.

For **level 3** candidates demonstrated accurate understanding of the question supported by relevant authorities such as theories or cases. For **level 4** candidates demonstrated thorough and accurate understanding exemplified with appropriate, well explained and applied theories and authorities to reach a justified conclusion as to whether law always follows morality.

Law can be defined in many ways. John Austin said laws is a command by a sovereign body and if broken can be sonctioned by the state. A command is known of an order/wich or the Sovereign. A sovereign is one with unlimited powers and identifyoble. A sonction is a penalty wholy imprisonment, finey or community sentences Hart went on to define law or primary and secondary. Primary rules are those in need for primitive societies and secondary rules impose sonchions routher than confer power secondary rules are of ; rules of adjudication, rule of change, rule of recognition. Thomas Aguina, a well known noturalist went on to cay these higher law come from opac Moral rules are those which have been done by society from a long time and complines with tracytion and culture.

To know the extent to which tow ADJIONY
MOROWH WE MUST OVSHINGWICH THE CHORACTERISM.
-CS OF the two. The origin of law is said to
be through otherws and books whereas morals
have no origin. Sonctions imposed when-

Bracen include it laws are broken, they will be punished through imprisonment, hines and community bentences whereas Morais broken would only be frowned upon. Low are likely to change Arguently as Acts maybe reformed over time, Morais on the other hand take a ranger time to change ones attitude.

There are incloned where Low and Morale coincide. Like in the 10 commondments Mocy presenced to his people. These involve Thoug Shart not kill / Thou Shart Not Steal. These both apply in chiminal law a # it is an offence to murder and commit thest. It is also a Moral rule, given by god, not to kill or steal. There are some instances where law doent "follow" morality of with strict liability offences such as trathic offences where there is no menstea and no moral obligations. There has also been instances where moras stand by themselves will no legal force. such Adultery OF Adultery. As It is knowned upon to commit adultery it is not a crime.

The extent to which the law follow moracity can be seen in the following situations:

m Rvs.R, the judge deaded that Marital rape had to be an offence. That is a presentant on of a change in Morouty. This went ancad to create an act and can marital rope a crime.

Another would be when the people of society saw a change in attibudes towards Abortion Those anti abortion had turned pro-obortion which 1800 to the creation of The Aboution Act. However we cannot oscume that law 'aways' POllow morauty of The Race Relationy Act is on example of the law having the upperhand and try to change peoples Moray, which still is a question as to it peoples attitudes on rouism had yet changed or not. There has also been motances where it was difficult to oscume it law changed moralty or if morality changed the law; Regarding Homocexuals. It was held what no mosexuaus as in the privacy of their homes with onsent was not illegar, giving rise to the Wolfendon Report. This bought about the Hart Deviin Ourpute which involved Deviso soying it

law that individuous for authority of the refrain from doing anything immoral even in their own homes. Hart went onto argue that what individuale do on their homes should be none of the laws human or it incringes privacy rights. Dovlin being a naturallet ossumed that any law greated should be Morale. However au pocitiviets have proved that this is always the cose. Will not And Inat Law look into 14 naturalist Ossume it rules are immoral or That they will see if only conduct is legal or illegal Thereby to much or the excent can but be ousumed caw follow moracility au coses 0 presented above. Thereby 'always.. not

#### **Examiner comments**

This scored 15 marks. It was a good answer, top band. It explained both law and morality well, their connections, theories and used case law to come to a justified conclusion.

## **Paper Summary**

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

- Read the questions and pay careful attention to what the command words are asking you to do. This will mean your answers will be more focused.
  - Look at the marks allocated to the question and spend only the appropriate amount of time on the question based on the marks.
- In a question with several parts, read all the parts and decide what information to put in each part before starting part a.
- Use examples to illustrate definitions or points made in the short answer questions and additionally relevant case law and legislation to illustrate longer answers.
- Provide balanced answers when asked to provide advantages and disadvantages.
- Provide a conclusion for 'evaluate' questions.