

Classics: Classical Greek

Advanced GCE

Unit **F374:** Classical Greek Prose

Mark Scheme for June 2011

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of pupils of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by Examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the Report on the Examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2011

Any enquiries about publications should be addressed to:

OCR Publications
PO Box 5050
Annesley
NOTTINGHAM
NG15 0DL

Telephone: 0870 770 6622
Facsimile: 01223 552610
E-mail: publications@ocr.org.uk

Question 1

- (a) The points below are specific ones, and not all need to be included. However, we should expect mention of Socrates' protestations of poverty, his disingenuousness about his method, and the fact that he gets his way in the end; and for Thrasymachus, his sarcasm, the allusions to money (sophists), his vanity and quarrelsomeness, and that he sees through Socrates.

ἡδύς sarcastic

ἀπότεισον ἀργύριον (ostensibly) characteristic of sophist (possibly ironic?)
 ἐπειδάν μοι γένηται Socrates no money (*cf* Thrasymachus: see above)
 ἔνεκα ἀργυρίου ... πάντες γὰρ ήμεῖς Σωκράτει εἰσοίσομεν keeps this going, and perhaps πάντες sets up Thrasymachus v the rest.

πάνυ γε οἷμαι sarcastic again, as is Σωκράτης τὸ εἰωθὸς διαπράξηται (which is what happens)

Thrasymachus scathing of Socrates's methods (perhaps with some sound effects?)

αὐτὸς μὲν μὴ ἀποκρίνηται
 ἄλλου δὲ ἀποκρινομένου λαμβάνῃ λόγον καὶ ἐλέγχῃ.

ὦ βέλτιστε does Socrates mean this??

Socrates begins to wriggle out :

πῶς ... τις ἀποκρίναιτο πρῶτον μὲν μὴ εἰδὼς μηδὲ φάσκων εἰδέναι, ἐπειτα, εἴ τι καὶ οἴεται, περὶ τούτων ἀπειρημένον αὐτῷ εἴη ὅπως μηδὲν ἐρεῖ ὃν ἡγεῖται perhaps with some irony: ύπ' ἀνδρὸς οὐ φαύλου

Socrates persuasive:

ἄλλὰ σὲ δὴ μᾶλλον εἰκὸς λέγειν: οὐ γὰρ δὴ ΦΗΣ εἰδέναι καὶ ἔχειν εἰπεῖν with suitable flattery μὴ οὖν ἄλλως ποίει, ἄλλὰ ἐμοί τε χαρίζουν ἀποκρινόμενος καὶ μὴ φθονήσῃς καὶ Γλαύκωνα τόνδε διδάξαι καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους.

ὅ τε Γλαύκων καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι ἐδέοντο αὐτοῦ has its (predictable) effect, especially as

ὁ Θρασύμαχος φανερὸς μὲν ἦν ἐπιθυμῶν εἰπεῖν ἵν' εὐδοκιμήσειεν

But he still (in character) προσεποιεῖτο δὲ φιλονικεῖν πρὸς τὸ ἐμὲ εἶναι τὸν ἀποκρινόμενον and only τελευτῶν ... συνεχώρησεν

Scathing αὕτη δὴ ... ἡ Σωκράτους σοφία: αὐτὸν μὲν μὴ ἐθέλειν διδάσκειν, παρὰ δὲ τῶν ἄλλων περιόντα μανθάνειν καὶ τούτων μηδὲ χάριν ἀποδιδόναι.

- (b) Thrasymachus has allowed himself to get talked into a corner by not accepting Cleitophon's modification of his point, and must explain how rulers who make mistakes are still acting in their own interests:
- doctors, et al., are not doctors if they make mistakes
 - (even though we loosely say 'the doctor made a mistake')
 - insofar as a practitioner of a *τέχνη* is a true practitioner, he does not make mistakes
 - so insofar as a ruler is a true ruler, he does not make mistakes
 - what he lays down is to be obeyed by his subjects
 - (and it is just for subjects to obey the ruler)
 - therefore, justice is 'what is in the interests of the stronger'.

No 'required' answer to issue of persuasion of course; general points:

- repetition of key words throughout; especially *άμαρτάνω* and its compounds
- use of cognate words, especially in parallel expressions

iατρὸν ...

*... τὸν ἐξαμαρτάνοντα / περὶ τοὺς κάμνοντας / κατ’ αὐτὸ τοῦτο ὁ ἐξαμαρτάνει
ἢ λογιστικόν*

ὅς ἂν ἐν λογισμῷ ἀμαρτάνῃ, / τότε ὅταν ἀμαρτάνῃ, / κατὰ ταύτην τὴν ἀμαρτίαν
effectively parallel statements, but in this case not grammatically parallel;
repetition of key words

We say that ... ὁ *iατρὸς ἐξήμαρτεν*

καὶ ὁ λογιστὴς ἐξήμαρτεν

καὶ ὁ γραμματιστὴς ...

in fact ... οὐδέποτε ἀμαρτάνει

*... κατὰ τὸν ἀκριβῆ λόγον, ἐπειδὴ καὶ σὺ ἀκριβολογῆ put in to make point to
Socrates ... οὐδεὶς τῶν δημιουργῶν ἀμαρτάνει*

*ἐπιλιπούσης γὰρ ἐπιστήμης ὁ ἀμαρτάνων ἀμαρτάνει
ἐν ὦ οὐκ ἔστι δημιουργός at end, then repeated ... ὥστε
δημιουργός / ἢ σοφὸς ἢ / ἄρχων οὐδεὶς (position)
ἀμαρτάνει τότε ὅταν ἄρχων ἢ*

It's just that everyone says

*ὁ *iατρὸς* ἡμαρτεν καὶ*

ὁ ἄρχων ἡμαρτεν

So his answer is

*τὸ δὲ ἀκριβέστατον ἐκεῖνο τυγχάνει ὅν, τὸν ἄρχοντα, καθ’ ὅσον ἄρχων ἐστίν,
μὴ ἀμαρτάνειν*

μὴ ἀμαρτάνοντα δὲ τὸ αὐτῷ βέλτιστον τίθεσθαι ...

[τοῦτο δὲ τῷ ἀρχομένῳ ποιητέον

*ὅστε ... δίκαιον λέγω τὸ τοῦ κρείττονος ποιεῖν συμφέρον repetition of keywords
here, but not from this passage, so no reference expected]*

Question 2

- (a) Not all the points listed below are to be expected, but candidates must make clear what is going on, write coherently, and provide sufficient coverage of the passage as a whole.

κατήφειά τέ τις ἄμα καὶ κατάμεμψις σφῶν αὐτῶν πολλὴ ἦν brevity; sound; strengthened perhaps by σφῶν αὐτῶν

compared with πόλει ἐκοπολιορκημένη ... ὑποφευγούσῃ ... οὐ σμικρᾶ

Sense of μυριάδες γὰρ τοῦ ξύμπαντος ὥχλου οὐκ ἐλάσσους τεσσάρων ἄμα ἐπορεύοντο. καὶ τούτων

Deprivations οἵ τε ἄλλοι πάντες ἔφερον ὅπι τις ἐδύνατο ἕκαστος χρησιμόν (position)

καὶ οἱ ὀπλῖται καὶ οἱ ἵππης παρὰ τὸ εἰωθὸς αὐτοὶ τὰ σφέτερα αὐτῶν σιτία ...

οἱ μὲν ἀπορίᾳ ἀκολούθων

οἱ δὲ ἀπιστίᾳ

ἀπητομολήκεσαν γὰρ πάλαι τὸ καὶ οἱ πλεῖστοι παραχρῆμα

ἔφερον δὲ οὐδὲ ταῦτα ίκανά

σιτος γὰρ οὐκέτι ἦν ἐν τῷ στρατοπέδῳ

Thucydides' own comments καὶ μὴν ἡ ἄλλη αἰκία καὶ ἡ ἴσομοιρία τῶν κακῶν, ἔχουσά τινα ὅμως τὸ μετὰ πολλῶν κούφισιν, οὐδὲ ὡς ράδια ἐν τῷ παρόντι ἐδοξάζετο, ἄλλως τε καὶ ἀπὸ οἴας λαμπρότητος καὶ αὐχήματος τοῦ πρώτου ἐς οἴαν τελευτὴν καὶ ταπεινότητα ἀφίκτο.

μέγιστον (position) γὰρ δὴ τὸ διάφορον τοῦτο [τῷ] Ἐλληνικῷ στρατεύματι ἐγένετο
οἷς

ἀντὶ μὲν τοῦ ἄλλους δουλωσομένους ῆκειν αὐτοὺς τοῦτο μᾶλλον δεδιότας μὴ πάθωσι ξυνέβῃ ἀπιέναι,

ἀντὶ εὐχῆς τε καὶ παιάνων, μεθ' ὧν ἐξέπλεον, πάλιν τούτων τοῖς ἐναντίοις ἐπιφημίσμασιν ἀφορμᾶσθαι,

πεζούς τε ἀντὶ ναυβατῶν πορευομένους

καὶ όπλιτικῷ προσέχοντας μᾶλλον ἡ ναυτικῷ.

And it's not going to get any better ὅμως δὲ ὑπὸ μεγέθους τοῦ ἐπικρεμαμένου ἔπι κινδύνου πάντα ταῦτα αὐτοῖς οἰστὰ ἐφαίνετο.

(b) Demosthenes ...

In bad shape because the enemy reach him first διὰ τὸ ὑστέρω ἀναχωροῦντι
αὐτῷ πρώτῳ ἐπικεῖσθαι τοὺς πολεμίους

Makes perhaps a bad decision οὐ προυχώρει μᾶλλον ἢ ἐσ μάχην ξυνετάσσετο
And gets encircled ἔως ἐνδιατρίβων κυκλοῦται τε ὑπ' αὐτῶν

καὶ ἐν πολλῷ θορύβῳ αὐτός τε καὶ οἱ μετ' αὐτοῦ Ἀθηναῖοι ἥσαν

more about encirclement to make the point ἀνειληθέντες γὰρ ἐσ τὸ χωρίον ὁ
κύκλω μὲν τειχίον περιῆν, ὅδὸς δὲ ἐνθεν [τε] καὶ ἐνθεν

Other circumstantial detail to make the scene ἐλάσις δὲ οὐκ ὀλίγας εἶχεν
ἐβάλλοντο περισταδόν again

The Syracusans ...

Sensible tactics, as is reasonable τοιαύταις δὲ προσβολαῖς καὶ οὐ ξυσταδὸν
μάχαις οἱ Συρακόσιοι εἰκότως ἐχρώντο

Can afford to save themselves and not be silly τὸ γὰρ ἀποκινδυνεύειν πρὸς
ἀνθρώπους ἀπονενοημένους οὐ πρὸς ἐκείνων μᾶλλον ἦν ἔτι ἢ πρὸς τῶν
Ἀθηναίων

καὶ ὅμα φειδώ τέ τις ἐγίγνετο ἐπ' εὐπραγίᾳ ἥδη σαφεῖ μὴ προαναλωθῆναι τῷ
they're going to win anyway ἐνόμιζον καὶ ὡς ταύτῃ τῇ ἴδεα καταδαμασάμενοι
λήψεσθαι αὐτούς

Pressure of Syracusan attack δι' ἡμέρας βάλλοντες πανταχόθεν τοὺς
Ἀθηναίους καὶ ξυμμάχους ἐώρων ἥδη τεταλαιπωρημένους τοῖς τε ιραύμασι καὶ
τῇ ἄλλῃ κακώσει

Gylippos' proclamation; (slightly surprisingly) ἀπεχώρησάν τινες πόλεις οὐ
πολλαὶ

Demosthenes surrenders ὁμολογία γίγνεται ὥστε
ὅπλα τε παραδοῦναι

καὶ μὴ ἀποθανεῖν μηδένα

μήτε βιαίως

μήτε δεσμοῖς

μήτε τῆς ἀναγκαιοτάτης ἐνδείᾳ διαίτης negatives perhaps pointing up his
desperation

- (d) (i) gen. in gen. abs. (1) [explanation sufficient] [1]
(ii) partitive (1) [explanation sufficient] [1]
- (e) (i) optative (1) in indirect speech (1) [2]
(ii) infinitive (1) in indirect speech (1) [2]
- (f) (i) *προστίθημι* (1) [1]
(ii) *όρθω* (1) [1]
- (g) provides sailors (1), pays the workmen (1), meets any other expenses (1) [2 out of the 3] [2]

Question 3

(a) Translation from Greek

- 1 ὥργιζόμενος γὰρ τοῖς Λακεδαιμονίοις ἀνθ' ὅν ἐπεπόνθει,
- 2 περὶ παντὸς ἐποιεῖτο ἐλθεῖν τε εἰς τὴν χώραν αὐτῶν καὶ τιμωρήσασθαι ὅ τι δύναιτο.
- 3 ἅμα δὲ τῷ ἔσπειρι ναῦς τε πολλὰς συμπληρώσας ἔπλευσεν ὁ Φαρνάβαζός τε καὶ ὁ Κόνων μετ' αὐτοῦ διὰ νῆσων εἰς Μῆλον, ἐκεῖθεν δὲ ὄρμώμενοι εἰς τὴν Λακεδαιμονίαν.
- 4 καταπλεύσας δὲ πρῶτον μὲν εἰς Φερὰς ἐδήνωσε ταύτην τὴν χώραν, ἐπειτα καὶ ἄλλοσε ἀποβάίνων τὴν παραθαλαττίαν ἐκακούργει.
- 5 ταῦτα δὲ ποιήσας καὶ εἰς Κόρινθον καταπλεύσας, καὶ παρακελευσάμενος τοῖς συμμάχοις
- 6 προθύμως τε πολεμεῖν καὶ ἄνδρας πιστοὺς φαίνεσθαι βασιλεῖ,
- 7 καταλιπὼν αὐτοῖς χρήματα ὅσα εἶχεν, ὥχετο ἐπ' οἴκου ἀποπλέων.

Each section is worth 4 marks, according to the standard criteria:

- [4] All or almost all of the meaning conveyed (as agreed at Standardisation)
- [3] Most of the meaning conveyed
- [2] Half the meaning conveyed; the rest seriously flawed
- [1] Very little meaning conveyed, or isolated words known
- [0] No elements of meaning conveyed; no relation to Greek at all

Please write mark for each section in the body of the script, followed by a vertical line to indicate section end; add them up at the end (make sure there are 7 marks). At the end, write also the mark for quality of English, out of 2, according to the following criteria:

- | | |
|---|---|
| 2 | Expressed fluently and stylishly; consistently successful |
| 1 | Occasional improvements on a literal translation |
| 0 | No or very little improvement on a literal translation |

Add together the two marks and enter the final mark out of 30 in the margin.

[30]

- (b) rebuild (1) long walls (1) for the Athenians (1) and the wall of the Peiraeus (1)
[4]
- (c) three out of: (the Greek must be quoted)
 - it would be what the Spartans wanted least
 - it would make the Athenians indebted to him
 - get revenge on Spartans
 - the balanced clauses *κεχαρισμένος ... τετιμωρημένος*
 - use of word *βαρύς* rather than a less evocative word
 - *εἰδέναι* early to emphasise that he *knows* this

[6]

Question 4

- 1 When he heard this, Alcibiades at once sent a letter to the authorities in Samos.
- 2 He said that Phrynicos wanted to betray them, and that they ought to seize him and put him to death.
- 3 Phrynicos was now thoroughly alarmed, and to try to save himself did a very stupid thing.
- 4 He wrote another letter to Astyochos in which he said that he was in great danger
- 5 because Astyochos had not kept secret the contents of the first letter.
- 6 'Nevertheless', he said, 'If you save me from my enemies, who wish to destroy me,
- 7 I shall show you how Samos can be captured.'
- 8 As soon as Astyochos received the letter, this also he passed to Alcibiades.

Each section is worth 5 marks, according to the standard criteria:

- [5] All or almost all correct (as agreed at Standardisation)
- [4] Minor errors in accidence or syntax
- [3] More serious errors in accidence or syntax
- [2] Accidence / syntax seriously faulty, but not without sense
- [1] A very small proportion of correct accidence / syntax
- [0] No recognisable relation to the English

Please note that any Greek vocabulary/syntax which adequately translates the English is acceptable: if in doubt, examiners should check Liddell and Scott, or a good Greek grammar (such as Smyth: not Taylor/Wilding, etc.); specific points will in any case be discussed at Standardisation.

Please write the mark for each section in the body of the script, followed by a vertical line to mark the section end.

Indicate marks for style by putting a tick in the body of the script, and corresponding tick in the margin, to a maximum of 8. These might be awarded for appropriate subordination, felicitous vocabulary, word order, etc, as discussed at Standardisation. The remaining 2 marks are to be awarded for breathings:

- 2 All correct, or one error
- 1 Up to 3 errors
- 0 More than 3 errors

At the end of the script write the mark out of 40 + the mark out of 8 + the mark out of 2 = final mark out of 50.

Marking Grid: AO1		
Recall and deploy relevant knowledge and understanding of literary, cultural, material or historical sources or linguistic forms, in their appropriate contexts	Max. mark and mark ranges	Characteristics of performance
	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recall and application of subject knowledge; • Relevance to question/topic; • Understanding of sources and evidence; • Awareness of context.
Level 5	9-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific factual knowledge, selected with care; • Fully relevant to the question; • Well supported with evidence and reference where required; • Strong awareness of context as appropriate.
Level 4	6-8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generally well chosen factual knowledge; • Relevant to the question; • Usually supported with evidence and reference where required; • Awareness of context as appropriate.
Level 3	4-5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some factual knowledge, not always well chosen; • At least partially relevant to the question; • Some supporting evidence and reference where required; • Limited awareness of context.
Level 2	2-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restricted selection of factual knowledge, possibly including some inaccurate detail; • Little evidence of relevance to the question; • Occasional use of appropriate supporting evidence; • Context occasionally or very superficially indicated.
Level 1	0-1	Work in this band may meet some of the criteria for Level 4, but on balance falls below the standard defined for the higher level; alternatively, work in this band will be too inadequate, inaccurate, inappropriate or irrelevant to justify any credit in a higher level.

Marking Grid: AO2 (a and b)		
(a) Analyse, evaluate and respond to classical sources (b) Select, organise and present relevant information and argument in a clear, logical, accurate and appropriate form	Max. mark and mark ranges	Characteristics of performance
	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analysis; • Evaluation and response; • Organisation and use of technical vocabulary; • Control of appropriate form and style; • Accuracy of writing.
Level 5	13-15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perceptive, well supported analysis leading to convincing conclusions; • Very well balanced evaluation based on clear engagement with sources/task; • Argument incisive, very well structured and developed; technical terms accurately and effectively used; • Sustained control of appropriate form and register; • Legible, fluent and technically very accurate writing.
Level 4	9-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Careful and thorough analysis leading to generally sound conclusions; • Balanced evaluation based on clear engagement with sources/task; • Argument well structured and developed; technical terms accurately and effectively used; • Good control of appropriate form and register; • Legible and technically accurate writing, conveying meaning well.
Level 3	6-8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempts at analysis leading to some tenable conclusions; • Limited evaluation but some evidence of engagement with sources/task; • Argument coherent if cumbersome or underdeveloped; some technical terms accurately used; • Limited control of appropriate form and register; • Legible and generally accurate writing, conveying meaning clearly.
Level 2	3-5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Occasional evidence of analysis gesturing towards acceptable conclusions; • Very limited evaluation or evidence of engagement with topic/task; • Argument coherent even if very cumbersome or underdeveloped; simple technical terms used appropriately; • Very limited control of appropriate form and register; • Legible and generally accurate writing, clarity not obscured.
Level 1	0-2	Work in this band may meet some of the criteria for Level 4, but on balance falls below the standard defined for the higher level; alternatively, work in this band will be too inadequate, inaccurate, inappropriate or irrelevant to justify any credit in a higher level.

Assessment Objective Weighting		AO1	AO2
Section A: Prescribed Literature Q.1 or Q.2	(a) (b)	10 10	15 15
Section B: Language Q.3		20	30
Total		40	60
Weighting		40%	60%
Total mark for unit		100	

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
1 Hills Road
Cambridge
CB1 2EU

OCR Customer Contact Centre

14 – 19 Qualifications (General)

Telephone: 01223 553998
Facsimile: 01223 552627
Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations
is a Company Limited by Guarantee
Registered in England
Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU
Registered Company Number: 3484466
OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
Head office
Telephone: 01223 552552
Facsimile: 01223 552553

